

July 2008 – Updated 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION: Flex Program Rural Health Plan Revision	V
SECTION 1: Health Status Indicators and Access/Availability Issues	1-1
Health Status Indicators and Access/Availability Issues	1-2
Rural Areas are Experiencing Greater Population Growth	1-3
Rural Areas Have an Older Population	1-3
Alabama's Rural Population Has Less Formal Education	1-4
Alabama's Rural Population Reflects Greater Ethnic Diversity	1-5
Alabama's Rural Population Is Not As Wealthy	1-6
Alabama's Rural Population Has More Restricted Access to Health Care Adequate Emergency Medical Services Are Not a Certainty in All	1-8
Rural Areas	1-13
Pregnancies for Rural Women are of Higher Risk Than Those to	
Urban Women	1-15
General Health Status of Alabama's Rural Residents Compares	4.40
Unfavorably to Urban Residents	1-18
SECTION 2: Flex Plans	2-1
Flex Plans	2-2
Overview of Flex Program Achievements in Alabama During 2007-2008	2-2
Focus Groups	2-3
1. EMS Focus Groups	2-3
EMS Needs and Initiatives Identified	2-4
2. Quality Initiative Focus Groups	2-5
Quality Needs and Initiatives Identified	2-5
3. CAH Focus Group	2-6
Status of Existing CAHs	2-7
Prospect for New CAHs	2-8
CAH Networking	2-8
CAH Needs and Initiatives Identified	2-9
Future Flex Activities: Immediate, Intermediate and Long Term Plans	
In the Areas of EMS, Quality and Networking	2-11
Goal 1: Strengthen and Integrate EMS	2-11
Goal 2: Quality/Performance Improvement	2-15
Goal 3: Strengthen Networking Relationships	2-20
SECTION 3: Evaluation Plans	3-1
Evaluation Plans	3-2
Evaluating Subcontracts	3-2
Use of Benchmarks	3-3
Collection of Information	3-4

Attachment 1 – Subcontract Hospital Report Form	3-6
Attachment 2 – On-Site Surveillance Form	3-9
Members of Alabama's Flex Grant Oversight Committee	3-12
APPENDICES	4-1
APPENDIX A: Selected Indicators of Health Status in Alabama,	7 1
Alabama's Rural Counties, Alabama's Urban Counties, and the	
United States	A-1
APPENDIX B: Selected Health Status Indicators – County Specific Data	B-1
APPENDIX C: Selected Indicators of Health Status in Alabama –	D 1
Heart Diseases, Cancer, Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke), Accidents	
and Diabetes	C-1
APPENDIX D: CAH Conversion Application Instructions, Change in Licensure	_
Application, and Change in License Supplement A Application	D-1
Application, and Change in License Supplement A Application	D-1
FIGURES	
	Page
Figure 1: Alabama's Rural and Urban Counties	1-2
Figure 2: Percent Population Change, Selected Areas, 2000-2025	1-3
Figure 3: Percent Change in Elderly (Age 65+) Population, Selected	
Areas, 2000-2025	1-4
Figure 4: Percent Population Age 25+ With Less Than A High School	
Education, Selected Areas, 2000	1-4
Figure 5: Percentage Increase in the Hispanic/Latino Population,	
Selected Areas, 1990-2006	1-5
Figure 6: Percent Births of Hispanic Origin, Alabama Residents, 1992-2006	1-5
Figure 7: Percent Hispanic Births in Counties Exceeding the State	
Percentage (7.5%), 2006	1-6
Figure 8: Medicaid Eligible Population, Selected Areas, 2006	1-7
Figure 9: Medicaid Eligible Children, Selected Areas, 2006	1-7
Figure 10: Per Capita Personal Income, Selected Areas, 2006	1-7
Figure 11: Percentage Households With No Automobile, Selected	
Areas, 2000	1-8
Figure 12: Primary Care Physicians Per 10,000 Population, Selected	
Areas, 2006	1-9
Figure 13: Primary Care Physicians Actively Practicing in Alabama	
by Age, 2006	1-10
Figure 14: Dentists Per 10,000 Population, Selected Areas, 2003	1-10
Figure 15: Psychiatrists Per 10,000 Population, Selected Areas, 2006	1-11
Figure 16: Suicide Deaths Per 100,000 Population, Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-11
Figure 17: Percent Uninsured Population, Selected Areas, 2003	1-12
Figure 18: Population Age 65 or More With A Home-Bound Disability,	
Selected Areas, 2000	1-12
Figure 19: Population Receiving Medicare Disability Benefits, Selected	

Areas, July 2006	1-12
Figure 20: Accidental Deaths Per 100,000 Population, Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-13
Figure 21: Motor Vehicle Accident Deaths Per 100,000 Population,	1-13
Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-13
Figure 22: Drowning and Submersion Deaths Per 100,000 Population,	1 13
Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-13
Figure 23: Deaths From Exposure to Smoke, Fire, and Flames Per 100,000	1 13
Population, Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-13
Figure 24: Alabama's Counties Without Hospitals, July 2008	1-14
Figure 25: Alabama's Counties With and Without Obstetrical Service,	
July 2008	1-15
Figure 26: Percent of Births Occurring Outside Mother's County of	
Residence, Selected Areas, 2006	1-16
Figure 27: Percent of Births With Less Than Adequate Prenatal Care,	
Selected Areas, 2006	1-16
Figure 28: Percent of Births Paid For by Medicaid, Selected Areas, 2006	1-17
Figure 29: Percent of Births to Undereducated Women, Selected Areas, 2006	1-17
Figure 30: Percent of Births to Teenagers (Under 20 Years), Selected	
Areas, 2006	1-17
Figure 31: Percent of Births Where Mother Used Tobacco, Selected	
Areas, 2006	1-17
Figure 32: Life Expectancy at Birth, Selected Areas, 2005	1-18
Figure 33: Heart Diseases Deaths Per 100,000 Population, Selected	
Areas, 2003-2005	1-18
Figure 34: Heart Failure Deaths Per 100,000 Population, Selected	
Areas, 2003-2005	1-19
Figure 35: Ischemic Heart Disease Deaths Per 100,000 Population,	
Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-19
Figure 36: Cancer Deaths Per 100,000 Population, Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-19
Figure 37: Cancer of the Trachea, Bronchus, and Lung Deaths Per	
100,000 Population, Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-19
Figure 38: Alzheimer's Disease Deaths Per 100,000 Population,	
Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-20
Figure 39: Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Deaths Per 100,000	
Population, Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-20
Figure 40: Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Deaths Per 100,000	
Population, Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-20
Figure 41: Diabetes Mellitus Deaths Per 100,000 Population, Selected	
Areas, 2003-2005	1-20
Figure 42: Pneumonia Deaths Per 100,000 Population, Selected Areas,	
2003-2005	1-20
Figure 43: Septicemia (Blood Poisoning) Deaths Per 100,000 Population,	
Selected Areas, 2003-2005	1-20

INTRODUCTION: Flex Program Rural Health Plan Revision:

The basic purpose of the Flex Program Rural Health Plan revision is to strategically plan how Flex funding will be used in future years. Initially, funding was used to assist hospitals converting to Critical Access Hospital (CAH) status but since the elimination of the necessary provider rule in January 2006, there have been very few conversions. Most of Alabama's Flex funding is used for quality improvement, Emergency Medical Services (EMS) and evaluation activities. All Flex activities focus on three major objectives:

- Enhance access to quality medical care delivered by rural hospitals
- Provide a mechanism to integrate health service delivery
- Assist in stabilizing financially troubled rural hospitals

A strong collaboration was established between the Office of Primary Care and Rural Health (OPCRH) and the Alabama Hospital Association (AlaHA) in jointly implementing the Flex program. This joint approach grew out of the AlaHa ad hoc committee of the Rural Hospital Constituency Section that originally studied the appropriateness of the Flex program. Today, this collaboration continues with AlaHa and the OPCRH managing the Flex program and the revision of the Rural Health Plan.

In 2008, the Flex Grant Oversight Committee was revised to include nine members representing Alabama rural hospitals, the Alabama Quality Assurance Foundation, the Alabama Family Practice Rural Health Board and EMS. The OPCRH and AlaHa also serve on this committee. This grant oversight committee is involved with revising the rural health plan.

As part of the State Rural Health Plan revision process, three focus groups were organized to provide information for the plan revision. Focus group participants were identified from Flex hospitals and communities. The focus group topics included EMS, quality, and critical access hospitals.

In addition, health status indicator reports were developed for every county in Alabama. Five reports focusing on the leading causes of mortality in rural Alabama which include heart disease, accidents, cancer, diabetes and cerebrovascular disease were also used to identify the critical health factors affecting rural Alabama. The health status indicator information can be found in Appendices A, B and C.

The revised rural health plan is comprised of three sections. The first section provides a comprehensive report on the health status indicators of Alabama citizens on a statewide, regional and community level. Data on access and availability of affordable quality health care including demographics on the aging primary care workforce and the aging Alabama populations will demonstrate the need for immediate, intermediate and long-term Flex objectives in the areas of EMS, Quality and CAH's. These issues combined

with limited public transportation will be shown to create a serious heath access challenge in rural and underserved areas of Alabama. The second section contains an overview of Flex program achievements in Alabama and an overview of the Flex rural health plan revision process including a description of the focus group consultations and the future Flex plan objectives. The third section provides an evaluation plan to measure the effectiveness of the Flex activities and their anticipated impact on the health status indicators described in section one.

In addition, the Flex Program Rural Health Plan includes valuable information on Critical Access Hospital (CAH) conversion, a process that began under the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. This act authorized states to establish State Medicare Rural Hospital Flexibility Programs (Flex Program), under which certain facilities may qualify to become CAHs. In order to be designated as a CAH in Alabama, a hospital must meet the following criteria:

- 1. Be located in a rural area or be treated as rural under a special provision that allows qualified hospitals in urban areas to be treated as rural for purposes of becoming a CAH; and
- 2. Provide no more than 25 inpatient beds. If participating in the Medicare Swing Bed Program, no more than 10 of these 25 beds may be occupied at any one time by swing bed patients; and
- 3. Have an average annual length of stay of 96 hours or less; and
- 4. Be located either more than 35 miles from the nearest hospital or CAH, or more than 15 miles in areas with mountainous terrain or only secondary roads; and
- 5. Meet all general acute care hospital standards as set forth by the Alabama Department of Public Health licensure rules.

More information available in Appendix D.

Section 1:

Health Status Indicators and Access/Availability Issues

In revising the Alabama Rural Health Plan, the Flex Grant Oversight Committee wanted to consider background information such as trends in rural demographics, restricted access to health care and health status of rural residents. In this section, we explore health status indicators and data on rural Alabama that impact the availability and accessibility of health care.

Health Status Indicators and Access/Availability Issues

Alabama's rural areas are of vital importance. Everyone benefits from the numerous resources, many of which must be classified as necessities, which are provided only from our rural areas and only by the residents who live and work in such areas. Knowing that our vital rural areas have adequate and quality healthcare locally available is an assurance that all Alabamians want. Our struggling small, rural hospitals must not only survive, but must become more stable financially.



Figure 1: Alabama's Rural and Urban Counties

Rural hospitals are confronted with growing challenges that threaten financial stability and even survival. While there are major challenges such as the perception that larger medical centers provide a higher level of quality and inadequate or unstable emergency medical service that most rural hospitals must face, each hospital must also confront local issues. The Rural Hospital Flexibility Program emphasizes areas of service that are on target for positively intervening with most of these challenges. The limited funding that


1-2

is available through this program must be supported by other programs and initiatives to assure success. The following information attempts to shed more light on the current and future challenges that our rural hospitals must face.

Rural Areas are Experiencing Greater Population Growth

The Office of Primary Care and Rural Health in Alabama regards 55 of Alabama's 67 counties as being rural.

These can be seen in Figure 1. Between 1910 and 2000, the rural counties experienced a meager 38 percent increase in population while the 12 urban counties increased by 246 percent. Twentysix of the 55 rural counties actually lost population between 1910 and 2000 with five counties (Perry, Bullock, Wilcox, Lowndes, and Greene) losing nearly two-thirds of their population during that



period. The two greatest reasons for this lack of population growth in Alabama's rural counties were the out migration of the African American population and the mechanization of agriculture.


Starting around 1990 this trend has changed. Alabama's rural counties are now growing at a greater rate than the urban counties. This growth is greatest in the counties bordering major urban areas. Figure 2 presents projected population change between 2000 and 2025 showing the greater growth in rural counties. A second changing population trend is being seen within many counties where growth is greater in rural, unincorporated areas than is being seen within incorporated places.

These changing trends in Alabama's rural population are placing greater demands on many hospitals to serve a growing population and on an emergency medical system that may not be adequately staffed and/or trained. In many rural areas the first responder is almost certain to be volunteers.

Rural Areas Have an Older Population

According to the Alabama State Data Center's 2006 population estimates, the elderly (age 65 years or more) comprised 14.5 percent of Alabama's rural county population compared to only 12.5 percent in the urban counties. This difference is projected to become even greater. Between 2000 and 2025, the elderly population is projected to

increase by 79.6 percent in Alabama's rural counties compared to a 66.0 percent increase in the urban counties. This can be seen in Figure 3.




This dramatic increase in the elderly population will seriously challenge Alabama's rural healthcare industry. It is estimated, using national data on visits to physician's offices from the National **Ambulatory Medical Care** Survey, that more than 904,000 additional annual office visits to primary care physicians will be needed in Alabama by 2025, primarily due to the aging population. This does not

consider such trends as the obesity epidemic and the additional healthcare demands that this is certain to create.

Alabama's Rural Population Has Less Formal Education

There is a strong relationship between educational attainment and health status. According to the 2000 Census of Population, nearly one-third (30.3%) of all rural


Alabama residents aged 25 years or more had less than a high school education far exceeding the 20.2 percent of urban residents in this age group with less than a high school education. This can be seen in Figure 4. A strong educational system producing well educated rural residents is vital to improving the long-term health status of Alabama's rural residents, reversing the economic struggles of rural Alabama, and to



providing rural students with the educational opportunities that are needed for them to compete with their urban counterparts for opportunities such as admission to medical school.

Alabama's Rural Population Reflects Greater Ethnic Diversity

Alabama's rural population has greater ethnic diversity primarily due to the relatively




sudden increase in the Hispanic/Latino population. Alabama's Hispanic/Latino population increased by nearly 208 percent between the 1990 and 2000 Censuses, the seventh greatest increase among all 50 states. This tremendous growth is continuing.

According to estimates developed by the Alabama State Data Center at the University of Alabama, rural Alabama's

Hispanic/Latino population increased by 502.9 percent between 1990 and 2006, greatly exceeding the estimated 279.9 percent increase in urban counties and the 98.3 percent increase nationally. This can be seen in Figure 5. There is general agreement that estimates of the Hispanic/Latino population are likely to be understated.

This sudden increase in Alabama's Hispanic/Latino population has posed a challenge in counties where this growth has been the greatest. This is because of the presence of a language barrier in many instances which makes the services of an interpreter necessary. There is also a lack of knowledge about and experience with the cultural differences in providing healthcare to persons of Hispanic/Latino



ethnicity. There have also been financial challenges in some areas where Alabama's new Hispanic/Latino population has a low rate of insurance. Rural Hospital Flexibility Program subcontract funding has been used to greatly assist in providing care for Hispanic/Latino Alabamians by securing training in medical Spanish for emergency department staff.

Another source which can be used to indicate the growth in Alabama's Hispanic/Latino population is data on births. This source is considered to be more complete since the benefits from reporting are much greater. All babies born within the United States are citizens of this country, regardless of the residence of the parents.





Figure 6 presents a graphical picture of the relatively sudden and tremendous growth of the Hispanic/Latino population in Alabama by looking at the percentage of all births to Alabama residents that is comprised by residents of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity. In 1992, only 0.7 percent of all births to Alabama residents were Hispanic/Latino. By 2006, this percentage steadily increased to 7.5 percent. Figure 7 presents these percentages by the mother's county of residence for 2006 births. Five Alabama counties had 20 percent or more of all births to county residents being of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity. All five of these counties are rural.

Alabama's Rural Population Is Not As Wealthy

There is a strong relationship between personal wealth and health status. Medicaid has been referred to as "Rural Alabama's Health Insurance." There is a strong justification for this statement since 23.3 percent, or nearly one in every four rural residents, is eligible for Medicaid benefits. This compares to 19.4 percent for urban county residents. In addition, 42.4 percent of all rural children under age 21 are eligible for Medicaid benefits compared to 35.2 percent for urban children. Unfortunately, many rural Alabama


primary care physicians are electing not to provide service to Medicaid patients for various reasons. This greatly increases the importance of rural safety net providers. Figures 8 and 9 graphically describe rural Alabama's reliance on Medicaid for healthcare.

Per capita personal income is the income that is available to be spent for each person. According to 2007 per capita personal income figures recently released by the U. S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, the income per person for rural Alabama residents is \$26,646 which is over 28 percent lower than the per capita income of \$34,182 for urban



residents and nearly 38 percent below the figure of \$36,714 for the nation. The 31 Alabama counties with the lowest per capita income levels are all rural. Three rural Alabama counties (Wilcox, Bullock, and Macon) are among the 250 poorest counties in the nation. Figure 10 graphically describes the serious disparity in per capita personal income.

The great presence of poverty in Alabama's rural counties naturally results in more indigent care being provided by rural hospitals. This makes the attracting of patients with health insurance more important to these institutions. This can be accomplished only if these important potential patients are convinced that their local hospitals are providing quality care. Rural Hospital Flexibility Program subcontract




funding is being used in many ways to enhance the visibility of rural hospitals and to convince and assure local residents that they do not need to bypass their local healthcare industry traveling to a larger urban medical center to receive high quality care.

The underlying issue that is adversely impacting on the wealth of rural residents is the lack of economic opportunity in many rural areas. This is further evidenced by the fact that unemployment is greater in rural areas (4.5 percent in rural counties versus 3.9 percent in urban counties in May 2008). Positive intervention aimed at enhancing economic opportunity in chronically depressed rural areas has been initiated by Governor' Bob Riley's Alabama Rural Action Commission and the Black Belt Action Commission and also by the Center for Rural Alabama in the Alabama Department of Agriculture. The goals of the Rural Hospital Flexibility Program are highly consistent with those of these important commissions and centers.

Alabama's Rural Population Has More Restricted Access to Health Care

A task force assembled by former Governor Jim Folsom, Jr. concluded that the greatest




problem involving access to rural health care was transportation. The availability of public transportation varies greatly among Alabama's rural counties and even within counties. Transportation poses two different concerns for Alabama's rural Health care industry. First, there is a large portion of the rural population which does not have a reliable means for getting to health care providers. This is

evidenced in Figure 11 where it can be seen that 8.5 percent of all households in Alabama's rural counties did not have a vehicle in 2000. An additional 30.3 percent of all rural households had only one vehicle which had to be used for going to work and other activities as well as being used for health care transportation.

Second, there is a portion of the rural population which has adequate transportation. This second group tends to include more persons with health insurance who are needed as paying consumers in the rural healthcare system. Unfortunately, many of these potential patients commute greater distances to seek health care from urban providers which they perceive as being of higher quality. Subcontract funding through the Rural Hospital Flexibility Program is being used in diverse ways to change this perception by improving the quality of care that is being received through rural hospitals and by enhancing the perception of the quality care that is locally available. Much remains to be done to establish a firm reputation of quality in smaller, rural hospitals and to dispel the long held thought that bigger is better.

While the lack of adequate transportation poses a serious concern for many rural residents, the lack of primary care practitioners and the aging of the current primary care physician workforce pose serious barriers to access for all rural residents. The Health Professional Shortage Area designation methodology developed by the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) is the most widely recognized method for identifying primary care, dental, and mental health professional shortages. According to this methodology, 54 of Alabama's 55 rural counties are currently classified as having a shortage of primary care physicians providing service to either the entire population or the low-income population.


This methodology only measures what is considered to be the minimal level of service that is needed to serve a population rather than the level of service that is desirable. According to this methodology, Alabama currently needs an additional 132 strategically placed primary care physicians to eliminate all shortages. HRSA estimates that Alabama needs 474 strategically




placed primary care physicians to provide a desirable level of service. Figure 12 presents a graphical picture of the lack of primary care physician service in rural counties. The 4.6 primary care physicians per 10,000 population in rural counties is just above one half of the 8.0 per 10,000 population in the urban counties.

As serious as these figures on the primary care physician shortage may appear, the shortage crisis is actually more serious. These figures do not include such concerns as the aging of the primary care physician workforce, the decreasing trend for medical students to elect rural primary care practice, the aging of Alabama's population and the increasing need for primary care that this will bring, and the dramatic increase in such population characteristics as obesity that is certain to create greater demands for primary care service.

Figure 13 presents an alarming graphical picture of Alabama's actively practicing primary care physician workforce of 3,048 in 2006. By 2011, more than one-half of these physicians will be over 55 years of age. Many current medical school graduates are indicating that they plan to retire at ages younger than 55. The smaller numbers of physicians in the younger age groups reflect the decrease in the number of medical school graduates who are electing primary care for their practice.



At the same time, Alabama's population is getting older and more vulnerable to chronic disease. As was pointed out earlier, it is estimated, using national data on visits to physician's offices from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, that more than 904,000 additional office visits to primary care physicians will be needed in Alabama by 2025. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's 2007 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 30.9 percent of all Alabamians are obese, not simply overweight. This is the second highest percentage among all 50 states and this




percentage is increasing each year. This and other unhealthy characteristics are certain to require additional primary care physician services.

Alabama has several innovative programs for positively intervening in this shortage crisis. Programs such as the Rural Medical Scholars Program at the University of Alabama, the Rural Medicine Program at

Auburn University, rural medical programs at the University of South Alabama College of Medicine, and the Alabama Medical Education Consortium have created national interest.


The shortage of actively practicing dentists is even more critical in rural Alabama. HRSA estimates that Alabama currently needs an additional 294 strategically placed

dentists to eliminate all shortages. Alabama's only dental school admits only 55 students each year. By 2011, with the aging of Alabama's dental workforce, it is expected that more than 55 dentists will be retiring from practice each year. Rural Alabama is in danger of having several counties with no dentist. Dental care for the low-income population is far from a certainty. Figure 14 presents rates for dentists



per 10,000 population. This does not reflect the ages of Alabama's dental workforce, the amount of time each dentist works, or the service to Alabama's low-income population.

Perhaps no practitioner shortage is greater than that for mental health care professionals. Professional counselors and psychologists are not allowed to write prescriptions under




Alabama law. Only psychiatrists have this privilege. Most rural Alabama counties only have the services of a visiting psychiatrist for a few hours per week at the local outpatient mental health center. Many rural hospital emergency rooms do not have staffing that is adequately trained in handling drug abuse cases or suicidal cases. Flex subcontract funds have been used in temporarily providing this highly

specialized care and have been considered responsible for actually saving lives. Figure


15 presents a graphical description of the number of actively practicing psychiatrists per 10,000 population. Figure 16 presents a graphical picture of the higher suicide mortality rate among rural Alabamians. Suicide may be the most profound action indicating a vital need for expanded mental health service.


Having adequate and quality healthcare available does little good for those who are uninsured. In addition to the nearly onequarter of all rural Alabamians who only have Medicaid coverage, it is estimated that 12.0 percent of all rural residents have no health insurance at all. This compares unfavorably to the estimate of 10.6 percent uninsured in Alabama's urban counties as can be seen in Figure 17.



The lack of health insurance not only impacts on those without such coverage, but this greatly increased the amount of indigent care that Alabama's rural hospitals must serve.

The greater prevalence of disability among rural residents creates another access to primary care barrier. According to 2000 Census data, 25.0 percent of all rural Alabamians aged 65 years or more had a disability that prevented them from going outside of their residence. This compares to 23.1 percent in Alabama's urban counties and 19.4 percent nationally. This can be seen in Figure 18. This greater presence of disability among rural residents is also supported by 2006 Medicare disability data indicating that 4.5 percent of all rural Alabama residents were receiving Medicare







disability compared to 3.4 percent for urban residents and only 2.2 percent nationally. This can be seen in Figure 19.


Adequate Emergency Medical Services Are Not a Certainty in All Rural Areas


Some of Alabama's rural counties have well staffed, well trained, and adequately funded emergency medical services. Residents of many, if not most, rural counties are not so fortunate. This is not simply a local need. With so many people traveling distances for work or other reasons, the need for having adequate and quality health care, including emergency medical services, available in all counties is imperative. Even residents of the most urban counties may find themselves in need of such service while away from home. The presence of adequate and quality health care, including emergency medical services, is of extreme importance in attracting economic development.

Unfortunately, Alabama does not currently have an ambulance run data base with mandatory reporting requirements. Thus, comparisons of such data between areas may not be accurate. However, to a great extent, accidental mortality data can be used in studying the adequacy of emergency medical services, especially mortality due to motor vehicle accidents. While there are several reasons for and contributors to accidental









mortality, the ability of local emergency medical service to respond quickly in arriving at the accident scene, stabilizing conditions, and transporting the victim to qualified emergency care is of critical importance. It has been long realized that the sooner within the "Golden Hour" following an accident that the victim can get to adequate emergency care, the greater the likelihood of survival.

Figures 20-23 present mortality rates from all accidents; motor vehicle accidents; drowning and submersion; and exposure to smoke, fire, and flames. The mortality rate from motor vehicle accidents for Alabama's rural residents of 32.0 deaths per 100,000 persons well exceeds the urban rate of 20.7 and is more than double the national rate of 15.3.

Disturbingly similar rates are seen for drowning and submersion deaths and mortality due to the exposure to smoke, fire, and flames. While well staffed, well trained, and adequately funded emergency medical service would certainly be expected to positively impact on death rates for these two types of accidents, better education and preparedness may provide a greater impact.



Figure 24: Alabama's Counties Without Hospitals (Yellow), July 2008


The final barrier to access that is noted in this particular report is the fact that eight of Alabama's 55 rural counties do not have hospitals. These are identified in Figure 24. This means that residents of these counties may have to travel farther distances for hospital or emergency service. Communities in counties without hospitals also tend to have greater difficulty in attracting primary care physicians to practice locally since physicians tend to locate closer to hospitals.

Pregnancies for Rural Women are of Higher Risk Than Those to Urban Women

LAUDERDALE LIMESTONE JACKSON MADISON COLBERT LAWRENCE FRANKLIN DEKALB MARION WINSTON CULLMAN BLOUNT LAMAR FAYETTE JEFFERSON PICKENS TUSCALOOSA SHELBY RANDOLPH COOSA GREENE CHILTON HALE PERRY ELMORE LEE AUTAUGA MONTGOMERY RUSSELL MARENGO LOWNDES BULLOCK WILCOX BARBOUR PIKE BUTLER CLARKE CRENSHAW MONROE HENRY WASHINGTON COFFEE CONECUH ESCAMBIA HOUSTON GENEVA MOBILE With Delivery Without Delivery

Figure 25: Alabama's Counties With and Without Obstetrical Service, July 2008

The presence of obstetrical service must be considered a luxury in Alabama's rural counties. In 1980, 46 of Alabama's 55 rural counties had hospitals that delivered babies.




This number has steadily declined to where only 24 of the 55 rural counties have hospitals that deliver babies today. These counties can be identified in Figure 25.

Because of the dramatic decrease in the availability of obstetrical service, 60.5 percent of all babies born to mothers who are rural county residents are born outside of the mother's county of residence. This

compares to only 11.0 percent for mothers who are urban county residents. This is seen in Figure 26.


Unfortunately, the void of obstetrical service in so many of Alabama's rural counties contributes in creating a challenge for rural residents to receive adequate prenatal care during their pregnancies. There is a recognized relationship between the presence of a hospital providing obstetrical service and the receiving of adequate prenatal care by local women. This trend is evident in Figure 27 which





graphically shows that over one quarter (25.2 percent) of all births to rural resident women in 2006 involved pregnancies where the mother received less than adequate prenatal care during her pregnancy. This compares to 21.5 percent for urban resident women.


The lower prevalence of adequate prenatal care and the difficulty in receiving obstetrical service are not the only birth related factors threatening the health status of rural Alabama's mothers and babies. Other selected demographic characteristics such as poverty and lower formal education and risk factors such as births to teenagers and tobacco use during pregnancy are threatening the short and long term health status of rural Alabama's mothers and babies.

Figures 28-31 present graphical descriptions of this elevated risk. It was noted earlier that nearly one quarter of all rural Alabama residents are eligible for Medicaid coverage. This reliance upon Medicaid is even greater for births. As can be seen in Figure 28, 54.7 percent of all births to rural residents were paid for by Medicaid compared to 45.5 percent for urban residents. Nearly one in every five births to rural resident women (21.7 percent) involves a woman who is undereducated compared to 16.3 percent for urban resident women as is seen in Figure 29. Undereducated describes women who had more than two years of education less than would be normal for her age. Figure 30 reveals that 15.2 percent of all births to rural Alabama residents are to a teenage (under 20 years) woman compared to 12.8 percent for urban residents and only 10.3 percent nationally. Figure 31 reveals that 11.8 percent of all births to rural Alabama residents involved women who used tobacco during their pregnancy compared to only 9.6 percent for urban residents and 10.2 percent nationally.











<u>General Health Status of Alabama's Rural Residents Compares Unfavorably to</u> <u>Urban Residents</u>

One of the most widely recognized indicators of health status, life expectancy, clearly

shows the disparity between the overall health status of rural Alabamians compared to their urban counterparts. A rural resident born today is expected to have a lifetime that is more than one half of a year shorter than an urban resident born today – 74.4 years compared to 75.1 years. The disparity is even greater when compared to national life expectancy. Nationally, a person born today is expected to live 3.5 years





longer than a rural Alabamian. These disparities can be seen in Figure 32.




The reasons for life expectancy disparity between Alabama's rural and urban residents are quite diverse, including the factors already noted along with many other contributing factors. An analysis of the causes of death among rural Alabamians clearly reveals the diversity of environmental, behavioral, and other differences. Higher mortality rates from suicide and accidents


(especially motor vehicle accidents, drowning, and fires) have already been described. In addition, mortality or death rates for heart diseases, Alabama's leading cause of death since 1924, are significantly higher among rural residents. During 2003-2005, Alabama's rural residents experienced a mortality rate of 330.6 deaths per 100,000 persons each year compared to only 250.0 for urban residents and 230.1 for the nation. The rural-urban disparity was greatest for heart failure and ischemic heart disease, two large components of heart diseases. These disparities can be seen in Figures 33-35.





Cancer mortality is also greater among rural Alabamians. During 2003-2005, the mortality rate for deaths due to cancer was 230.9 deaths per 100,000 persons each year for Alabama's rural residents compared to 205.9 for urban county residents and 188.6 for the nation. This can be seen in Figure 36. This rural-urban disparity in mortality was also seen for cancer of the trachea, bronchus and lung where the rural mortality rate was 75.3 compared to 63.2 for urban residents and 53.8 for the nation. This can be seen in Figure 37. Other cancer sites with mortality rates higher among rural Alabama residents include the following: colon, rectum, and anus; breast; cervix uteri; ovary; prostate; and meninges, brain, and other parts of the central nervous system.








Other major causes of death for which the rural-urban disparity is greater include Alzheimer's disease, cerebrovascular diseases (stroke), chronic lower respiratory diseases, diabetes, pneumonia, and septicemia (blood poisoning). Figures 38-43 graphically present descriptions of these disparities.


The risk factors for these conditions and others previously noted clearly indicate a greater need for health education, behavioral modification, and quality health care. Obesity is emerging as a major healthcare behavioral crisis in all of Alabama including rural areas. It was estimated in 2003 that 23.5 percent of all rural Alabama residents are obese – not simply overweight. According to the 2007 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System,


30.9 percent of all Alabamians were obese. This was the second highest percentage among all states.














This brief presentation of more prominent health status indicators and access/availability issues that are challenging Alabama's rural hospitals is far from being all-inclusive. At its very basis, the Rural Hospital Flexibility Program seeks to assure the survival of rural hospitals and improve the health status of rural residents. There is no quick and easy fix to this crisis. This will require a long and difficult struggle. It is a struggle for which

failure holds such destructive promises that failure cannot be considered as an option. FLEX funding, though small, has already been used to positively intervene, accomplishing many improvements and prompting innovations that rural hospitals would not have otherwise been financially able to consider. Alabama's rural healthcare system is stronger and providing additional and higher quality service because of participation in this program.

SECTION 2: Flex Plans

Flex Plans

Section 2 of the Revised Rural Health Plan focuses on an overview of the Flex program achievements and discussion of the future Flex initiatives identified in the focus group consultations. Three focus groups were conducted concentrating on EMS Issues, Quality Initiatives and Critical Access Hospitals. The resulting needs identified from the focus groups were used by the Flex oversight committee to plan for Alabama's future Flex funding activities over the next three years. The future Flex objectives were prioritized in immediate (one year), intermediate (two years) and long-term (three years) time frames. Each future Flex objective also has a corresponding measure and outcome defined. The Flex oversight committee felt this format would enhance the development of the evaluation process described in Section 3 of the Revised Rural Health Plan. The future objectives, measures and outcomes for each time frame reflect activities for a total of 28 hospitals including three Alabama Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) and twenty-five rural Alabama hospitals that meet the 2008 Medicare Rural Hospital Flexibility Program requirements. A fourth critical access hospital participated in the focus group but has converted back to general acute care hospital status due to greater financial returns under the PPS payment schedule.

In addition, the CAH hospital focus group identified immediate, intermediate and long-term objectives, measures and outcomes to strengthen their networking relationships. This objective applies to the critical access hospitals and their effort to develop a rural network designation with other full service hospitals. Although many of the network activities they identified are also applicable to non-CAH facilities as well.

Overview of Flex Program Achievements in Alabama During 2007-2008

During 2007-2008, the 29 Flex hospitals in Alabama allocated their Flex funds for training in the areas of EMS and quality improvement.

- The Alabama Quality Assurance Foundation (AQAF), the State Quality Improvement Organization, conducted a train-the-trainer program on root cause analysis for twenty-nine small rural hospitals.
- Twenty-five hospitals improved quality of clinical care delivery, operational performance of facilities, and health status of their communities by training their personnel on patient safety, management improvement, health promotion and accessing scarce health care services.
- Two Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) have had personnel trained on and used the Rural Performance Measurement (RPM) web-based quality tracking and improvement system.
- Emergency Medical Services (EMS) training was provided to Emergency Department staff and first responders for 29 small, rural hospitals.

In addition to the training programs, other Flex program achievements include:

- One Flex hospital has been approved for a financial review to determine the feasibility of converting to CAH status.
- One of the Flex Grant Oversight Committee members provided rural representation to the State Trauma System regional and state advisory council.
- A consultant was engaged to evaluate the Flex program and the funding over the last three years.

Focus Groups

In an effort to update Alabama's Flex Program Rural Health Plan a series of focus groups were organized around the following Flex program goals:

- 1. Improvement and Integration of EMS services
- 2. Performance Improvement/Quality Improvement
- 3. Support and Networking of existing CAHs and designation of additional CAHs

The Alabama Hospital Association and the Office of Primary Care and Rural Health jointly sponsored these focus groups to help identify and plan the use of future Flex funding.

A list of focus group questions was developed by the AlaHA and the OPCRH. These questions were reviewed by the Flex oversight committee. The EMS, Quality Improvement and CAH focus groups included questions on best practices, networking, partnership opportunities and future Flex funding activities.

1. EMS Focus Groups

The EMS focus group included nine participants representing rural hospital directors of professional services, clinical and commercial services, and education; AlaHA; the regional director of West Alabama EMS; and the Deputy Director of EMS at the Alabama Department of Public Health.

The EMS focus group discussion focused on:

- Ways to integrate EMS with other services
- Opportunities to co-train EMS and hospital personnel
- Improve consistency in disaster training efforts across regions and state
- Educate EMS providers on behavioral health patient transport
- Communication issues between pre-hospital (EMS) and in-hospital (ER) under new trauma communication system
- Critical medication training for EMS personnel

EMS Needs and Initiatives Identified

1. Hospital and EMS personnel co-training opportunities focusing on Life Support Training and Certification including:

Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS) Pediatric Advanced Life Support (PALS) Basic Trauma Life Support (BTLS) Neonatal Advanced Life Support (NALS)

These trainings could be offered in the community to EMS and hospital personnel. Joint training opportunities at the community level would also enhance cooperation between hospital and EMS personnel.

- 2. Crisis consultations in Emergency Departments by behavioral health consultants. An in-depth discussion regarding interfacility transport of psychiatric and elderly/Alzheimer patients occurred during the focus group session. Both the EMS and hospital representatives agreed that educational sessions should be directed at increasing the comfort level of agitated patients during transport.
- 3. A recommendation was made that ER nurses and paramedics serving the Flex hospitals should be educated about the growing elderly population and patient handoff issues including medication concerns
- 4. Activities focused on disaster drill simulations involving hospital and EMS personnel including:

Weather related Natural disaster Bio-terrorism response Chemical spills Volunteer EMS training

Discussion on communications equipment between EMS and the emergency department during a disaster ensued. In a recent tornado event in Enterprise, Alabama communication equipment failed. The only operational communication system in Alabama is the HEAR system. The focus group participants wanted more information on the status of the HEAR system.

5. Other EMS related training courses and programs that were discussed included:

Emergency nurses pediatric course Trauma Nursing Core Course Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation(CPR) Training Automated External Defibrillator (AED) Training

Patient Transfer Training Course

6. Coordination of activities between the State Trauma System and the Flex Oversight Committee was mentioned during the EMS focus group session. State EMS representatives participating in the focus group session agreed that the Flex program can assist in preparing and integrating rural hospitals into the State Trauma System; however, leadership in developing this system is the responsibility of the State Trauma Program Coordinating Council.

2. Quality Initiative Focus Groups

The quality initiative activities focus group had nine participants representing the following rural hospital positions:

Director of Nursing Director of Quality Improvement Assistant Hospital Administrator Director of Professional Services

In addition, representatives from the Alabama Hospital Association, the Alabama SORH as well as AQAF, the Alabama Medicare Quality Improvement Organization (QIO) were present at this focus group.

The Quality focus group discussion focused on:

- Best use of Flex funds for quality improvement activities
- Partnership opportunities for benchmarking
- New and Core measure monitoring
- Sharing of quality activities between hospitals

Quality Needs and Initiatives Identified

- 1. The best use of Flex funds would focus on:
 - The Continuous Service Readiness (CSR) Program and Joint Commission
 - The Alabama Quality Award Program
 - Patient safety and satisfaction surveys
 - Core measure monitoring and compliance
- 2. The sharing of quality activities between Flex hospitals. The discussion focused on sharing information that is educational such as comparing the use of beds before and after they are designated as swing beds.
- 3. Partnership opportunities for benchmarking were thought to be difficult because of the lack of uniformity between Flex hospital software/computer vendors. In addition, the rural Flex hospitals were at different levels of automation which would make data sharing difficult. However, plans were discussed during the

focus group that a Benchmark Indicator Program would be addressed. AlaHA mentioned that they were willing to work with the Flex hospitals to evaluate and implement the Rural Performance Management (RPM) Program.

- 4. Opportunities for educational activities addressing Core and New measures. The QIO and Quality Task Force of the Alabama Hospital Association offer educational activities including wound assessment training and infection control training.
- 5. Most rural hospitals in Alabama are unable to dedicate a full FTE for a quality improvement position. Most hospital personnel responsible for quality improvement have multiple responsibilities including patient care. Any quality improvement initiatives must take this into consideration.
- 6. The focus group participants felt that newly hired registered nurses were not familiar with quality measures even though this is now a big part of their job. RN education is not doing a good job of including quality measures in nursing education. The AlaHA Quality Task Force has initiated a work group with the deans of the schools of nursing and the Alabama Board of Nursing to develop curriculums addressing quality issues.
- 7. Creating group purchasing "GPO's" was discussed. However, due to the number of vendors used, the focus group decided this would not be feasible on the basis of proprietary issues and satisfaction with the current programs.
- 8. Many of the hospitals participate in both the SHIP and Flex grant programs. A discussion ensued about the possibility of combining awards at the hospital level from these two programs which would maximize the funding opportunities.

3. CAH Focus Group

A focus group provided assistance in both describing the status of Alabama's four Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) and defining future needs for each. The CEO from each of the four CAHs participated in the focus group's deliberations along with the Flex Co-Directors. The following hospitals were involved:

Washington County Hospital – CAH Conversion Date, November 2002 Atmore Community Hospital – CAH Conversion Date, July 2005 Red Bay Hospital – CAH Conversion Date, October 2005 Randolph Medical Center – CAH Conversion Date, January 2006

The CAH focus group discussed the progress, status, and potential benefits from their working relationship in addressing common needs of the four facilities. An individual interview with each CEO produced a final listing of needs and potential activities to address those needs with future Flex funding. This information is presented in the CAH

Status of Existing CAHs

All four facilities have benefited financially since converting to CAH status while expanding their line of services. One has made significant infrastructure improvements to its 54-year-old building including electrical system remediation and purchasing new beds and furniture. Another is exploring options to replace its facility using an architectural design more "hospitable" to both patients and their families. All four have enhanced staff training and increased participation in programs to monitor and address established quality of care standards and operational performance benchmarks.

One facility's revenue has doubled since its inception as a CAH. A recent assessment of this facility's cost-based revenue shows a \$150,000 advantage compared to a *pro forma* projection of payments using the PPS schedule. The net revenue at another facility has increased 58% to \$7,930,000; net loss has decreased 89% (\$234,000) to \$1,828,000. A new CEO manages the third CAH and its financial information is not readily available.

The status of the fourth facility provides a rare outcome for the CAH model. Initially, revenue increased approximately \$700,000 the first full year following conversion. However, analysis that is more recent projects greater financial returns for the facility if it were under the PPS payment schedule. After considerable study, the Board and administration decided to relinquish its CAH designation and return to the general acute care hospital status. This process was completed recently.

An interview with the CEO identified several atypical situations for a CAH community in explaining these events. The community's economy is strong, but significant reductions in the physician workforce over the last several years produced a steadily declining hospital census. The lack of generalist physicians caused local residents to seek primary care outside their community, which resulted in hospital admissions taking place in those communities.

Aggressive recruitment during the last 2 years has successfully brought additional primary care and medical specialty physicians to the community. The added medical staff has produced a doubling of the facility's Average Daily Census since its CAH conversion – from eight to 17. The service of a general surgeon is contributing significantly to this growing inpatient volume.

Specific efforts to increase services to the Medicare eligible population, including establishing a Certified Rural Health Clinic, achieved some success during the facility's operation as a CAH. However, at the time of its reinstatement as a general acute care hospital the Medicare patient mix was only 50%. The CEO expressed the belief that remaining a CAH might be appropriate for his facility if Medicare accounted for at least 70% of admissions. However, the facility's recent surge in admissions may soon result in exceeding the 25-bed CAH limit.

Prospects for New CAHs

Last year the state Certificate of Need (CON) program approved an application for a new hospital in Choctaw County, Alabama. The application acknowledged plans to convert the proposed facility to CAH status following construction. Its location site meets the mileage criteria required between hospitals. No information is available on the anticipated completion date for the new facility. Another hospital that meets statutory requirements for CAH is undergoing a financial feasibility study.

An analysis of rural hospitals by geographic location will identify any additional hospitals eligible for CAH conversion. The analysis uses the 15-mile secondary road interpretation by CMS as a marker in identifying potential conversions to CAH status. Preliminary assessments suggest the locations of one or two additional hospitals may meet the 15-mile criteria. If corroborated by the analysis, consultation and guidance in considering CAH conversion will be made available.

CAH Networking

One of the CAHs has worked with the State Office of Primary Care and Rural Health in implementing a Health Information Technology (HIT) grant funded by HRSA. The grant is producing a HIT network to facilitate reduction of cardiovascular disease through electronic storage and transmission of medical records. One aspect of the network allows cardiovascular disease patients to benefit directly by receiving reminders of physician appointments and other personally tailored information on monitoring their health care needs.

The state's CAHs also have formalized a horizontal network. Although one facility is no longer a CAH, their common interests in operational issues have produced commitments by all four to continue a formal collaboration. The network was incorporated as the Rural Healthcare Consortium of Alabama (RHCA).

The Federal Office of Rural Health Policy awarded a one year Network Planning grant from an application submitted by the CAHs. Resources from this planning grant helped produce formation of the RHCA. The activities generated from the planning grant also included submitting a follow-up application for a Network Development grant. Approval was received recently for this three year Network Development Grant.

During the one year planning grant, the RHCA members identified numerous common needs along with potential strategies to address them. The following section lists these.

The Network Development grant included time-framed objectives to meet the needs identified. These objectives complement Flex Program goals and strengthen commitment of the four facilities to an extended engagement mutually beneficial to all. These objectives are listed later and described in the following time-lines of either immediate (1 year), intermediate (2 years), or long-term (3 years).

CAH Needs and Initiatives Identified

- 1. Improved access to quality EMS
 - Uniform protocols for ED patient transfers to other facilities
 - More training available in the community to EMS workers
 - Economic issues related to few patients or volume of services economies of scale
 - EMS operations in some communities getting worse
- 2. Lack of access to capital insufficient reimbursements for depreciation costs
 - Cost based reimbursement from both Medicare and Blue Cross Blue Shield of Alabama no revenue margin for capital improvements
 - Major infusion of funding to replace worn equipment and purchase new technologies
 - Major infusion of funding for extensive facility renovations (or total replacement) to focus on restoring the "hospitability" to hospitals on behalf of patients and their families
- 3. Community education and marketing activities
 - Market facility services to community residents emphasize favorable patient satisfaction scores from HCAHPS in comparison to urban facilities
 - Healthy community education campaigns to stress increasing incidence of diabetes, hypertension, and other chronic disease conditions and the importance of lifestyle changes to combat this trend
 - Community health fairs including medical screening of residents to detect and refer those at need for more thorough medical evaluations and treatment
 - "Hometown Healthcare" meetings and receptions for residents and health care staff to meet and share thoughts on health service needs in the community
- 4. Electronic Networking and Communications
 - Purchase technology and establish electronic medical records arrangements for community health care providers to access patient information
 - Establish telemedicine network, including reimbursement arrangements, to access diagnostic and consultative medical specialty services not available in the community such as behavioral health, dermatology, and radiology
 - Develop electronic capabilities for intra and inter community communications among health care providers when usual links are not functional due to extensive use or disruption of service due to disasters
 - Establish and maintain health information systems for patients to facilitate control of their chronic diseases
- 5. Performance and management improvement
 - On-going access to performance improvement consultation from organizations such as Stroudwater Associates

- Management training available in the community for new hires and staff promoted to management positions
- Attendance of senior management at national meetings related to operating CAHS
- Monitor, report, and discuss with staff the results of performance core measures
 produced from Hospital Compare and other management tools; compare to
 facilities of similar size and patient volume
- 6. Enhance quality of clinical care delivery
 - Establish peer review consultation and education arrangements involving medical staff of the CAH facilities
 - Educational opportunities for clinical staff on diagnostic and treatment updates
 - Activities in establishing procedures that document compliance with the clinical protocols of Hospital Compare and other benchmark programs developed by CMS, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Alabama, or other organizations
 - Develop and provide staff training for timely and appropriate triage in the ED
- 7. Develop new service lines compatible with community needs identified through "Hometown Healthcare" meetings (see #3 above)
 - On-going training on maximizing use of the swing bed program
 - Establishing and financing services needed by aging rural populations such as physical therapy, rehabilitation services, and health promotion programs
 - Sustainability studies on establishing off-campus out-patient clinics such as Certified Rural Health Clinics

Plans for strengthening the network include exploring multiple areas of common interest among its four members. Intermediate (2 year) and Long Term (3 year) plans include adding additional horizontal organizations (hospitals) to the network without regard to whether or not they are CAHs. A network including both vertical and horizontal members moves closer to establishing a seamless and efficient system of health care in each community.

Future Flex Activities:

Immediate, Intermediate and Long Term Plans in the Areas of EMS, Quality and Networking

The needs and initiatives identified by the focus groups were used in developing the following Flex Program objectives for the next three years. However, they should be considered as tentative plans based on the availability of resources, concurrence with Flex Grant Oversight Committee guidelines, and the practicality of implementation.

Goal 1: Strengthen and Integrate EMS

(Some of these needs are addressed each year during all 3 years. Others will be completed in the coming year, and still others require at least 3 years to complete.)

Immediate (1 yr. Obj.)	<u>Measures</u>	<u>Outcomes</u>
------------------------	-----------------	-----------------

Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals

1A Encourage the providing
of Life Support
training/certification to
hospital and community EMS
staff members.

training sessions by type of training; # staff trained by type of training # staff certified and recertified by discipline and type of training

2A Encourage the offering of training courses in emergency and trauma care to staff already certified in Advanced Life Support.

staff requesting training by course title; # of people approved for and completing training. # staff by facility and discipline with EMS training beyond Life Support certification.

3A Encourage the providing of opportunities for hospital staff or local residents to become qualified to conduct certified training in Life Support.

entering training;
completing required courses

qualified trainers; # receiving training for certification in service area/community

Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals

4A Provide Life Support training/certification to hospital and community EMS staff members.

training sessions by type of training; # staff trained by type of training # staff certified and recertified by discipline and type of training

5A Offer training courses in emergency and trauma care to staff already certified in # staff requesting training by course title; # of people approved for and completing

staff by facility and discipline with EMS training beyond Life Support

Advanced Life Support. training. certification. 6A Provide opportunities for # entering training; # # qualified trainers; # hospital staff or local residents completing required courses receiving training for to become qualified to conduct certification in service certified training in Life area/community Support. Plan produced with 1st, 2nd, 7A Engage a strategic # Needs prioritized and/or planning exercise to identify potential initiatives identified; and 3rd year objectives to EMS needs to be addressed. # feasibility studies conducted improve EMS Intermediate (2nd yr. Obj.) Measures Outcomes **Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals** # staff certified and recertified 1A Encourage the providing # training sessions by type of training; # staff trained by type of Life Support by discipline and type of training/certification to of training training hospital and community EMS staff members. 2A Encourage the offering of # staff requesting training by # staff by facility and course title; # of people training courses in emergency discipline with EMS training approved for and completing beyond Life Support and trauma care to staff already certified in Advanced training. certification. Life Support. 3A Encourage the providing # entering training; # # qualified trainers; # of opportunities for hospital completing required courses receiving training for staff or local residents to certification in service become qualified to conduct area/community certified training in Life Support. **Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals** # training sessions by type of # staff certified and recertified 4A Provide Life Support training/certification to training; # staff trained by type by discipline and type of hospital and community EMS of training training staff members. 5A Offer training courses in # staff requesting training by # staff by facility and discipline with EMS training emergency and trauma care to course title; # of people staff already certified in approved for and completing beyond Life Support Advanced Life Support. certification. training. 6A Provide opportunities for # entering training; # # qualified trainers; # hospital staff or local residents completing required courses receiving training for

certification in service area

to become qualified to conduct

certified training in Life

Support.

7A Create focus groups to develop strategies for EMS needs including communication links and future needs. (It is possible that non-CAHs may be involved in this objective.)

Equal involvement of health and community representatives; strategies completed by established timelines Entire community engaged in EMS system enhancement

8A Identify external services needed to improve Emergency Department operations such as behavioral health consultations. (It is possible that non-CAHs may be involved in this objective.)

and types of services needed in each participating service area/community Improved crisis care by networking with other health care providers

9A Investigate potential for other small, rural hospitals to participate in the RHDA Network to contract with Emergency Physician organization to provide 24/7 Emergency Department coverage.

Cost and arrangements for Emergency Department coverage

Confidence in Emergency Department services increased in service area/community; relief for local physicians

Long Term (3rd yr. Obj.)

Measures

Outcomes

Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals

1A Encourage the providing of Life Support training/certification to hospital and community EMS staff members.

training sessions by type of training; # staff trained by type of training # staff certified and recertified by discipline and type of training

2A Encourage the offering of training courses in emergency and trauma care to staff already certified in Advanced Life Support.

staff requesting training by course title; # of people approved for and completing training. # staff by facility and discipline with EMS training beyond Life Support certification.

3A Encourage the providing of opportunities for hospital staff or local residents to become qualified to conduct certified training in Life Support.

entering training;
completing required courses

qualified trainers; # receiving training for certification in service area

Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals

4A Provide Life Support training/certification to hospital and community EMS staff members.	# training sessions by type of training; # staff trained by type of training	# staff certified and recertified by discipline and type of training
5A Offer training courses in emergency and trauma care to staff already certified in Advanced Life Support.	# staff requesting training by course title; # of people approved for and completing training.	# staff by facility and discipline with EMS training beyond Life Support certification.
6A Provide of Life Support training/certification to hospital and community EMS staff members.	# training sessions by type of training; # staff trained by type of training	# staff certified and recertified by discipline and type of training
7A Organize and provide training for Emergency Department staff and community EMS workers on patient transfer procedures. (It is possible that non-CAHs may be involved in this objective.)	# staff/EMS workers trained; # training sessions	Integration of EMS in health system; Efficient use of health workers
8A Implement strategies developed previous year to meet service area/community specific needs in EMS. (It is possible that non-CAHs may be involved in this objective.)	# strategies implemented	Community involved in health care plans
9A Implement redundant methods for both intracommunity and intra-state communications for EMS purposes. (It is possible that non-CAHs may be involved in this objective.)	# of systems by type needed; Delineate plan for use of each system; Cost per system	Consensus in each service area/community on EMS communications

Goal 2: Quality/Performance Improvement

Improve the Quality of Care Received in Rural Hospitals and the Public's Perception of This Quality

(Some of these needs are addressed each year during all 3 years. Others will be completed in the coming year, and still others require at least 3 years to complete.)

Immediate (1 yr. Obj.)	Measures	Outcomes
------------------------	----------	----------

Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals		
1B Encourage the use of Flex funding for Continuous Service Readiness (CSR) program participation.	# Flex eligible hospitals using Flex funds for such participation.	Flex eligible hospitals improve performance on such surveys.
2B Encourage Flex eligible hospitals to use Flex funding to seek the Alabama Quality Award from the Alabama Productivity Center at the University of Alabama in Tuscaloosa or similar recognition.	# Flex eligible hospitals using Flex funds for such participation.	Flex eligible hospitals are recognized as facilities of higher production, service, and management quality.
3B Encourage Flex eligible hospitals to use Flex funding to conduct patient safety/satisfaction surveys.	# Flex eligible hospitals using Flex funds for such surveys.	Flex eligible hospitals learn more about their actual or perceived strengths and weaknesses and can react to improve their quality of care or perceived quality of care.
4B Encourage the use of Flex funding to increase hospital visibility and recognition as a major quality healthcare provider through such events as screenings, health fairs, etc.	# of Flex eligible hospitals participating in such events, # of such events being conducted by each hospital, # of screenings actually conducted, # different types of screenings conducted	Hospitals are seen by the local community as being quality providers of healthcare services. Erroneous quality opinions can be dispelled through such visibility.
5B Encourage the use of Flex funds to inform the local community about quality of healthcare activities, recognition, etc.	# of such public relations releases	Hospitals receive due credit for being quality facilities and increase utilization by potential consumers.
6B Encourage the use of Flex funds to address core	# of Flex eligible hospitals addressing such measures	Hospitals improve scores resulting in improved quality

measures. of care

7B Encourage the use of Flex funds for Flex eligible hospitals to participate in benchmarking programs such as RPM.

of Flex eligible hospitals participating in benchmarking programs such as RPM. Increased efficiency, improved quality in Flex eligible hospitals

Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals

8B Provide training to staff in selected new clinical procedures and/or protocols.

clinical staff trained by discipline for specified courses; % total clinical staff trained during year. Improved quality based on # staff completing training; # certified; other evidence of training successes.

9B Finalize Rural Performance Management (RPM) System implementation. # Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) with fully operational systems.

Relevant operation data routinely reported to management.

10B Continue participating in Hospital Compare and finalize implementing RPM.

Process, outcome, and Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers (HCAHPS) measures of each CAH compared to national benchmarks. Changes in procedures by management influenced by Hospital Compare reports.

11B Develop physician peer review/education program for medical staff of the CAHs.

education forums held, # physicians participating, # favorable evaluations.

Improved outcomes of medical decisions from consultations.

12B Engage a strategic planning exercise to identify quality and performance needs to be addressed.

needs prioritized and methods identified, # feasibility studies conducted. Plan produced with 1st, 2nd, and 3rd year objectives to improve quality and performance.

Intermediate (2nd vr. Obj.)

<u>Measures</u>

Outcomes

Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals

1B Encourage continued participation in Continuous Service Readiness (CSR) program participation for hospitals already participating.

qualified hospitals participating in CSR programs in year one that continue such participation. # qualified hospitals improving performance on such surveys.

2B Continue encouragement of Flex eligible hospitals to use Flex funding to seek the Alabama Quality Award or # additional Flex eligible hospitals using Flex funds for such participation.

Flex eligible hospitals are recognized as facilities of higher production, service, and management quality.

similar recognition.

3B Encourage Flex eligible hospitals to use Flex funding or to secure more permanent and stable funding for continuing to conduct patient safety/satisfaction surveys or initiate such surveys if these are not already being conducted.

Flex eligible hospitals using Flex funds for such surveys.

Flex eligible hospitals learn more about their actual or perceived strengths and weaknesses and can react to improve their quality of care or perceived quality of care.

4B Encourage Flex eligible hospitals to use Flex funding to conduct patient safety/satisfaction surveys.

Flex eligible hospitals using Flex funds for such surveys.

Flex eligible hospitals learn more about their actual or perceived strengths and weaknesses and can react to improve their quality of care or perceived quality of care.

5B Encourage the expansion of hospital visibility and recognition as a major quality healthcare provider through such events as screenings, health fairs, etc.

of hospitals participating in such events, # of such events being conducted by each hospital, # of screenings actually conducted, # different types of screenings conducted Hospitals are seen by the local community as being quality providers of healthcare services. Erroneous quality opinions can be dispelled through such visibility.

6B Continue to encourage qualified hospitals to inform the local community about quality of healthcare activities, recognition, etc.

of such public relations releases, daily census, hospital financial status. Hospitals receive due credit for being quality facilities and increase utilization by potential consumers.

7B Encourage the use of Flex funds to address core measures.

of Flex eligible hospitals addressing such measures

Hospitals improve scores resulting in improved quality of care

8B Encourage the use of Flex funds for Flex eligible hospitals to participate in benchmarking programs such as RPM.

of Flex eligible hospitals participating in benchmarking programs such as RPM. Increased efficiency, improved quality in Flex eligible hospitals

Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals

9B Review, refine, finalize decisions on networking feasibility studies begun the previous year. (This is also Intermediate Strengthen Network Relationship Objective 3C)

Assess the following feasibility studies: tele-health arrangements, group purchasing of drugs and laboratory supplies, and staff recruiting services.

More efficient operation and increased local services.

10B Finalize implementation of the Healthcare Information Technology (HIT) system in all sites.

Completed implementation for each component by established dates.

Increased efficiency for data collection for financial, clinical and performance evaluation.

11B Engage Stroudwater Associates to assess Medicare Cost Reports and review RPM results with management. Completeness in capturing all cost areas identified by RPM reports needing attention.

Maximize reimbursement and improve operations.

12B Initiate feasibility studies with business plans for joint expansion of service lines.

Studies completed on network owned home health/hospice services and physician/occupation/speech therapy services.

Improve quality/efficiency of services to residents.

Long Term (3rd yr. Obj.)

Measures

Outcomes

Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals

1B Identify hospitals recognizing the greatest benefits from Continuous Service Readiness (CSR) program participation, identify why and where this benefit was seen, and share this information with other hospitals.

hospitals employing information learned through this analysis.

hospitals improving performance after employing findings form this study.

2B Encourage hospitals using Flex funding for Continuous Service Readiness (CSR) program participation to secure more permanent and stable funding for continuing program participation.

hospitals seeking and finding non-Flex funding to continue program participation.

Hospitals realize the value of such program participation to their financial status and are able to continue program participation without Flex funding.

3B Encourage Flex eligible hospitals to secure more permanent and stable funding for continuing Alabama Quality Award or similar recognition participation.

hospitals seeking and finding non-Flex funding to continue program participation. Hospitals realize the value of such program participation to their financial status and are able to continue program participation without Flex funding.

4B Encourage Flex eligible hospitals to use Flex funding to conduct patient safety/satisfaction surveys.

Flex eligible hospitals using Flex funds for such surveys.

Flex eligible hospitals learn more about their actual or perceived strengths and weaknesses and can react to improve their quality of care or perceived quality of care. 5B Continue to encourage the expansion of hospital visibility and recognition as a major quality healthcare provider through such events as screenings, health fairs, etc.

of hospitals participating in such events, # of such events being conducted by each hospital, # of screenings actually conducted, # different types of screenings conducted Hospitals are seen by the local community as being quality providers of healthcare services. Erroneous quality opinions can be dispelled through such visibility.

6B Continue to encourage qualified hospitals to inform the local community about quality of healthcare activities, recognition, etc.

of such public relations releases, daily census, hospital financial status. Hospitals receive due credit for being quality facilities and increase utilization by potential consumers.

7B Encourage the use of Flex funds to address core measures.

of Flex eligible hospitals addressing such measures

Hospitals improve scores resulting in improved quality of care

8B Encourage the use of Flex funds for Flex eligible hospitals to participate in benchmarking programs such as RPM.

of Flex eligible hospitals participating in benchmarking programs such as RPM. Increased efficiency, improved quality in Flex eligible hospitals

Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals

7B Review strategic plan and update as appropriate.

Changes prioritized.

Increased likelihood of network continuing.

8B Make decisions on feasibility studies to expand lines of service.

Consensus on services to be pursued.

Increased quality services, revenue enhanced.

9B Develop on-line staff education program.

Resources identified for instruction in swing bed management, community education on diabetes and hypertension, supervision, and other needed topics.

Improve clinical care and management.

Goal 3: Strengthen Networking Relationships

(Some of these needs are addressed each year during all 3 years. Others will be completed in the coming year, and still others require at least 3 years to complete.)

Immediate	1 vr.	Obi.)

Measures

Outcomes

Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals

1C Encourage the use of Flex funds for participating in an existing network or establishing new networks to enhance or improve EMS, quality, or otherwise strengthening rural hospitals.

Estimates of financial status with and without participation in the network(s).

More efficient operation

Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals

2C Conduct feasibility study on building a wellness center in each of the CAH communities. Potential partners specify centers' contents and operations

Pro or Con decision in each community

3C Conduct feasibility studies on tele-health arrangements, group purchasing of drugs and laboratory supplies, and staff recruiting services. Potential partners identify possible tele-health services; Potential savings per item and delivery options from group purchasing; Potential partners evaluate staff recruitment advantages and disadvantages Pro or Con decision in each CAH

4C Identify other potential joint ventures for network members.

Assignments to lead feasibility studies with business plans

Shared services e.g. telehealth arrangements with USA Medical Center; staff recruitment

5C Develop a network web site.

Web site content; Projections of cost to develop/maintain

Staff interaction; Foundation to expand network

6C Develop marketing/public relations strategy including community education on chronic disease.

Engage focus groups to discuss methods; Identify knowledgeable subject matter experts Awareness of locally available health services; improved health status

7C Finalize HIT system plans and start implementing system at each site.

Final decisions on system use and requirements; Completion of project by established due dates Improved record system and data bases; Easy access to patient data

Intermediate (2nd yr. Obj.)

Measures

Outcomes

Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals

1C Encourage the use of Flex funds for participating in an existing network or establishing new networks to enhance or improve EMS, quality, or otherwise strengthening rural hospitals.

Estimates of financial status with and without participation in the network(s).

More efficient operation

Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals

2C Develop network sustainability plan including financial needs and potential funding sources. Determine financial need; Identify potential resources; Initiate plans to secure funds Network continues

3C Expand Web site by adding links to resources, similar organizations, and the community at large.

Setting and meeting expansion time lines; Decide expansion links Communication link with potential network partners

4C Review, refine, finalize decisions on networking feasibility studies begun the previous year. (This is also Intermediate Quality/Performance Improvement Objective 8B)

Assess the following feasibility studies: tele-health arrangements, group purchasing of drugs and laboratory supplies, and staff recruiting services.

More efficient operation and increased local services.

5C Pilot implementation of marketing/public relations plan including community education on chronic disease.

Decisions based on community feedback

Increased confidence for success of final marketing and education products

Long Term (3rd vr. Obj.)

Measures

Outcomes

Objectives for All Flex Eligible Hospitals

1C Encourage the use of Flex funds for participating in an existing network or establishing new networks to enhance or improve EMS, quality, or otherwise strengthening rural hospitals. Estimates of financial status with and without participation in the network(s).

More efficient operation

Objectives for Critical Access Hospitals

2C Continue expansion of Web site links and services.	Prioritized list of potential links and other information added.	Enhanced tool for recruiting additional network members
3C Formalize arrangements and add additional network members.	# and types of new network members	Network strengthened with new members; Network resources expanded
4C Continue pursuing resources to sustain the network.	Potential resources contacted; maximize allowable CAH cost reimbursement	Network sustained or partially sustained
5C Fully implement the marketing/public relations plan and community education program on chronic diseases.	# marketing encounters reaching public; # educational materials distributed	Use of local health services increased; Self management of chronic disease
6C Complete HIT system implementation in original and new network member sites.	# sites functional	Enhanced data collection and management; Improved patient health status

SECTION 3:

Evaluation Plans

Evaluation Plans

The peer reviews from Alabama's previous Medicare Rural Hospital Flexibility Grant Program (Flex) applications provide the foundation in developing evaluation strategies for the new cycle of Flex funding. These reviews emphasize the need for greater efforts in quantifying and measuring the results and impact from Alabama's Flex program. The guiding principle in evaluating all of Alabama's initiatives and activities will be to determine which work and which do not work. Those that do not work will be either discontinued or modified. If appropriate, resources will be re-directed to other Flex goals and objectives.

Evaluation of Alabama's Flex Program during the next 3 years will consider national needs, state needs, and community level needs. The Flex staff will continue participating in the National Flex Monitoring Program in satisfying national needs. The Flex Co-Directors will use guidance from the state level Grant Oversight Committee in identifying the information needed in evaluating the Program's impact at both state and community levels in Alabama.

The three CAHs and 25 other Flex eligible hospitals must first embrace proposed activities and strategies in order to achieve success. Including them in planning which initiatives are compatible with and accommodate their needs can accomplish this objective. Throughout the upcoming 3-year period, meetings and discussions will seek on-going feedback on the Flex program from all facilities. Formal arrangements to accomplish this include quarterly regional hospital council meetings and Flex staff conducting at least one on-site visit annually to each of the facilities.

Evaluating Subcontracts

From the beginning of the Flex Program, a significant portion of Alabama's grant has been subcontracted each year directly to Flex eligible hospitals. Sub-contract funding decisions are based on assessments of hospital applications made in response to RFPs. Community hospitals have used subcontract resources to provide initiatives in Quality/Performance Improvement, EMS Integration, and Networking activities. Many hospital staff and community EMS workers have participated in training programs funded by these Flex funds. Plans for the next 3 years include continuing this arrangement since surveys have found that many of these training opportunities would not be available without Flex support.

Flex staff will use technical assistance available from Grant Oversight Committee members in negotiating subcontract arrangements with vendors of computerized software packages, on-line training programs, and organizations such as the state QIO. Selections will consider the compatibility with existing programs, cost, and the needs of participating facilities.

Demonstrating the achievements and outcome in accomplishing Flex goals and objectives will become the concern of all participants in the Flex Program. Funding proposals for subcontracts will include suggested measures with quantified indicators of success. Subcontractors also will submit progress reports to Flex Program staff at negotiated time intervals. A year-end report from each subcontractor will include an assessment of the outcome and impact achieved based on the pre-established measures referenced above.

Flex approved projects and activities will be organized and tracked through a spreadsheet format. Quarterly progress assessments will enable Grant Oversight Committee members to remain current with program developments through phone communication and face-to-face meetings. Activities not progressing according to established timelines or that appear to be unworkable will be monitored more frequently. Discussions with the Grant Oversight Committee could result in decisions to redirect grant resources.

Use of Benchmarks

All Flex eligible hospitals participate in Hospital Compare. Implementation of additional benchmarking programs will allow comparisons of critical measures on operational performance and quality of care among the state's small rural hospitals. The Rural Performance Management (RPM) system was implemented in two CAHs in May, 2008. A similar benchmarking program for the Flex eligible facilities to establish networks for sharing information on operational performance issues, physician peer reviews, and educational programs tailored to common needs should be explored.

One outcome from the Flex program has been greater participation by rural hospitals in JCAHO's Continuous Survey Readiness Program. The information provided from this program is provided on a scheduled arrangement to enrolled hospitals. Analysis of reports from this program identifies educational needs of administrative and clinical staff members.

The Alabama Blue Cross and Blue Shield organization provides coverage to at least 2/3 of state residents with commercial insurance. The organization has established a Tier System of care that requires facilities to comply with specified standards of services for each respective Tier (level) of care. Surveillance reports from this program provide the information needed in developing management action plans to achieve a prescribed level of quality care.

The Flex Program staff will encourage participation by rural hospitals in the Alabama Quality Improvement Forum. The Alabama Hospital Association, Alabama Quality Assurance Foundation, and Blue Cross Blue Shield of Alabama sponsor the Forum jointly. Benefits of participating include learning issues and trends impacting hospitals both nationally and statewide along with a dual educational tract for rural and urban hospitals with shared best practices being distributed.

Collection of Information

The Alabama Flex Program is dedicated to fulfilling reporting needs as published November 14, 2007 in the Federal Register, Vol. 72, No. 219, pg. 64084. Attachment 1-Subcontract Hospital Report Form will provide a common format for all Flex eligible hospitals to capture and submit measurable indicators as outlined in the Federal Register citation.

This includes information such as:

- number people entering training
- number people completing training
- trainee assessments of courses
- number attending educational meetings
- number of hospitals funded
- number of people screened during health fair;
- number of people identified during high risk factors;
- referral outcomes
- number of behavioral health consultations provided in hospital Emergency Departments

The Flex Co-Directors will schedule site visits to each of the SHIP eligible hospitals. These visits will include discussion of the benchmarking activities described above and any technical assistance needed in addressing unresolved issues.

Examples of Best Practices will be gathered during these visits and both successful and unsuccessful experiences shared with the rural hospital constituency group. The Attachment $2 - \mathbf{On}$ -Site Surveillance Form will provide the format and guidance for these visits.

All community visits and attendance at regional or state level meetings will emphasize the importance of measuring the Flex program's impact. The strategic planning conducted in producing this revised State Rural Health Plan has produced a continued commitment to identifying more in-depth evaluations and public announcements of Flex accomplishments. The ultimate intent of all evaluation efforts is to ensure the most productive and efficient use of funds in providing quality health care to rural residents, improving their health status, and stabilizing rural hospitals.

The purpose of the on-site visits is to assist Flex eligible hospitals to compile and report information in a quantified format on the activities provided from grant support. In addition, it provides a uniform format for data collection from all participating hospitals. Measurable indicators of activities are an important component in monitoring the annual progress of the Flex Program at both state and national levels. Examples include how many hospitals receive grant support, the total number of people trained, and the number of quality improvement systems implemented in CAHs and other Flex eligible hospitals.

To the extent possible, the information below should be collected as activities occur beginning with the effective date of the subcontract and ending with the conclusion of the grant year.

Attachment 1 Subcontract Hospital Report Form

Quality Improvement

Q1. Number of Flex funded conferences, meetings, seminars, or workshops attended Number of staff members participating
Q2. Number of staff members participating in on-line training programs,; AQAF training sessions,; training sessions on clinical care issues such as wound care management or infection control
Q3. Quality Improvement software purchased or upgraded?YesNO If yes, please specify type and use
Q4. Other educational packages or videos purchased relating to (please check) reducing medications errors, improving patient safety, hospital safety compliance, tracking/reporting core measures,, EMTALA training
Q5. Other training and education activities provided directed to improving delivery of clinical services and patient outcomes? Please specify Number of staff participating
Q6. Number of health fairs participated in, Number of other organizations participating, Number of community residents attending; Number of abnormal screenings (or people at risk) detected
Comments on Quality Improvement activities?
Performance or Management Improvement
P1. Number of training programs provided for staff on leadership, supervision, management principles, or similar purposes Number of staff members who participated
P2. Number of patients participating in Customer Satisfaction Surveys
P3. Check here if your Charge Master Account was up-dated ()

EMS Improvement and Integration

	E1. Life Support Training and Certification: (Hospital and EMS Workers) Number trained/certified in Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS) Number trained/certified in Pediatric Advanced Life Support (PALS) Number trained/certified in Basic Trauma Life Support (BTLS) Number trained/certified in Neonatal Advanced Life Support (NALS)
	E2. Number participating in other ED and EMS Training such as Emergency Nurses Pediatric Course, Trauma Nursing Core Course, CPR, AED use, etc
	E3. Number of training sessions for volunteer EMS workers Number of people trained
	E4. ED Quality Improvement initiatives implemented or supported? Please specify:
Comm	ents on EMS activities?
.	
<u>Netw</u>	orking Enhancements or Initiatives:
	N1. Number of networking initiatives ongoing and description:
	N2. Actual or expected benefits derived from these networking initiatives:
Comm	ents on Networking activities:

Other uses of Flex funds

Equipment purchased for training. Please specify
Number of educational videos purchased
•
Number of educational manuals purchased
-
Please note any other uses of your Flex funds:

Rev. 0722208

Attachment 2 ON-SITE SURVEILLANCE FORM

1.	Name of Hospital:
2.	Ownership:
3.	Date(s) of visit:
4.	Check all that apply and provide information requested:
	CAH Date converted
	PPS Hospital: # Beds Average Daily Census
	Swing Bed Program Date started Occupancy rate Did staff attended Flex supported Swing Bed training? Yes No Describe program status before and after training:
	Distinct Part Unit Gero-Psychiatric Extended Rehab
	Certified Rural Health Clinic Location proximity to Hospital
	Home Health Program
	Attached with Nursing Home # Beds Occupancy
Co	ommunity EMS arrangements:
Pa	atient transfer (handoff) arrangements:

5.	Summary of Flex supported activities previous 2 years:
6.	Progress in implementing this Year's Flex activities:
7.	CAH Specific Information
	Revenue impact from most recent year: Improvements in facility since conversion: Improvements in services provided: Comments on staff recruitment since conversion: Networking activities with members of Mid-South CAH Consortium
8.	Benchmarking Participation
	Hospital Compare (Review Hospital reports at hospitalcompare.hhs.gov prior to visit) Results?
	RPM Results?
	JCAHO Continuous Survey Readiness Results?
	AQAF Specify Program: Results?
	Blue Cross Blue Shield Tier System Tier Level Results?
	Other?
9.	Technical Assistance Needs:

10. Best Practices Identified:

11. Other Issues identified

Members of Alabama's Flex Grant Oversight Committee

The following members of the Flex Grant Oversight Committee have been unselfish in sharing their time and guidance in maximizing the benefits of Flex program participation to Alabama's rural healthcare hospitals and its rural residents.

Clyde Barganier, Past Director, Office of Primary Care and Rural Health Development, Alabama Department of Public Health

Harold "Brownie" Brown, Flex Program Representative, Office of Primary Care and Rural Health Development, Alabama Department of Public Health

Barry S. Cochran, Administrator, Fayette Medical Center, Fayette, Alabama

Russell Crowley, Deputy Director, EMS and Trauma, Alabama Department of Public Health

Allen Foster, Administrator, Mizell Memorial Hospital, Opp, Alabama

Michael Jones, Director, Contracts – Communications, Alabama Quality Assurance Association

Linda U. Jordan, Administrator, Clay County Hospital, Ashland, Alabama

Jane Knight, Vice-president of Member Relations, Alabama Hospital Association

Charles S. Lail, Director, Office of Primary Care and Rural Health Development, Alabama Department of Public Health

Lother E. (Jim) Peace, III, Administrator, Russell Hospital, Alexander City, Alabama

Ellen Stone, Executive Director, Alabama Family Practice Rural Health Board

Douglas Tanner, Administrator, Washington County Hospital, Chatom, Alabama

4


APPENDICES

The next section of the revised rural health plan includes three appendices addressing health status indicators. These health status indicators have been presented in several ways including urban and rural categories, by county specific categories, by regional classifications such as ARC and Delta Regions and by leading causes of death in Alabama. These classifications were made to accommodate the diverse needs of Flex hospitals.

APPENDIX A:

Selected Indicators of Health Status in Alabama, Alabama's Rural Counties, Alabama's Urban Counties, and the United States

October 2007



SELECTED HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS
United States, Alabama, Rural Alabama Counties

T-45-4	Timitani	Chatae	Alah	omo) loand	Third States Chaires And Alabama Countries Dural Countries		IIrhan Counties
Indicators	United States	States	Alabama	ama	Valian	ounties	Oluan	Commics
2006 Population	Number	Pct. of Total	Number	Pct. of Total	Number	Pct. of Total	Number	Pct. of Total
Total	299,398,484	100.0	4,599,030	100.0	2.020,120	100.0	2,578,910	100.0
African American (alone)	38,342,549	12.8	1,211,583	26.3	427,128	21.1	784,455	30.4
White (alone)	239,746,254	80.1	3,276,561	71.2	1,552,622	6.97	1,723,939	8.99
American Indian (alone)	2,902,851	1.0	23,799	0.5	13,064	9.0	10,735	6.0
Asian (alone)	13,159,343	4.4	41,881	6.0	7,612	0.4	34,269	1.3
Hispanic	44,321,038	14.8	113,890	2.5	54,944	2.7	58,946	2.3
Age 19 Years or Less	82,079,106	27.4	1,240,643	27.0	532,043	26.3	708,600	27.5
Age 65 Years or More	37,260,352	12.4	615,597	13.4	292,694	14.5	322.903	12.5
Age 85 Years or More	5,296,817	1.8	79,530	1.7	37,909	1.9	41,621	1.6
Population Change	Number	Pct. Change	Number	Pct. Change	Number	Pct. Change	Number	Pet. Change
1910 – 2000	91,972,266 to 281,421,906	206.0	2,138,093 to 4,447,100	108.0	1,418,814 to 1,958,215	38.0	719,279 to 2,488,885	246.0
2000 - 2025 Projected	281,421,906 to 349,695,000	24.3	4,447,100 to 5,385,997	21.1	1,958,215 to 2,441,629	24.7	2,488,885 to 2,944,868	18.3
Age 65+: 2000 – 2025 Projected	34,991,753 to 63,042,500	80.2	579,907 to 999,769	72.4	273,757 to 491,636	79.6	306,150 to 508,133	0.99
Hispanic: 1990 - 2006 Estimated	22,354,059 to 44,321,038	98.3	24,629 to 113,890	362.4	9,113 to 54,944	502.9	15,516 to 58,946	279.9
Income Related Indicators	Number	Measure	Number	Measure	Number	Measure	Number	Measure
Population Below Poverty Level – 2004	37,039,804	12.7%	727,308	16.1%	331,089	16.7%	397,803	15.7%
Children Under 18 Below Poverty	13 041 402	17 80%	245 017	22 6 %	110 845	23 5%	134 170	21 9%
Per Capita Personal Income –	4CF,1FO,C1	634.471	A N	620 673	A N	625 580	A N	\$32 790
2005 Medicaid Eligible Population –	N.A.	N.A.	72.N	21.1%	484.384	23,3%	503,822	19.4%
2006 Medicaid Eligible Children (Under 21) - 2006	N.A.	N.A.	520,256	38,9%	251,712	42.4%	268,078	35.2%
Medicaid Births - 2006	N.A.	N.A.	30,114	49.3%	13,690	54.7%	16,424	45.5%
Access to Health Care Indicators	Number	Measure	Number	Measure	Number	Measure	Number	Measure
Primary Care Physicians – 2006 (Per 10,000 Pop.)	209,550	7.2	3,044	6.5	964	4.6	2,080	8.0
Dentists - 2003 (Per 10,000 Pop.)	86,110	2.9 (2006)	1,557	3.5	487	2.5	1,070	4.2
Psychiatrists – 2006 (Per 10,000 Pop.)	24,730	6.0	298	9.0	31	0.1	267	1.0
Hospital Beds (Per 10,000 Pop.)	N.A.	N.A.	16,917	36.1	5,061	24.3	11,856	45.6
Households With No Vehicle – 2000	10,861,067	10.3%	143,594	8.3%	64,550	8.5%	79,044	8,1%
Uninsured Population - 2003	39,803,527	14.2% (2001)	504,539	11.2%	237,946	12.0%	266,593	10.6%

SELECTED HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS
United States, Alabama, Rural Alabama Counties, and Urban Alabama Counties

Cause of Death	2004 Rate Per 100,000 816.5 11.4 188.6 18.3 53.8 27.5 2.6 9.9 20.1 4.4	Number 139,414 2,451 29,389	Rate Per 100,000	Number 100	Rate Per 100,000	Number 100.	Rate Per
Number Number 1,397,615 33,373 553,888	100,000 816.5 11.4 118.6 18.3 53.8 52.5 2.6 9.9 20.1 4.4 4.4	Number 139,414 2,451 29,389	Kate Per 100,000	Number	100,000	Number	100 000
a 2,397,615 a 33,373 Ectum, and Anus 553,888 benchus, and Lung 158,091 emale) 158,091 emale) 3,850 emale) 14,716 (male) 29,004 is, Brain, Other 12,829 r's Disease 65,965 Wellitus 73,138 ascular Diseases 675,562 illure 57,120 ascular Diseases 150,074 in 58,564 cower Respiratory 121,987	11.4 118.6 118.3 118.3 127.5 27.5 2.6 9.9 20.1 4.4	2,451				100111111	TOUVOOR
### 33,373 ### Sectum, and Anus	11.4 188.6 18.3 53.8 27.5 2.6 9.9 20.1 4.4 4.4	29,389	1,028.7	66,134	1,109.7	73,280	965.2
Sectum, and Anus 553,888 ea, Bronchus, and Lung 138,091 (female) 40,954 (female) 3,850 (female) 14,716 te (male) 29,004 ges, Brain, Other 12,829 tral Nervous System 65,965 ner's Disease 73,138 Sis Mellitus 73,138 ric Heart Diseases 675,562 ric Heart Diseases 451,326 ric Heart Diseases 451,326 ric Heart Diseases 150,074 conia 58,564 c Lower Respiratory 121,987	188.6 18.3 53.8 27.5 27.5 9.9 20.1 4.4 4.4	29,389	18.1	1,109	18.6	1,342	17.7
and Anus 53,772 18, and Lung 158,091 40,954 40,954 3,850 14,716 29,004 Other 12,829 18e 65,965 See 73,138 675,562 Olseases 451,326 57,120 S8,564 S8,564 S8,564	18.3 53.8 27.5 2.6 9.9 20.1 4.4 22.5		216.9	13,759	230.9	15,630	205.9
18, and Lung 158,091 40,954 40,954 3,850 14,716 29,004 Other 12,829 18. System 65,965 13.138 675,562 Oiseases 451,326 57,120 S8,564 espiratory 121,987	53.8 27.5 2.6 9.9 20.1 4.4 22.5 24.9	2,696	19.9	1,279	21.5	1,417	18.7
ale) 3,850 14,716 29,004 System 12,829 18 System 12,829 13,138 675,562 Diseases 451,326 57,120 88,564 espiratory 121,987	27.5 2.6 9.9 20.1 4.4 22.5	9,286	68.5	4,486	75.3	4,800	63.2
as y \$50 14,716 29,004 Other 12,829 18e 65,965 73,138 675,562 Diseases 451,326 57,120 S8,564 espiratory 121.987	2.6 9.9 20.1 4.4 22.5 24.9	2,072	29.4	920	30.0	1,152	29.0
Other 14,716 29,004 Is System 12,829 Ise 65,965 73,138 675,562 Diseases 451,326 57,120 S7,120 S8,564 espiratory 121,987	9.9 20.1 4.4 22.5 24.9	241	3.4	119	3.9	122	3.1
Other 12,829 use 65,965 T3,138 T3,138 T3,138 T3,138 T3,138 T3,138 T3,120 T1,120 T1,138	20.1 4.4 22.5 24.9	786	11.2	369	12.0	417	10.5
other 12,829 sse 65,965 73,138 73,138 675,562 Oiseases 451,326 57,120 S7,120 S8,564 espiratory 121,987	4.4 22.5 24.9	1,609	24.3	736	25.0	873	23.7
Diseases 65,965 73,138 675,562 675,562 73,138 675,562 73,138 73,138 77,120 77,120 77,120 77,120 77,120 77,120 77,120	22.5	611	4.5	291	4.9	320	4.2
73,138 675,562 Diseases 451,326 57,120 Diseases 150,074 S8,564 espiratory 121,987	24.9	4,145	30.6	1,982	33.3	2,163	28.5
675,562 451,326 57,120 150,074 58,564 17		4,273	31.5	1,881	31.6	2,392	31.5
451,326 57,120 150,074 58,564 121,987	230.1	38,683	285.4	19,704	330.6	18,979	250.0
57,120 150,074 58,564 ry	153.7	18,789	138.6	9,374	157.3	9,415	124.0
150,074 58,564 ry 121.987	19.5	909'9	48.7	3,747	62.9	2,859	37.7
58,564	51.1	8,934	62.9	4,168	6.69	4,766	62.8
121.987	19.9	3,111	23.0	1,466	24.6	1,645	21.7
	41.5	7,156	52.8	3,539	59.4	3,617	47.6
27,013	9.2	1,378	10.2	611	10.3	191	10.1
Syndrome, and Nephrosis 42,480 1	14.5	3,132	23.1	1,463	24.5	1,669	22.0
112,012	38.1	6,931	51.1	3,546	59.5	3,385	44.6
Motor Vehicle Accidents 44,933	15.3	3,480	25.7	1,909	32.0	1,571	20.7
Drowning and Submersion 3,308	1.1	187	1.4	96	1.6	91	1.2
3,229	1.1	322	2.4	174	2.9	148	1.9
Poisoning and Exposure to Noxious Substances 20,950	7.1	646	4.8	262	4.4	348	5.1
Homicide 17,357	5.9	1,233	9.1	422	7.1	811	10.7
Suicide 32,439 1	11.0	1,586	11.7	417	12.1	867	11.4

SELECTED HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS

United States, Alabama, Rural Alabama Counties, and Urban Alabama Counties

um Mortality Rate ver Births) irths - 2006 Il Births) (10-19) - 2006 Il Births) ss Than Adequate - 2006 (Percent	Number Me	Measure	Alabama	ams		O'CLESTON OF THE PARTY OF	100 to 10	
1 Mortality Rate Births) His - 2006 Births) 10-19) - 2006 Births) Than Adequate 2006 (Percent	Number 1	Aleagure			Nul al V	Rural Counties	Ordan	Urban Counties
Part Market	TARREST .	TARK SECURET.	Number	Measure	Number	Measure	Number	Measure
W504								
160	28,534 (2005)	6.9	1,646	0.6	682	8.9	964	9.1
1153								
	331,772 (2004)	8.1%	0,616	10.5 %	2,561	9.7%	4,055	11.1%
-	(1000/210000)	10 30%	0230	12 0 0%	4011	7000	000	
Prenatal Care - 2006 (Percent	1777 (7004)	10.370	0/0%	13.6 %	4,011	15.2 %	4,659	12.8 %
	Not	Not						
of All Births)	Available	Available	14,390	23.1 %	209'9	25.2 %	7.783	21.5%
Tobacco Use During Pregnancy								
- 2006 (Percent of All Births)	419,429	10.2%	7,394	11.8 %	3.902	14.8 %	3.492	% 9.6
Births Occurring Outside of the								
Mother's County of Residence -	Not	Not						
2006 (Percent of All Births)	Available	Available	20.002	31.8 %	15.987	60.5%	4.015	11.0%
Births to Under-Educated								0.011
Women - 2006 (Percent of All	Not	Not						
Births)	Available	Available	11.648	18.6%	5,710	21.7%	5.938	163%
Other Indicators	Number	Measure	Number	Measure	Number	Measure	Number	Measure
Age 65+ With "Go Outside"								
Disability - 2000	6,795,517	19.4 %	139,401	24.0%	68,529	25.0 %	70,872	23.1 %
Age 25+ With Less Than High								
School Education - 2000	35,715,625	19.6 %	714,081	24.7 %	391,305	30.3	322,776	20.2 %
Receiving Medicare Disability -								
2003 (Percent of Lotal	200000	ò	160 673	7000	200			
Obese - 2003 (Percent of Total	6,012,424	07.7.7	130,573	3.3 %	73,499	3.8 %	75,022	3.0 %
_	Not Comparable	Not Comparable	1.073.329	23.9 %	465,494	23.5	\$834	24.1
					2000		200,100	1.17
Accidental Deaths Occurring Outside of a Health Care								
Facility 2003-2005 (Percent of	Not	Not						
all Accidental Deaths)	Available	Available	3,621	52.2 %	1,974	55.7 %	1,647	48.7 %
Life Expectancy at Birth - 2005	1	77.9 years	ı	74.8 years	;	74.4 years	1	75.1 vears
Sexually Transmitted Disease		81				i.		
Cases Reported in 2006 (Per								
10,000 Population)	1,349,333	45.9	33,463	73.6	10,958	52.6	22,505	9.98
Cumulative HIV Cases as of	1							
1, 2006 (Per 10,000	Not	Not						
_	Comparable	Comparable	14,/3/	32.4	3,755	18.0	10,949	42.1
Drug Issues by the Alabama								
Department of Mental Health								
During FY 2004 (Percent of All								
Families)	Not Available	Not Available	20,881	1.7%	7.750	1.4%	13,131	2.0 %

Sources of Information and Special Notes

2006 Population: U.S. Census Bureau, County Population Estimates – characteristics; County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2006. http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/CC-EST2006-alldata.html

Population Change 1910-2000: U.S. Census Bureau, County Population Census Counts 1900-90, http://www.census.gov/population/cencounts/al190090.txt for 1910 data; U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent Data for 2000 data.

Population Change 2000-2025: U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent Data for 2000 data. Alabama State Data Center, Alabama County Population 2000 and Projections 2005-2025 for 2025. http://cber.cba.ua.edu/edata/est_prj.html

Age 65+ Population Change 2000-2025: Alabama State Data Center, Alabama County Population 65 and Over 2000 and Projections 2005-2025 for 2025. http://cber.cba.ua.edu/edata/est_pri.html

Hispanic Population Change 1990-2006: U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 1990 Summary File 1 (STF 1) 100-Percent Data for 1990 data. Alabama State Data Center, Estimates of the Hispanic Population by County, 2006. http://cber.cba.ua.edu/edata/est_prj.html

Population Below Poverty Level - 2004: U.S. Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/saipe.html

Children Under 18 Below Poverty Level - 2004: U.S. Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/saipe.html

2005 Per Capita Personal Income: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, Interactive Tables: Local Area Personal Income, Table CA1-3. http://www.bea.gov/regional/reis/default.cfm?catable=CA1-3§ion=2

Medicaid Eligible Population - 2006: Alabama Medicaid Agency, Alabama Medicaid Statistics by County – 2006. http://www.medicaid.alabama.gov/resources/stats_reports.aspx?tab=5

Medicaid Eligible Children (Under 21) - 2006: Alabama Medicaid Agency, Alabama Medicaid Statistics by County – 2006. http://www.medicaid.alabama.gov/resources/stats reports.aspx?tab=5

Medicaid Births - 2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

Primary Care Physicians in 2006: Medical Licensure Commission, Licensed Physician Data Base – 2006. (In this publication, primary care physicians include family practitioners, internal medicine specialists, pediatricians, and obstetricians and gynecologists.)

Dentists in 2003: Board of Dental Examiners of Alabama, Licensed dentists data base - 2003.

Psychiatrists in 2006: Medical Licensure Commission, Licensed Physician Data Base – 2006.

Hospital Beds in 2007: Alabama Department of Public Health, Division of Provider Services, Healthcare Facilities Directory – Hospital Section. October 4, 2007. http://ph.state.al.us/facilitiesdirectory/(S(ikg10gmphl4ih5550hmu4t45))/Default.aspx

Households With No Vehicle in 2000: U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) Sample Data, Table H44 - Tenure by Vehicles Available.

Uninsured Persons - 2003: State Health Access Data Assistance Center, Alabama County Chartbook: County-Level Estimates of Uninsurance July 2005. (Prepared for the Alabama Department of Public Healthy, Children's Health Insurance Program)

Cause of Death Indicators: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special queries of the 2003, 2004, and 2005 Mortality Statistics Files for Alabama data. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, CDC Wonder Interactive Program, Mortality – Underlying Cause of Death 2004 file. http://wonder.cdc.gov/ (Cause of death data included in this publication is not age-adjusted)

Infant Mortality Rate - 2004-2006; Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special querries of the 2004, 2005, and 2006 Birth Statistics Files for birth data. Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Total Resident Infant Deaths and Infant Mortality Rates by County, Alabama, 2006, 2005, 2004, and Combined 2006-2004. http://adph.org/healthstats/assets/06TotInfantDeaths.pdf

Low Weight Births - 2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

(Births weighing less than 2,500 grams or 5 pounds and 8 ounces are defined as being of low weight.)

Births to Teenagers (Age 10-19) - 2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

Births With Less Than Adequate Prenatal Care - 2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special guery of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

(The Kotelchuck Index is used in determining adequacy of prenatal care. This index primarily considers the date when prenatal care was begun and the number of visits in determining adequacy.)

Births With Tobacco Use During Pregnancy - 2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special guery of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

Births Occurring Outside Mother's County of Residence - 2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

(This indicator was included because of the serious decline in the number of rural hospitals where obstetrics are performed and the natural relationship between women receiving adequate prenatal care and the presence of obstetrical care in the county.)

Births to Undereducated Women - 2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

(Women are considered to be "undereducated" when their years of education is at least two years less than what would be expected for someone of their age.)

Age 65+ With "Home Bound" Disability - 2000: U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) Sample Data, Table P41 – Age by Types of Disability for the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population 5 Years and Over With Disabilities.

Age 25+ With Less Than High School Education - 2000: U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) Sample Data, Table P37 – Sex by Educational Attainment for the Population 25 Years and Over.

Persons Receiving Medicare Disability - 2003: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Medicare County Enrollment, As of July 1, 2003. http://www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicareEnrpts/

Obesity - Percent of Population in 2003: Chronic Disease in Alabama: Past, Present, and Future Trends. Pp. 16-17. http://adph.org/ADMINISTRATION/chronicdisease.pdf

Accidental Deaths Occurring Outside of a Health Care Facility - (2003-2005): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2003, 2004, and 2005 Mortality Statistics File.

(This indicator was used in the place of an "emergency medical services emergency ambulance runs" data base. While there is such a data base maintained within the Alabama Department of Public Health, reporting to this data base is not complete and could produce confusing findings. The provision of adequate emergency medical service continues to be a serious issue in most rural Alabama counties.)

Life Expectancy at Birth - 2005: Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, County Health Profiles – 2005. http://www.adph.org/healthstats/Default.asp?id=1521

Sexually Transmitted Disease Cases - 2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Division of STD Prevention and Control, Statistics, County Totals – 2006. http://www.adph.org/STD/Default.asp?id=1080

Cumulative HIV Cases as of 12/31/2006: Alabama Department of Public Health, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention and Control, Statistics, Public Health Area (January – December 2006). http://www.adph.org/aids/Default.asp?id=984

(National data for the cumulative number of HIV cases as of December 31, 2006 is not comparable due to the fact that not all states report this information to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and those that are reporting initiated this reporting at varying times.)

Families Served by the Division of Substance Abuse Services in the Alabama Department of Mental Health - FY 2004: Alabama Department of Mental Health, Department's Annual Report, '03-'04. p35. http://www.mh.alabama.gov/downloads/AnnualReports/ADMH_AnnualReport_03_04Part3.pdf

PERMISSION IS GRANTED TO DUPLICATE OR OTHERWISE USE ALL OR ANY PORTION OF THIS REPORT

For additional information please contact the Office of Primary Care and Rural Health Development at (334) 206-5396 or the Alabama Rural Health Association at (334) 281-3866.

APPENDIX B:

Selected Health Status Indicators -County Specific Data

October 2007

Table of Contents

Indicator	Page
Counties in Various Regions or Classifications of Alabama	iv
2006 Population	1
2006 Total Population	1
2006 African American (alone) Population	1
2006 White (alone) Population	1
2006 American Indian (alone) Population	1
2006 Asian (alone) Population	2
2006 Hispanic Population 2006 Age 19 Years or Less Population	2 2 2 3
2006 Age 65+ Population	2
2006 Age 85+ Population	3
2000 Tige 05 T opulation	5
Population Change	3
Population Change 1910-2000	3 3 3
Population Change 2000-2025 (projected)	
Age 65+ Population Change 2000-2025 (projected)	4
Hispanic Population Change 1990-2006	4
Income Related Indicators	5
Population Below Poverty Level - 2004	5
Children Under 18 Below Poverty Level - 2004	5
2005 Per Capita Personal Income	5
Medicaid Eligible Population - 2006	6
Medicaid Eligible Children (Under 21) - 2006	6
Medicaid Births - 2006	6
Access to Health Care Indicators	7
Primary Care Physicians in 2006	7
Dentists in 2003	7
Psychiatrists in 2006	7
Hospital Beds in 2007	8
Households With No Vehicle in 2000	8
Uninsured Persons - 2003	8
Cause of Death Indicators	9
Mortality- All Causes (2003-2005)	9
Septicemia Mortality (2003-2005)	9
Cancer Mortality - All Sites (2003-2005)	9
Colon, Rectum, Anus Cancer Mortality (2003-2005)	10
Trachea, Bronchus, Lung Cancer Mortality (2003-2005)	10
Breast Cancer Mortality (Females 2003-2005)	10
Cervix Uteri Cancer Mortality (Females 2003-2005)	11
Ovarian Cancer Mortality (Females 2003-2005)	11
Prostate Cancer Mortality (Males 2003-2005)	11
Meninges, Brain, Other Central Nervous System Cancer Mortality (2003-2005)	12

Alzheimer's Disease Mortality (2003-2005)	12
Diabetes Mellitus Mortality (2003-2005)	12
Heart Diseases Mortality (2003-2005)	13
Ischemic Heart Diseases Mortality (2003-2005)	13
Heart Failure Mortality (2003-2005)	13
Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality (2003-2005)	14
Pneumonia Mortality (2003-2005)	14
Chronic Lower Respiratory Diseases Mortality (2003-2005)	14
Chronic Liver Disease and Cirrhosis Mortality (2003-2005)	15
Nephritis, Nephrotic Syndrome, and Nephrosis Mortality (2003-2005)	15
Accident (All Types) Mortality (2003-2005)	15
Motor Vehicle Accident Mortality (2003-2005)	16
Accidental Drowning and Submersion Mortality (2003-2005)	16
Accidental Smoke, Fire, Flames Mortality (2003-2005)	16
Accidental Poisoning and Exposure to Noxious Substances Mortality (2003-2005)	17
Homicide Mortality (2003-2005)	17
Suicide Mortality (2003-2005)	17
NAPA DIA II PA	10
Natality Related Indicators	18
Infant Mortality Rate - 2004-2006	18
Low Weight Births - 2006	18
Births to Teenagers (Age 10-19) - 2006	18
Births With Less Than Adequate Prenatal Care - 2006	19
Births With Tobacco Use During Pregnancy - 2006	19
Births Occurring Outside Mother's County of Residence - 2006	19
Births to Undereducated Women - 2006	20
Other Indicators	20
Age 65+ With "Home Bound" Disability - 2000	20
Age 25+ With Less Than High School Education - 2000	20
Persons Receiving Medicare Disability - 2003	21
Obesity - Percent of Population in 2003	21
Accidental Deaths Occurring Outside of a Health Care Facility - (2003-2005)	21
Life Expectancy at Birth - 2005	22
Sexually Transmitted Disease Cases - 2006	22
Cumulative HIV Cases as of 12/31/2006	22
Families Being Counseled for Drug Issues by the Alabama Department of Mental Health -	23
FY 2004	
Sources of Information and Special Notes	24
bources of finormation and special froces	47

Counties in Various Regions or Classifications of Alabama

North Alabama Action Commission includes Colbert, Cullman, DeKalb, Franklin, Jackson, Lauderdale, Lawrence, Limestone, Madison, Marion, Marshall, Morgan, and Winston.

West Alabama Action Commission includes Bibb, Fayette, Greene, Hale, Lamar, Pickens, and Tuscaloosa.

Central Alabama Action Commission includes Blount, Chilton, Jefferson, St. Clair, Shelby, and Walker.

East Alabama Action Commission includes Calhoun, Chambers, Cherokee, Clay, Cleburne, Coosa, Etowah, Randolph, Talladega, and Tallapoosa.

South Central Alabama Action Commission includes Autauga, Bullock, Butler, Crenshaw, Elmore, Lee, Lowndes, Macon, Montgomery, Pike, and Russell.

Tombigbee Action Commission includes Choctaw, Clarke, Conecuh, Dallas, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Sumter, Washington, and Wilcox.

Wiregrass Action Commission includes Barbour, Coffee, Covington, Dale, Geneva, Henry, and Houston.

Southwest Alabama Action Commission includes Baldwin, Escambia, and Mobile.

Black Belt Action Commission includes Bullock, Choctaw, Dallas, Greene, Hale, Lowndes, Macon, Marengo, Perry, Pickens, Sumter, and Wilcox counties.

Rural Counties include Autauga, Baldwin, Barbour, Bibb, Blount, Bullock, Butler, Chambers, Cherokee, Chilton, Choctaw, Clarke, Clay, Cleburne, Coffee, Colbert, Conecuh, Coosa, Covington, Crenshaw, Cullman, Dale, Dallas, DeKalb, Elmore, Escambia, Fayette, Franklin, Geneva, Greene, Hale, Henry, Jackson, Lamar, Lawrence, Limestone, Lowndes, Macon, Marengo, Marion, Marshall, Monroe, Perry, Pickens, Pike, Randolph, Russell, St. Clair, Sumter, Talladega, Tallapoosa, Walker, Washington, Wilcox, and Winston.

Highly Rural Counties include Barbour, Bibb, Blount, Bullock, Butler, Cherokee, Choctaw, Clarke, Clay, Cleburne, Coffee, Conecuh, Coosa, Covington, Crenshaw, Cullman, Dallas, DeKalb, Escambia, Fayette, Franklin, Geneva, Greene, Hale, Henry, Jackson, Lamar, Lawrence, Lowndes, Macon, Marengo, Marion, Marshall, Monroe, Perry, Pickens, Pike, Randolph, Sumter, Washington, Wilcox, and Winston.

Moderately Rural Counties include Autauga, Baldwin, Chambers, Chilton, Colbert, Dale, Elmore, Limestone, Russell, St. Clair, Talladega, Tallapoosa and Walker.

Rural North Counties include Bibb, Blount, Chambers, Cherokee, Chilton, Clay, Cleburne, Colbert, Coosa, Cullman, DeKalb, Elmore, Fayette, Franklin, Hale, Jackson, Lamar, Lawrence, Limestone, Macon, Marion, Marshall, Pickens, Randolph, St. Clair, Talladega, Tallapoosa, Walker, and Winston.

Rural South Counties include Autauga, Baldwin, Barbour, Bullock, Butler, Choctaw, Clarke, Coffee, Conecuh, Covington, Crenshaw, Dale, Dallas, Escambia, Geneva, Greene, Henry, Lowndes, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Pike, Russell, Sumter, Washington, and Wilcox.

Urban Counties include Calhoun, Etowah, Houston, Jefferson, Lauderdale, Lee, Madison, Mobile, Montgomery, Morgan, Shelby, and Tuscaloosa.

Appalachian Region includes Bibb, Blount, Calhoun, Chambers, Cherokee, Chilton, Clay, Cleburne, Colbert, Coosa, Cullman, DeKalb, Elmore, Etowah, Fayette, Franklin, Hale, Jackson, Jefferson, Lamar, Lauderdale, Lawrence, Limestone, Macon, Marion, Marshall, Morgan, Pickens, Randolph, St. Clair, Shelby, Talladega, Tallapoosa, Tuscaloosa, Walker, and Winston counties.

Delta Region includes Barbour, Bullock, Butler, Choctaw, Clarke, Conecuh, Dallas, Escambia, Greene, Hale, Lowndes, Macon, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Pickens, Russell, Sumter, Washington, and Wilcox counties.

		Data for Coun		li .
County	2006 Total Population	2006 African Am. (alone) Pop.	2006 White (alone) Pop.	2006 Am. Indian (alone) Pop.
Alabama	4,599,030	1,211,583	3,276,561	23,799
Autauga	49,730	8,614	40,105	219
U				
Baldwin	169,162	16,470	149,531	833
Barbour	28,171	13,125	14,632	127
Bibb	21,482	4,746	16,523	66
Blount	56,436	898	54,658	308
Bullock	10,906	7,700	3,071	44
Butler	20,520	8,577	11,731	47
Calhoun	112,903	22,314	88,182	469
Chambers	35,176	13,388	21,422	56
Cherokee	24,863	1,363	23,142	104
Chilton	41,953	4,452	36,961	122
Choctaw	14,656	6,474	8,075	27
Clarke	27,248	11,848	15,151	70
				59
Clay	13,829	2,066	11,554	
Cleburne	14,700	640	13,879	53
Coffee	46,027	8,618	35,670	417
Colbert	54,766	9,086	44,756	209
Conecuh	13,403	5,887	7,383	32
Coosa	11,044	3,480	7,449	40
			-	
Covington	37,234	4,763	31,882	224
Crenshaw	13,719	3,439	10,089	60
Cullman	80,187	979	77,912	374
Dale	48,392	10,322	36,096	277
Dallas	43,945	29,433	14,045	55
	*			
DeKalb	68,014	1,201	65,221	552
Elmore	75,688	15,803	58,270	325
Escambia	37,849	11,852	24,266	1,162
Etowah	103,362	14,994	86,557	364
Fayette	18,005	2,164	15,657	39
Franklin	30,847	1,326	29,031	127
	·		*	
Geneva	25,868	2,768	22,671	198
Greene	9,374	7,432	1,888	12
Hale	18,236	10,709	7,382	34
Henry	16,706	5,194	11,328	42
Houston	95,660	24,690	69,072	353
Jackson	53,745		,	939
		2,003	49,604	
Jefferson	656,700	271,121	370,406	1,872
Lamar	14,548	1,707	12,727	26
Lauderdale	87,891	8,650	77,860	249
Lawrence	34,312	4,041	27,328	1,734
Lee	125,781	29,423	92,674	327
Limestone	72,446	9,528	61,450	368
Lowndes	12,759	9,055	3,614	16
Macon	22,594	18,641	3,489	39
Madison	304,307	71,658	218,924	2,105
Marengo	21,842	11,360	10,268	24
Marion	30,165	1,201	28,546	111
Marshall	87,185	1,485	83,892	477
	*		127	
Mobile	404,157	139,533	251,026	2,623
Monroe	23,342	9,540	13,311	241
Montgomery	223,571	118,904	99,030	567
Morgan	115,237	13,829	98,525	822
Perry	11,186	7,700	3,392	10
			-	
Pickens	20,133	8,420	11,517	26
Pike	29,620	10,949	17,740	210
Randolph	22,673	4,916	17,475	53
Russell	50,085	20,946	28,036	203
St. Clair	75,232	6,343	67,663	280
Shelby	178,182	17,293	156,428	559
•				
Sumter	13,606	10,051	3,452	18
Talladega	80,271	25,145	53,979	216
Tallapoosa	41,010	10,642	29,904	114
Tuscaloosa	171,159	52,046	115,255	425
Walker	70,034	4,583	64,532	231
Washington	17,651	4,620	11,572	1,267
Wilcox	12,911	9,276	3,579	17
Winston	24,634	159	24,121	130
			•	•

Commuter	2006 A sien (slane) Den	Data for Coun		2006 A == 65 : D==
County	2006 Asian (alone) Pop.	2006 Hispanic Pop.	2006 Pop. Under Age 20	2006 Age 65+ Pop.
Alabama	41,881	113,890	1,240,643	615,597
Autauga	281	827	14,163	5,338
Baldwin	687	4,176	41,734	27,770
Barbour	88	953	7,355	3,662
Bibb	25	304	5,590	2,700
Blount	136	3,752	14,599	7,666
Bullock	23	752	2,890	1,296
Butler	83	171	5,536	3,248
Calhoun	828	2,399	29,323	16,432
Chambers	82	432	9,263	5,601
Cherokee	63	273	5,749	4,279
Chilton	149	1,608	11,086	5,311
Choctaw	13	122	3,814	2,394
Clarke	55	195	7,759	3,952
Clay	14	296	3,268	2,455
Cleburne	25	298	3,671	2,138
Coffee	535	1,568	11,995	6,666
Colbert	186	783	13,467	8,863
Conecuh	17	129	3,527	2,167
	7			
Coosa		163	2,674	1,793
Covington	107	366	9,227	6,772
Crenshaw	16	111	3,499	2,273
Cullman	230	2,748	20,320	12,094
Dale	590	1,580	14,393	6,270
Dallas	173	276	13,395	6,228
DeKalb	156	6,460	18,194	9,525
Elmore	390	1,240	20,101	8,368
Escambia	113	419	9,812	5,372
Etowah	524	2,674	26,259	16,303
Fayette	60	171	4,449	3,003
Franklin	92	3,527	8,111	4,745
Geneva	48	529	6,358	4,337
Greene	14	60	2,745	1,436
Hale	27	176	5,134	2,352
Henry	12	363	4,132	2,700
Houston	699	1,488	25,899	13,740
Jackson	147	866	13,421	8,050
Jefferson	7,853	17,337	177,329	88,032
Lamar	10	198		
			3,483	2,520
Lauderdale	420	1,135	21,388	14,274
Lawrence	74	512	8,907	4,480
Lee	2,210	2,238	35,780	10,900
Limestone	401	2,589	18,559	8,567
Lowndes	17	119	3,790	1,715
Macon	235	211	6,604	3,378
Madison	6,587	7,371	82,276	37,031
Marengo	50	387	6,412	3,147
Marion	71	475	7,107	5,212
Marshall	388	8,100	23,851	12,242
Mobile	6,883	6,067	118,035	49,502
Monroe			·	
	70	190	6,752	3,291
Montgomery	2,941	3,429	65,785	26,543
Morgan	750	5,842	30,312	15,474
Perry	7	111	3,750	1,681
Pickens	23	172	5,649	3,299
Pike	322	496	8,119	3,802
Randolph	68	354	6,045	3,709
Russell	345	1,067	14,079	6,917
St. Clair	220	1,054	19,152	9,121
Shelby	2,524	5,934	49,646	15,589
•				
Sumter	17	162	4,051	2,052
Talladega	291	932	21,081	10,924
Tallapoosa	103	397	10,329	6,940
Tuscaloosa	2,050	3,032	46,568	19,083
Walker	185	934	17,637	10,893
Washington	21	172	5,043	2,479
Wilcox	16	107	4,175	1,775
Winston	34	511	6,037	3,726
***************************************	J+	511	0,037	3,720

Data for Counties									
County	2006 Age 85+ Pop.	Pop. (Chang	ge 1910-2000 -	· Pct.	Pop. Chan	ge 20	00-2025 (Proje	ected) - Pct.
Alabama	79,530	2,138,093	to	4,447,100	108.0%	4,447,100	to	5,386,497	21.1%
Autauga	517	20,038	to	43,671	117.9%	43,671	to	68,368	56.6%
Baldwin	3,426	18,178	to	140,415	672.4%	140,415	to	248,436	76.9%
Barbour	543	32,728	to	29,038	-11.3%	29,038	to	35,246	21.4%
		,		,		,			
Bibb	331	22,791	to	20,826	-8.6%	20,826	to	30,749	47.6%
Blount	830	21,456	to	51,024	137.8%	51,024	to	81,713	60.1%
Bullock	263	30,196	to	11,714	-61.2%	11,714	to	12,578	7.4%
Butler	567	29,030	to	21,399	-26.3%	21,399	to	20,447	-4.4%
Calhoun	1,969	39,115	to	112,249	187.0%	112,249	to	112,472	0.2%
Chambers	924	36,056	to	36,583	1.5%	36,583	to	36,532	-0.1%
Cherokee	482	20,226	to	23,988	18.6%	23,988	to	34,220	42.7%
Chilton	519	23,187	to	39,593	70.8%	39,593	to	59,022	49.1%
Choctaw	329	18,483	to	15,922	-13.9%	15,922	to	15,568	-2.2%
Clarke	534	30,987	to	27,867	-10.1%	27,867	to	29,365	5.4%
		,				,			
Clay	414	21,006	to	14,254	-32.1%	14,254	to	16,553	16.1%
Cleburne	237	13,385	to	14,123	5.5%	14,123	to	16,920	19.8%
Coffee	881	26,119	to	43,615	67.0%	43,615	to	50,303	15.3%
Colbert	1,231	24,802	to	54,984	121.7%	54,984	to	59,484	8.2%
Conecuh	289	21,433	to	14,089	-34.3%	14,089	to	14,101	0.1%
Coosa	217	16,634	to	12,202	-26.6%	12,202	to	13,875	13.7%
Covington	1,066	32,124	to	37,631	17.1%	37,631	to	38,294	1.8%
Crenshaw	367	23,313	to	13,665	-41.4%	13,665	to	13,714	0.4%
Cullman	1,500	28,321	to	77,483	173.6%	77,483	to	98,897	27.6%
Dale	787	21,608	to	49,129	127.4%	49,129	to	52,820	7.5%
Dallas	788	53,401	to	46,365	-13.2%	46,365	to	44,648	-3.7%
DeKalb	1,278	28,261	to	64,452	128.1%	64,452	to	91,301	41.7%
Elmore	1,049	28,245	to	65,874	133.2%	65,874	to	105,245	59.8%
Escambia	712	18,889	to	38,440	103.5%	38,440	to	42,660	11.0%
Etowah	2,234	39,109	to	103,459	164.5%	103,459	to	108,578	4.9%
Fayette	456	16,248	to	18,495	13.8%	18,495	to	18,752	1.4%
Franklin	588	19,369	to	31,223	61.2%	31,223	to	38,469	23.2%
Geneva	592	26,230	to	25,764	-1.8%	25,764	to	28,836	11.9%
		,		,		,			
Greene	242	22,717	to	9,974	-56.1%	9,974	to	9,311	-6.6%
Hale	352	27,883	to	17,185	-38.4%	17,185	to	21,215	23.5%
Henry	410	20,943	to	16,310	-22.1%	16,310	to	17,428	6.9%
Houston	1,898	32,414	to	88,787	173.9%	88,787	to	99,832	12.4%
Jackson	944	32,918	to	53,926	63.8%	53,926	to	64,516	19.6%
Jefferson	13,116	226,476	to	662,047	192.3%	662,047	to	701,651	6.0%
Lamar	339	17,487	to	15,904	-9.1%	15,904	to	16,175	1.7%
Lauderdale	1,910	30,936	to	87,966	184.3%	87,966	to	103,176	17.3%
Lawrence	457	21,984	to	34,803	58.3%	34,803	to	39,664	14.0%
Lee	1,292	32,867	to	115,092	250.2%	115,092	to	179,495	56.0%
	*			,		,		,	
Limestone	948	26,880	to	65,676	144.3%	65,676	to	90,865	38.4%
Lowndes	204	31,894	to	13,473	-57.8%	13,473	to	14,708	9.2%
Macon	521	26,049	to	24,105	-7.5%	24,105	to	22,505	-6.6%
Madison	3,648	47,041	to	276,700	488.2%	276,700	to	349,713	26.4%
Marengo	459	39,923	to	22,539	-43.5%	22,539	to	20,848	-7.5%
Marion	779	17,495	to	31,214	78.4%	31,214	to	32,710	4.8%
Marshall	1,483	28,553	to	82,231	188.0%	82,231	to	111,385	35.5%
Mobile	6,275	80,854	to	399,843	394.5%	399,843	to	443,553	10.9%
Monroe	490	27,155	to	24,324	-10.4%	24,324	to	24,586	1.1%
	•								
Montgomery	3,810	82,178	to	223,510	172.0%	223,510	to	259,679	16.2%
Morgan	1,766	33,781	to	111,064	228.8%	111,064	to	131,112	18.1%
Perry	265	31,222	to	11,861	-62.0%	11,861	to	10,872	-8.3%
Pickens	470	25,055	to	20,949	-16.4%	20,949	to	21,740	3.8%
Pike	428	30,815	to	29,605	-3.9%	29,605	to	34,967	18.1%
Randolph	566	24,659	to	22,380	-9.2%	22,380	to	28,232	26.1%
Russell	832	25,937	to	49,756	91.8%	49,756	to	55,198	10.9%
St. Clair	935	20,715	to	64,724	212.4%	64,742	to	102,121	57.7%
Shelby	1,403	26,949	to	143,293	431.7%	143,293	to	265,083	85.0%
•									
Sumter	422	28,699	to	14,798	-48.4%	14,798	to	13,051	-11.8%
Talladega	1,330	37,921	to	80,321	111.8%	80,321	to	90,021	12.1%
Tallapoosa	1,013	31,034	to	41,475	33.6%	41,475	to	44,567	7.5%
Tuscaloosa	2,300	47,559	to	164,875	246.7%	164,875	to	190,524	15.6%
Walker	1,299	37,013	to	70,713	91.0%	70,713	to	73,970	4.6%
Washington	276	14,454	to	18,097	25.2%	18,097	to	20,123	11.2%
Wilcox	298	33,810	to	13,183	-61.0%	13,183	to	13,021	-1.2%
Winston									
44 1112(OH	430	12,855	to	24,843	93.3%	24,843	to	30,714	23.6%

Data for Counties

~ .		_	CI 400	Data for Counties	***		O1 40	00 000 C D :
County		_		0-2025 (Projected) - Pct.				90-2006 - Pct.
Alabama	579,907	to	999,769	72.4	24,629	to	113,890	362.4%
Autauga	4,451	to	11,983	169.2	230	to	827	259.6%
Baldwin	21,703	to	60,687	179.6	1,022	to	4,176	308.6%
Barbour	3,873	to	6,865	77.3	124	to	953	668.5%
Bibb	2,413	to	5,384	123.1	39	to	304	679.5%
Blount	6,558	to	14,311	118.2	286	to	3,752	1,211.9%
Bullock	1,543	to	2,485	61.0	65	to	752	1,056.9%
Butler	3,506	to	5,122	46.1	65	to	171	163.1%
Calhoun	15,872	to	22,520	41.9	1,282	to	2,399	87.1%
Chambers	5,928	to	8,398	41.7	127	to	432	240.2%
Cherokee	3,818	to	8,736	128.8	57	to	273	378.9%
Chilton	5,097	to	10,785	111.6	116	to	1,608	1,286.2%
Choctaw	2,332	to	3,987	71.0	53	to	122	130.2%
Clarke	3,764	to	6,244	65.9	103	to	195	89.3%
Clay	2,359	to	3,857	63.5	27	to	296	996.3%
Cleburne	1,933	to	3,745	93.7	38	to	298	684.2%
Coffee	6,171	to	10,379	68.2	471	to	1,568	232.9%
Colbert	8,493	to	12,468	46.8	187	to	783	318.7%
			3,987	71.0	82		129	
Conecuh	2,332	to				to		57.3%
Coosa	1,761	to	3,071	74.4	18	to	163	805.6%
Covington	6,740	to	9,099	35.0	130	to	366	181.5%
Crenshaw	2,338	to	3,068	31.2	30	to	111	270.0%
Cullman	11,342	to	19,369	70.8	272	to	2,748	910.3%
Dale	5,807	to	10,796	85.9	1,,215	to	1,580	30.0%
Dallas	6,428	to	8,664	34.8	131	to	276	110.7%
DeKalb	8,882	to	15,267	71.9	215	to	6,460	2,904.7%
Elmore	7,071	to	17,435	146.6	270	to	1,240	359.3%
Escambia	5,236	to	8,408	60.6	169	to	419	147.9%
Etowah	16,560	to	21,582	30.3	331	to	2,674	707.9%
Fayette	2,976	to	4,413	48.3	78	to	171	119.2%
Franklin	4,637	to	6,523	40.7	101	to	3,527	3,392.1%
Geneva	4,203	to	6,611	57.3	121	to	529	337.2%
	1,470		2,233	51.9	24		60	150.0%
Greene		to	,		57	to		
Hale	2,316	to	3,867	67.0		to	176	208.8%
Henry	2,668	to	4,286	60.6	92	to	363	294.6%
Houston	12,162	to	20,321	67.1	464	to	1,488	220.7%
Jackson	7,210	to	12,932	79.4	208	to	866	316.3%
Jefferson	90,285	to	118,741	31.5	2,745	to	17,337	531.6%
Lamar	2,528	to	3,438	36.0	71	to	198	178.9%
Lauderdale	13,241	to	21,219	60.3	313	to	1,135	262.6%
Lawrence	4,195	to	7,840	86.9	102	to	512	402.0%
Lee	9,337	to	22,418	140.1	552	to	2,238	305.4%
Limestone	7,271	to	15,306	110.5	261	to	2,589	892.0%
Lowndes	1,646	to	3,247	97.3	60	to	119	98.3%
Macon	3,367	to	4,754	41.2	103	to	211	104.9%
Madison	30,015	to	62,701	108.9	2,984	to	7,371	147.0%
Marengo	3,287	to	4,421	34.5	75	to	387	416.0%
Marion	4,934	to	7,431	50.6	65	to	475	630.8%
Marshall	11,717	to	19,044	62.5	289	to	8,100	2,702.8%
Mobile	47,919	to	74,927	56.4	3,164	to	6,067	91.8%
Monroe	3,363	to	5,207	54.8	3,104 94	to	190	102.1%
Montgomery	26,307	to	40,171	52.7	1,624	to	3,429	111.1%
Morgan	13,708	to	23,716	73.0	584	to	5,842	900.3%
Perry	1,762	to	2,031	15.3	36	to	111	208.3%
Pickens	3,293	to	4,372	32.8	50	to	172	244.0%
Pike	3,727	to	6,186	66.0	108	to	496	359.3%
Randolph	3,564	to	5,714	60.3	53	to	354	567.9%
Russell	6,541	to	9,135	39.7	301	to	1,067	254.5%
St. Clair	7,578	to	18,994	150.6	209	to	1,054	404.3%
Shelby	12,179	to	49,316	304.9	525	to	5,934	1,030.3%
Sumter	2,056	to	2,634	28.1	78	to	162	107.7%
Talladega	10,655	to	17,908	68.1	490	to	932	90.2%
Tallapoosa	6,872	to	10,416	51.6	71	to	397	459.2%
Tuscaloosa	18,565	to	30,501	64.3	948	to	3,032	219.8%
Walker	10,453	to	15,703	50.2	224	to	934	317.0%
Washington	2,246	to	3,932	75.1	51	to	172	237.3%
Wilcox				35.9	40			
	1,810	to	2,460			to	107	167.5%
Winston	3,533	to	5,998	69.8	59	to	511	766.1%

	Data for Counties							
~	Pop. Below P		` ′	Below Poverty - 2004	2005 Per Capita			
County	Number	Pct.	Number	Pct.	Personal Income			
Alabama	727,308	16.1	245,017	22.6	\$29,623			
Autauga	5,496	11.6	2,041	16.3	\$27,567			
Baldwin	15,690	10.0	5,415	14.9	\$30,899			
Barbour	6,798	23.9	2,024	31.1	\$23,343			
Bibb Blount	3,634 6,812	17.1 12.4	1,211 2,207	23.8 16.9	\$21,732 \$23,492			
Bullock	3,382	30.3	910	35.0	\$23,492 \$19,262			
Butler	4,331	21.0	1,502	29.8	\$19,202 \$24,749			
Calhoun	18,907	16.9	6,171	24.6	\$28,156			
Chambers	6,102	17.2	2,011	24.0	\$23,562			
Cherokee	3,937	16.1	1,229	23.8	\$23,507			
Chilton	6,113	14.8	2,232	22.4	\$23,754			
Choctaw	3,046	20.2	975	27.9	\$24,388			
Clarke	5,698	20.9	2,050	28.8	\$24,006			
Clay	1,951	13.9	597	20.0	\$24,860			
Cleburne	2,129	14.7	670	20.9	\$23,997			
Coffee	6,386	14.2	2,237	21.2	\$30,655			
Colbert	8,031	14.7	2,471	20.8	\$25,368			
Conecuh	3,086	23.1	1,060	33.4	\$23,481			
Coosa	1,580	14.0	513	20.9	\$23,094			
Covington	6,876	18.7	2,209	26.9	\$25,419			
Crenshaw	2,534	18.6	840	26.6	\$28,377			
Cullman	10,911	13.8	3,485	19.4	\$26,125			
Dale	8,169	16.7	3,008	23.5	\$25,421			
Dallas	12,198	27.4	4,566	37.5 22.4	\$24,085			
DeKalb Elmore	10,572 8,965	15.8 12.5	3,601 3,008	22.4 17.1	\$25,102 \$27,119			
Escambia	7,673	20.1	2,290	26.3	\$22,515			
Etowah	16,571	16.1	5,590	24.0	\$26,658			
Fayette	3,159	17.4	986	24.6	\$23,973			
Franklin	5,456	17.8	1,825	25.3	\$24,160			
Geneva	4,626	18.1	1,533	27.4	\$25,232			
Greene	2,564	26.5	897	34.7	\$22,551			
Hale	3,984	22.0	1,329	28.7	\$20,373			
Henry	2,822	17.1	938	25.1	\$24,394			
Houston	14,644	15.8	5,456	23.5	\$30,418			
Jackson	8,212	15.3	2,721	22.6	\$24,812			
Jefferson	101,221	15.4	32,300	20.7	\$38,861			
Lamar	2,519	16.8	760	23.8	\$22,085			
Lauderdale	14,152	16.2	4,303	23.2	\$26,462			
Lawrence	5,055	14.7	1,619	20.0	\$24,891			
Lee	19,252	16.0	4,747	18.2	\$24,804			
Limestone	8,783	12.7	2,887	17.8	\$26,698			
Lowndes	3,336	25.5	1,233	34.5	\$21,875			
Macon Madison	6,518	28.3	1,834	35.8	\$19,823			
Marengo	34,327 4,675	11.7 21.3	11,657 1,676	16.4 28.9	\$34,987 \$27,140			
Marion	5,390	17.9	1,676	25.2	\$27,140 \$24,303			
Marshall	13,393	15.8	4,682	22.3	\$24,303 \$27,365			
Mobile	79,789	20.0	30,321	29.0	\$27,503			
Monroe	4,371	18.5	1,542	25.0	\$24,319			
Montgomery	42,876	19.4	15,468	27.7	\$35,130			
Morgan	15,800	14.0	5,478	20.2	\$30,814			
Perry	3,484	30.4	1,296	40.1	\$20,352			
Pickens	4,218	20.8	1,431	28.3	\$23,628			
Pike	6,278	21.4	2,036	29.7	\$28,842			
Randolph	3,624	16.1	1,250	23.3	\$22,189			
Russell	9,521	19.4	3,461	28.1	\$24,291			
St. Clair	9,193	13.1	3,112	18.6	\$26,872			
Shelby	11,577	7.0	3,941	9.2	\$39,590			
Sumter	3,971	28.3	1,346	36.9	\$20,509			
Talladega	14,230	17.8	4,698	25.2	\$27,793			
Tallapoosa	6,957	17.1	2,276	24.8	\$25,519			
Tuscaloosa	28,687	17.2	8,738	23.2	\$30,951			
Walker	11,308	16.2	3,523	22.5	\$26,155			
Washington Wilcox	3,216	18.1	1,140	25.0	\$21,494 \$18,820			
Winston	3,916 4,210	30.4 17.2	1,493	39.4 25.2	\$18,820 \$23,630			
** 11151011	4,210	11.2	1,345	۷۵.۷	\$23,630			

Data for Counties Medicaid Eligible Pop 2006 Medicaid Elig. Children (<21) - 2006 Medicaid Births - 2006								
County	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Alabama	988,677	21.1	520,256	38.9	30.114	49.3		
Autauga	8,495	17.1	4,631	29.5	270	42.2		
Baldwin	24,195	14.5	13,286	29.7	1,015	46.6		
Barbour	7,975	25.9	4,310	51.4	196	64.7		
Bibb	4,932	21.2	2,514	37.7	132	51.4		
Blount	9,480	16.2	5,228	30.6	274	39.5		
Bullock	4,207	35.1	2,294	69.0	151	77.8		
Butler	6,887	32.8	3,596	58.4	189	62.6		
Calhoun	28,431	25.4	14,429	47.7	859	56.9		
Chambers	8,912	24.5	4,585	45.0	261	70.7		
Cherokee	5,881	22.1	3,018	45.0	129	57.1		
Chilton	9,111	20.6	4,849	37.5	285	51.5		
Choctaw	4,260	26.9	1,998	43.8	17	70.8		
Clarke	7,818	27.7	3,851	43.2	191	59.1		
Clay	3,264	21.9	1,586	39.3	93	54.1		
Cleburne	3,503	23.5	1,839	45.3	96	64.0		
Coffee	9,277	20.4	4,796	37.9	285	45.9		
Colbert	12,237	21.7	6,133	40.0	320	52.2		
Conecuh Coosa	4,447	31.5	2,344	58.1	120	72.7 57.6		
Coosa Covington	2,480 10,017	19.4 26.4	1,142 4,972	34.6 49.2	57 291	57.6 64.8		
Crenshaw	3,940	28.8	1,896	49.2 49.9	98	59.4		
Crensnaw Cullman	3,940 16,468	28.8 19.8	1,896 8,327	49.9 36.3	98 420	59.4 42.1		
Dale	11,394	22.8	6,236	30.3 42.6	312	42.1		
Dallas	18,705	41.1	9,501	65.1	480	72.6		
DeKalb	18,287	25.8	10,327	50.5	565	59.1		
Elmore	12,205	16.2	6,649	30.7	425	42.5		
Escambia	10,098	25.4	5,631	51.8	345	77.2		
Etowah	23,529	22.4	11,714	40.5	698	53.9		
Fayette	4,095	21.9	1,934	38.6	99	55.0		
Franklin	8,547	25.7	4,550	48.8	284	59.7		
Geneva	6,773	25.3	3,355	47.0	167	50.9		
Greene	4,046	41.3	2,154	68.8	103	74.1		
Hale	5,771	31.7	3,010	49.5	105	56.8		
Henry	4,157	24.9	2,061	45.9	99	53.2		
Houston	22,709	24.6	12,525	47.1	702	51.1		
Jackson	11,548	20.2	5,893	37.8	326	58.7		
Jefferson	128,327	19.2	66,153	34.8	4,016	41.7		
Lamar	3,984	24.8	1,811	42.0	105	68.2		
Lauderdale	17,694	19.2	8,472	31.6	472	46.3		
Lawrence	6,991	19.2	3,410	32.9	198	48.5		
Lee	20,282	15.5	10,886	26.4	587	45.7		
Limestone	12,023	16.6	6,214	30.5	389	41.3		
Lowndes	4,446	32.1	2,341	52.4	142	70.6		
Macon	7,243	30.6	3,752	50.3	164	67.5		
Madison	42,023	14.2	22,702	26.1	1,525	37.9		
Marengo Marion	6,583 7,606	29.8 23.8	3,275	46.9 45.4	157 225	60.6 64.3		
Marshall	7,606 21,924	23.8 24.5	3,715 12,531	45.4 48.8	928	64.3 60.9		
Mobile	94,188	22.9	52,401	40.9	3,460	56.9		
Monroe	6,051	24.8	3,172	41.3	164	62.6		
Montgomery	57,036	24.6	31,973	46.1	1,895	54.6		
Morgan	21,317	18.2	11,652	34.9	663	45.7		
Perry	4,851	42.3	2,482	61.0	116	72.0		
Pickens	6,025	28.5	2,851	44.1	138	60.3		
Pike	9,006	29.1	4,568	49.4	261	62.6		
Randolph	5,428	22.8	2,875	41.5	158	69.0		
Russell	14,336	28.0	7,902	51.3	76	81.7		
St. Clair	12,953	17.5	7,132	34.1	385	36.5		
Shelby	14,119	8.2	7,533	15.3	559	21.5		
Sumter	5,564	39.3	2,778	59.1	57	74.0		
Talladega	22,051	26.4	11,010	47.3	615	61.0		
Tallapoosa	10,415	24.5	5,385	46.3	316	61.6		
Tuscaloosa	34,167	19.9	17,638	34.9	988	42.2		
Walker	17,033	23.6	8,132	41.7	491	55.7		
Washington	4,257	22.7	2,040	34.1	91	50.8		
Wilcox	5,835	44.8	2,860	63.7	136	76.0		
Winston	6,367	24.0	2,980	41.6	178	62.0		

Data for Counties

	Data for Counties								
		hysicians in 2006		sts in 2003		trists in 2006			
County	Number	Rate per 10,000 ¹	Number	Rate per 10,000 ¹	Number	Rate per 10,000 ¹			
Alabama	3,044	6.5	1,557	3.5	298	0.6			
Autauga	17	3.4	12	2.6	0	0.0			
Baldwin	98	5.9	52	3.4	12	0.7			
Barbour	13	4.2	7	2.4	0	0.0			
Bibb	5	2.2	5	2.4	0	0.0			
Blount	13	2.2	6	1.1	0	0.0			
Bullock	6	5.0	2	1.8	0	0.0			
Butler	10	4.8	5	2.4	1	0.5			
Calhoun	80	7.1	38	3.4	7	0.6			
Chambers	23	6.3	8	2.2	0	0.0			
Cherokee	8	3.0	5	2.1	0	0.0			
Chilton	11	2.5	9	2.2	0	0.0			
Choctaw	6	3.8	6	3.9	0	0.0			
Clarke	18	6.4	7	2.6	0	0.0			
Clay	6	4.0	2	1.4	0	0.0			
Cleburne	2	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Coffee	23	5.1	18	4.1	0	0.0			
Colbert	43	7.6	15	2.8	0	0.0			
Conecuh	7	5.0	2	1.5	0	0.0			
Coosa	1	0.8	1	0.9	0	0.0			
Covington	23	6.1	9	2.4	1	0.3			
Crenshaw	3	2.2	3	2.2	1	0.7			
Cullman	42	5.0	20	2.6	4	0.7			
Dale	16	3.0	15	3.0	0	0.0			
Dallas	31	6.8	12	2.7	3	0.7			
DeKalb	26	3.7	19	2.7	0	0.0			
Elmore	20 22	2.9	19	1.6	0	0.0			
Escambia	22	5.5	10 31	2.6	0	0.0			
Etowah	72 9	6.9	_	3.0	5	0.5			
Fayette		4.8	3	1.6		0.0			
Franklin	12	3.6	8	2.6	0	0.0			
Geneva	9	3.4	4	1.6	0	0.0			
Greene	4	4.1	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Hale	5	2.7	1	0.5	0	0.0			
Henry	3	1.8	5	3.0	0	0.0			
Houston	87	9.4	37	4.0	7	0.8			
Jackson	30	5.2	13	2.4	0	0.0			
Jefferson	726	10.9	408	6.2	108	1.6			
Lamar	3	1.9	3	2.0	0	0.0			
Lauderdale	61	6.6	43	4.9	9	1.0			
Lawrence	12	3.3	3	0.9	0	0.0			
Lee	70	5.4	30	2.5	4	0.3			
Limestone	29	4.0	15	2.2	0	0.0			
Lowndes	3	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0			
Macon	11	4.7	4	1.7	2	0.8			
Madison	235	7.9	136	4.7	32	1.1			
Marengo	9	4.1	5	2.3	0	0.0			
Marion	16	5.0	7	2.3	1	0.3			
Marshall	55	6.1	30	3.6	3	0.3			
Mobile	291	7.1	138	3.5	28	0.7			
Monroe	12	4.9	5	2.1	0	0.0			
Montgomery	219	9.5	93	4.2	13	0.6			
Morgan	75	6.4	37	3.3	15	1.3			
Perry	5	4.4	1	0.9	0	0.0			
Pickens	11	5.2	2	1.0	1	0.5			
Pike	16	5.2	6	2.1	0	0.0			
Randolph	11	4.6	4	1.8	0	0.0			
Russell	13	2.5	7	1.4	0	0.0			
St. Clair	78	10.6	14	2.0	0	0.0			
Shelby	21	1.2	39	2.4	5	0.3			
Sumter	7	4.9	3	2.1	0	0.0			
Talladega	35	4.2	14	1.8	0	0.0			
Tallapoosa	22	5.2	10	2.5	0	0.0			
Tuscaloosa	143	8.3	65	3.9	34	2.0			
Walker	31	4.3	25	3.6	2	0.3			
Washington	5	2.7	23	1.1	0	0.0			
				1.1		0.0			
Wilcox				1.5	Ο	0.0			
Wilcox Winston	4 9	3.1 3.4	2 5	1.5 2.0	0	0.0 0.0			

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

I	TT */ *		Data for Count		TI-t	longong 2002
G	•	Beds in 2007		th No Vehicle in 2000		Persons - 2003
County	Number	Rate per 10,000 ¹	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Alabama	16,917	36.1	143,594	8.3	504,539	11.2
Autauga	47	9.5	832	5.2	3,746	8.1
Baldwin	300	18.0	2,340	4.2	16,233	10.7
Barbour	74	24.1	1,303	12.5	2,901	10.1
Bibb	28	12.1	721	9.7	1,925	9.1
Blount	40	6.8	1,045	5.4	4,492	8.3
Bullock	41	34.3	702	17.6	1,580	14.0
Butler	94	44.8	1,013	12.1	2,008	9.7
Calhoun	526	46.9	3,566	7.9	11,854	10.6
Chambers	115	31.6	1,477	10.2	4,358	12.2
Cherokee	60	22.6	580	6.0	3,803	15.6
Chilton	30	6.8	1,076	7.0	5,198	12.7
Choctaw	0	0.0	697	11.0	1,545	10.1
Clarke	114	40.4	1,192	11.3	3,230	11.8
Clay	45	30.3	485	8.4	1,729	12.2
Cleburne	0	0.0	343	6.1	1,984	13.6
Coffee	151	33.3	1,308	7.5	4,976	11.2
Colbert	314	55.6	1,441	6.4	7,797	14.3
Conecuh	58	41.1	670	11.6	1,298	9.6
Coosa	0	0.0	378	8.1	1,245	10.8
Covington	235	61.9	1,372	8.8	3,503	9.5
Crenshaw	49	35.8	640	11.5	1,594	11.7
Cullman	215	25.8	1,944	6.3	11,054	14.1
Dale	89	17.8	1,198	6.3	5,365	10.9
Dallas	163	35.8	2,884	16.2	5,523	12.3
DeKalb	134	18.9	1,533	6.1	7,628	11.5
Elmore	138	18.3	940	4.1	7,043	10.0
Escambia	116	29.2	1,314	9.2	3,897	10.2
Etowah	560	53.3	3,144	7.6	10,494	10.2
Fayette	61	32.6	610	8.1	2,283	12.5
Franklin	125	37.6	1,021	8.3	5,420	17.6
Geneva	83	31.0	827	7.9	3,774	14.8
Greene	20	20.4	641	16.3	1,032	10.5
Hale	39	21.4	1,003	15.6	2,551	14.0
Henry	0	0.0	597	9.1	1,805	11.0
Houston	605	65.6	2,958	8.3	10,143	11.1
Jackson	170	29.7	1,554	7.2	7,107	13.2
Jefferson	4377	65.5	26,148	9.9	59,897	9.1
Lamar	0	0.0	528	8.2	1,698	11.2
Lauderdale	328	35.5	2,164	6.0	12,527	14.4
Lawrence	98	26.9	1,045	7.7	4,588	13.3
Lee	276	21.1	3,104	6.8	13,076	11.0
Limestone	101	14.0	1,627	6.6	10,758	15.8
Lowndes	0	0.0	743	15.1	1,733	13.0
Macon	0	0.0	1,684	18.8	3,346	14.3
Madison	1001	33.7	6,133	5.6	24,045	8.3
Marengo	99	44.8	1,259	14.4	2,766	12.5
Marion	128	40.1	1,129	8.9	3,139	10.4
Marshall	240	26.8	2,257	6.9	13,869	16.5
Mobile	1811	44.1	13,410	8.9	55,047	13.8
Monroe	94	38.6	989	10.5	3,322	14.0
Montgomery	841	36.3	8,426	9.8	20,617	9.3
Morgan	552	47.2	2,726	6.3	16,681	14.8
Perry	0	0.0	720	16.6	2,128	18.3
Pickens	48	22.7	958	11.8	2,681	13.1
Pike	97	31.3	1,362	11.4	2,791	9.6
Randolph	50	21.0	679	7.9	1,742	7.8
Russell	108	21.1	2,489	12.6	8,206	16.8
St. Clair	82	11.1	1,169	4.8	5,134	7.5
Shelby	192	11.2	1,860	3.4	11,158	7.0
Sumter	33	23.3	1,105	19.4	2,518	17.8
Talladega	270	32.3	3,141	10.2	8,066	10.1
Tallapoosa	101	23.7	1,559	9.4	6,030	14.8
Tuscaloosa	787	45.9	5,405	8.4	21,054	12.7
Walker	267	37.0	2,135	7.5	8,052	11.5
Washington	15	8.0	550	8.2	1,966	11.0
Wilcox	32	24.6	959	20.1	1,231	9.5
				_~		
Winston	50	18.9	782	7.7	2,555	10.4

¹ Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

	Data for Counties									
	Mortality – All Causes (2003-2005)			mia Mortality	Cancer Mortality – All Sites (2003-2005)					
G t	`			03-2005)	Number					
County	Number	Rate per 100,000	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹		Rate per 100,000				
Alabama	139,414	1,028.7	2,451	18.1	29,389	216.9				
Autauga	1,196	841.5	16	11.3	245	172.4				
Baldwin	4,647	987.2	48	10.2	1,094	232.4				
Barbour	888	1,040.6	14	16.4	180	210.9				
Bibb	682	1,069.6	13	20.4	143	224.3				
Blount	1,617	981.1	23	14.0	319	193.5				
Bullock	390	1,164.6	6	17.9	77	229.9				
Butler	892	1,441.6	10	16.2	167	269.9				
Calhoun	3,961	1,180.2	106	31.6	815	242.8				
Chambers	1,390	1,306.0	18	16.9	279	262.1				
Cherokee	849	1,157.4	11	15.0	196	267.2				
Chilton	1,379	1,113.0	8	6.5	276	222.8				
Choctaw	509	1,125.1	6	13.3	100	221.0				
Clarke	845	1,033.1	12	14.7	173	211.5				
Clay	544	1,292.1	9	21.4	113	268.4				
Cleburne	508	1,168.9	15	34.5	110	253.1				
Coffee	1,414	1,048.0	9	6.7	323	239.4				
Colbert	1,851	1,129.3	26	15.9	402	245.3				
Conecuh	537	1,339.7	8	20.0	116	289.4				
Coosa	420	1,240.5	4	11.8	83	245.1				
Covington	1,547	1,402.4	32	29.0	324	293.7				
Crenshaw	516	1,262.7	8	19.6	81	198.2				
Cullman	2,676	1,128.1	37	15.6	549	231.4				
Dale	1,348	918.6	18	12.3	321	218.8				
Dallas	1,672	1,252.0	53	39.7	301	225.4				
DeKalb	2,095	1,043.7	35	17.4	404	201.3				
Elmore	1,878	872.9	24	11.2	391	181.7				
Escambia	1,351	1,179.7	19	16.6	265	231.4				
Etowah	4,024	1,303.2	95	30.8	801	259.4				
Fayette	673	1,235.6	12	22.0	127	233.2				
Franklin	1,132	1,231.0	25	27.2	223	242.5				
Geneva	1,002	1,306.8	12	15.7	207	270.0				
Greene	339	1,167.8	6	20.7	54	186.0				
Hale	607	1,117.3	10	18.4	138	254.0				
Henry	636	1,284.5	8	16.2	129	260.5				
Houston	2,680	963.8	31	11.1	620	223.0				
Jackson	1,779	1,104.9	30	18.6	382	237.2				
Jefferson	21,639	1,097.4	380	19.3	4,365	221.4				
Lamar	542	1,204.8	12	26.7	119	264.5				
Lauderdale	2,805	1,070.3	32	12.2	591	225.5				
Lawrence	1,052	1,019.6	14	13.6	219	212.3				
Lee	2,386	661.0	48	13.3	534	147.9				
Limestone	1,822	878.1	36	17.4	406	195.7				
Lowndes	453	1,154.3	12	30.6	95	242.1				
Macon	794	1,149.2	16	23.2	163	235.9				
Madison	6,951	789.7	122	13.9	1,604	182.2				
Marengo	772	1,172.4	13	19.7	156	236.9				
Marion	1,158	1,281.8	16	17.7	254	281.2				
Marshall	2,916	1,146.7	45	17.7	583	229.3				
Mobile	11,858	990.8	194	16.2	2,634	220.1				
Monroe	787	1,110.4	18	25.4	147	207.4				
Montgomery	6,101	920.2	100	15.1	1,322	199.4				
Morgan	3,239	956.7	53	15.7	687	202.9				
Perry	424	1,233.1	14	40.7	91	264.6				
Pickens	769	1,264.1	19	31.2	184	302.5				
Pike	939	1,066.9	26	29.5	178	202.2				
Randolph	823	1,218.6	33	48.9	156	231.0				
Russell	1,606	1,090.8	36	24.5	329	223.5				
St. Clair	2,159	1,025.5	38	18.1	496	235.6				
Shelby	3,134	631.6	57	11.5	720	145.1				
Sumter	432	1,026.3	9	21.4	75	178.2				
Talladega	2,628	1,095.7	41	17.1	550	229.3				
Tallapoosa	1,573	1,288.7	29	23.8	339	277.7				
Tuscaloosa	4,502	899.8	124	24.8	937	187.3				
Walker	2,917	1,393.0	66	31.5	552	263.6				
Washington	506	949.3	8	15.0	114	213.9				
Wilcox	427	1,105.1	11	28.5	89	230.3				
Winston	826	1,124.8	12	16.3	172	234.2				
				6 events Statistical stab						

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

County Number Mortality (2002-2005) Traches, Prometality (2002-2005) Revest Cancer Mortality (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Number (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Number (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Number (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Number (2002-2005) Revest (2002-2005) Number (2002-2005) Revest (2002-	Data for Counties									
County Number Rate per 100,000' Number Rate per 100,000' Number Rate per 100,000' Alabama 2.696 19.9 9.286 88.5 2.072 29.44 Alataga 25 17.6 82 55.7 19 25.4 Barbour 17 19.9 58 88.0 12 29.6 Bloom 19 29.8 41 64.3 10 32.2 Bloom 24 14.6 19.9 68.8 10 12 29.6 Bloom 24 14.4 19.9 68.8 10 12 29.6 Bloom 24 14.4 19.9 68.8 10 11 11.2 22.2 Calloon 23 18.8 19.9 74.2 16 28.2 16 28.8 2.0 16 28.8 2.0 16 28.8 2.0 16 28.8 2.4 18 28.2 2.2 10 18.1 11										
Alabama	County		<u> </u>							
Autunga			1 /				I			
Bathwin 105 22.3 351 74.6 57 22.0 Bibb 17 19.9 58 68.0 12 29.6 Bibb 19 28.8 41 64.3 10 32.0 Bibbc 12 38.8 19 56.7 11 71.2 38.8 19 56.7 11 71.2 38.8 Biborn 16 25.9 44 71.1 10 30.5 Calboun 73 21.8 312 93.0 51 29.2 Calboun 74 27 28.8 Calboun 75 28.8 Calboun 7										
Barboar										
Blount										
Ballock						10				
Batler	Blount	24	14.6	110	66.7	23	27.5			
Calboun 73 21.8 312 93.0 51 29.8 Cherokec 16 21.8 79 74.2 16 28.8 Cherokec 16 21.8 70 95.4 11 29.2 Cherokec 16 21.8 70 95.4 11 29.2 Choctaw 11 24.3 32 70.7 7 29.8 S.4 Cherokec 16 19.6 51 62.4 24 25.8 Charke 16 19.6 51 62.4 24 24 25.8 Chay 13 30.9 40 95.0 6 28.3 Cheroke 13 29.9 37 85.1 10 46.2 Coffee 32 23.7 10.4 77.1 11 15.8 Colbert 35 21.4 125 76.3 36 42.2 Conceuh 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Conceuh 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Conceuh 9 22.5 54 44 109.8 5 23.8 Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Collara 38 16.0 185 78.0 38 31.4 Dalas 40 34.4 84 62.3 17.7 23.5 Dalas 40 34.4 84 84 62.3 17.7 23.5 Dalas 40 34.0 18.5 Dal	Bullock	12	35.8	19	56.7					
Chambers 20 18.8 79 74.2 16 28.8 Chillon 25 20.2 101 81.5 18 28.4 Christo 16 19.6 51 62.4 24 52.8 Clarke 16 19.6 51 62.4 24 52.8 Clarke 13 29.9 37 85.1 10 46.2 Coffee 32 23.7 104 77.1 11 15.8 Colbert 35 21.4 125 76.3 36 42.2 Conecul 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Cosa 6 17.7 28 82.7 4 23.9 Cul										
Cherokee 16 21.8 70 95.4 11 29.2 Choctaw 11 24.3 32 70.7 7 29.8 Clay 13 30.9 40 95.0 6 28.3 Clay 13 30.9 40 95.0 6 28.3 Coffee 32 23.7 104 77.1 11 15.8 Coffee 32 23.7 104 77.1 11 15.8 Comend 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Comend 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Covington 27 24.5 13 102.4 25 43.4 Censhaw 10 24.5 26 63.6 5 23.2 Cullman 38 16.0 185 78.0 38 31.4										
Chillon 25 20.2 101 81.5 18 28.4 Clarke 16 19.6 51 62.4 24 52.8 Clarke 16 19.6 51 62.4 24 52.8 Clay 13 30.9 40 95.0 6 28.3 Clobert 13 29.9 37 85.1 10 40.2 Coffee 32 22.7 104 77.1 11 15.8 Colbert 35 21.4 125 76.3 36 42.2 Conscal 6 17.7 28 82.7 4 23.8 Cosa 6 17.7 28 82.7 4 23.3 Cosa 6 17.7 28 82.7 4 23.3 Cosa 6 17.7 28 82.7 4 23.3 Comena 10 24.5 26 63.6 5 23.2 Cullma										
Choctaw										
Clarke										
Clay										
Cebume 13 299 37 85.1 10 46.2 Coffee 32 23.7 104 77.1 11 15.8 Colbert 35 21.4 125 76.3 36 42.2 Conecul 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Cosoa 6 17.7 28 8.27 4 23.9 Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Crenshaw 10 24.5 26 63.6 5 23.2 Cullman 38 160 185 78.0 38 31.4 Dale 23 15.7 106 72.2 19 25.8 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 10.2<										
Coffee 32 23.7 104 77.1 11 15.8 Colect 35 21.4 125 76.3 36 42.2 Concend 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Cullman 38 16.0 185 78.0 38 31.4 Dale 23 15.7 106 72.2 19 25.8 DeKalb 46 34.4 84 62.9 17 23.5 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 2.7 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Escambia 25 21.8 8.7 76.0 23 41.0 Estowan 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 <										
Colbert 35 21.4 125 76.3 36 42.2 Concuch 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Coosa 6 17.7 28 82.7 4 23.9 Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Crenshaw 10 24.3 26 63.6 5 23.2 Cullian 38 16.0 185 78.0 38 31.4 Dallas 46 34.4 84 62.9 17 23.5 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Elmore 17 31.2 41 75.2 8 28.5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
Concech 9 22.5 44 109.8 5 23.8 Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Crenshaw 10 24.5 26 63.6 5 23.2 Cullman 38 16.0 185 78.0 38 31.4 Dale 23 15.7 106 72.2 19 25.8 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 <										
Coosa 6 17.7 28 82.7 4 23.9 Crowington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Cremshaw 10 24.5 26 63.6 5 23.2 Culman 38 16.0 185 78.0 31.4 31.4 Dallas 46 34.4 84 62.9 117 23.5 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Elmowah 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0										
Covington 27 24.5 113 102.4 25 43.4 Cerneshaw 10 24.5 26 63.6 5 23.2 Cullman 38 16.0 185 78.0 38 31.4 Dale 23 15.7 106 72.2 19 25.8 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Greeva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9										
Crenshaw 10 24.5 26 63.6 5 23.2 Cullman 38 16.0 185 78.0 38 31.4 Dale 23 15.7 106 72.2 19 25.8 Dallas 46 34.4 84 62.9 17 23.5 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Etowah 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.6 Fayette 17 18.5 79 85.9 14 30.2 Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 Henry 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7										
Cullman 38 16.0 185 78.0 38 31.4 Dale 23 15.7 106 72.2 19 25.8 Dallas 46 34.4 84 62.9 117 23.5 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Escambia 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Henxy 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7										
Dale 23 15.7 106 72.2 19 25.8 Dallas 46 34.4 84 62.9 17 23.5 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Ewal 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Ewal 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Ewal 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 Hale 13 23.3 42 84.8 10 38.7 Houston 44 15.8 186 66.9 42 28.5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
Dallas 46 34.4 84 62.9 17 23.5 DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Etowah 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Franklin 17 18.5 79 85.9 14 30.2 Geneva 111 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 Hale 13 23.9 27 49.7 16 58.8 Herry 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7 Houston 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0										
DeKalb 30 14.9 131 65.3 27 26.4 Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Etowah 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Fanklin 17 18.5 79 85.9 14 30.2 Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Geneva 11 14.3 77 49.7 16 58.8 Henry 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7 Houston 44 15.8 186 66.9 42 28.5 Jackson 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>										
Elmore 38 17.7 127 59.0 32 29.3 Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Elowah 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Franklin 17 18.5 79 85.9 14 30.2 Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 Hale 13 23.9 27 49.7 16 58.8 Henry 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7 Houston 44 15.8 186 66.9 42 28.5 Jackson 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0 Jefferson 411 20.8 1,245 63.1 361 34.8				_						
Escambia 25 21.8 87 76.0 23 41.0 Etowah 61 19.8 279 90.4 46 28.6 Fayette 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Franklin 17 18.5 79 85.9 14 30.2 Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 Hale 13 23.9 27 49.7 16 58.8 Henry 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7 Houston 44 15.8 186 66.9 42 28.5 Jackson 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0 Jefferson 411 20.8 1,245 63.1 361 348 Lamar 13 28.9 44 97.8 5 21.7 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>										
Etowah										
Fayette Franklin 17 31.2 41 75.3 8 28.5 Franklin 17 18.5 79 85.9 14 30.2 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 Hale 13 23.9 27 49.7 16 58.8 Henry 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7 Houston 44 15.8 186 66.9 42 28.5 Jackson 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0 Jefferson 411 20.8 1,245 63.1 361 34.8 Lamar 13 28.9 44 97.8 5 21.7 Lauderdale 54 20.6 190 72.5 37 27.0 Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 <										
Franklin 17 18.5 79 8.9 14 30.2 Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 Hale 13 23.9 27 49.7 16 58.8 Henry 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7 Houston 44 15.8 186 66.9 42 28.5 Jackson 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0 Jefferson 411 20.8 1,245 63.1 361 34.8 Lamar 13 28.9 44 97.8 5 21.7 Lauderdale 54 20.6 190 72.5 37 27.0 Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 <										
Geneva 11 14.3 76 99.1 17 43.0 Greene 8 27.6 14 48.2 4 25.9 Hale 13 23.9 27 49.7 16 58.8 Henry 13 26.3 42 84.8 10 38.7 Houston 44 15.8 186 66.9 42 28.5 Jackson 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0 Jefferson 411 20.8 1,245 63.1 361 34.8 Lamar 13 28.9 44 97.8 5 21.7 Lauderdale 54 20.6 190 72.5 37 27.0 Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1	-									
Bable 13 23,9 27 49,7 16 58,8 Henry 13 26,3 42 84,8 10 38,7 Houston 44 15,8 186 66,9 42 28,5 Jackson 37 23,0 139 86,3 28 34,0 Jefferson 411 20,8 1,245 63,1 361 34,8 Lamar 13 28,9 44 97,8 5 21,7 Lauderdale 54 20,6 190 72.5 37 27,0 Lawrence 21 20,4 71 68,8 13 24,5 Lee 40 11,1 154 42,7 43 22,9 Limestone 38 18,3 137 66,0 23 22,1 Lowdes 13 33,1 23 58,6 4 19,1 Macison 17 24,6 47 68,0 11 29,9	Geneva		14.3		99.1	17	43.0			
Henry 13	Greene	8	27.6	14	48.2	4	25.9			
Houston 44 15.8 186 66.9 42 28.5 Jackson 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0 Jefferson 411 20.8 1.245 63.1 361 34.8 Lamar 13 28.9 44 97.8 5 21.7 Lauderdale 54 20.6 190 72.5 37 27.0 Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1 Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Maison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 <	Hale	13	23.9	27	49.7	16	58.8			
Jackson 37 23.0 139 86.3 28 34.0 Jefferson 411 20.8 1,245 63.1 361 34.8 Lamar 13 28.9 44 97.8 5 21.7 Lauderdale 54 20.6 190 72.5 37 27.0 Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1 Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 29.9 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Maryanga 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5	Henry	13	26.3		84.8		38.7			
Jefferson 411 20.8 1,245 63.1 361 34.8 Lamar 13 28.9 44 97.8 5 21.7 Lauderdale 54 20.6 190 72.5 37 27.0 Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1 Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2										
Lamar 13 28.9 44 97.8 5 21.7 Lauderdale 54 20.6 190 72.5 37 27.0 Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1 Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 29.9 Marison 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
Lauderdale Lauderdale 54 20.6 190 72.5 37 27.0 Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1 Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 22.7 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193										
Lawrence 21 20.4 71 68.8 13 24.5 Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1 Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Maringo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marinn 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 22.7 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0										
Lee 40 11.1 154 42.7 43 22.9 Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1 Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Mornor 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgam 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 <										
Limestone 38 18.3 137 66.0 23 22.1 Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
Lowndes 13 33.1 23 58.6 4 19.1 Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Mongomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7										
Macon 17 24.6 47 68.0 11 29.9 Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7										
Madison 153 17.4 488 55.4 111 24.3 Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgan 61 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pickens 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8										
Marengo 17 25.8 49 74.4 6 17.5 Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1										
Marion 20 22.1 102 112.9 13 28.6 Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0										
Marshall 49 19.3 227 89.3 37 28.2 Mobile 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4	_									
Mobile Monroe 236 19.7 781 65.3 193 30.8 Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2										
Monroe 17 24.0 45 63.5 7 19.0 Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 <										
Montgomery 123 18.6 362 54.6 104 30.0 Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4										
Morgan 61 18.0 247 73.0 49 28.3 Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallaposa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7										
Perry 9 26.2 25 72.7 4 21.8 Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4										
Pickens 12 19.7 52 85.5 14 43.7 Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
Pike 17 19.3 48 54.5 16 34.7 Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8										
Randolph 18 26.7 35 51.8 8 22.8 Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>16</td> <td></td>						16				
Russell 39 26.5 99 67.2 21 27.1 St. Clair 44 20.9 181 86.0 28 26.0 Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2				35						
Shelby 65 13.1 238 48.0 53 20.4 Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2	Russell		26.5		67.2	21	27.1			
Sumter 4 9.5 20 47.5 5 22.2 Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2										
Talladega 59 24.6 156 65.0 43 35.0 Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2	•									
Tallapoosa 29 23.8 111 90.9 32 50.4 Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2										
Tuscaloosa 96 19.2 318 63.6 62 23.7 Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2										
Walker 49 23.4 207 98.9 32 29.4 Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2										
Washington 4 7.5 42 78.8 8 29.5 Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2										
Wilcox 10 25.9 14 36.2 5 23.8 Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2										
Winston 13 17.7 58 79.0 12 32.2	_									

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

Data for Counties									
		i Cancer Mortality		ancer Mortality		Cancer Mortality			
County	Number	es 2003-2005) Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	es 2003-2005) Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	s 2003-2005) Rate per 100,000 ¹			
Alabama	241	3.4	786	11.2	1,609	24.3			
Autauga	3	4.0	7	9.4	10	14.1			
Baldwin	7	2.8	37	14.9	76	31.7			
Barbour	3	7.4	5	12.3	16	35.8			
Bibb	5	16.0	3	9.6	4	12.0			
Blount	3	3.6	11	13.1	12	14.4			
Bullock	1	6.5	1	6.5	8	45.1			
Butler	2	6.1	2	6.1	14	47.5			
Calhoun	6	3.4	16	9.1	43	26.6			
Chambers	2	3.6	9	16.2	19	37.5			
Cherokee	2	5.3	4	10.6	11	30.6			
Chilton	1	1.6	9	14.2	13	21.0			
Choctaw	1	4.3	0	0.0	10	47.7			
Clarke	3 2	7.0	5 3	11.6	15	38.6			
Clay Cleburne	1	9.4 4.6	1	14.1 4.6	6 7	29.0 32.2			
Coffee	2	2.9	11	15.8	20	29.8			
Colbert	1	1.2	6	7.0	9	11.4			
Conecuh	2	9.5	6	28.6	8	42.7			
Coosa	0	0.0	3	28.0 17.9	5	29.8			
Covington	5	8.7	11	19.1	14	26.2			
Crenshaw	1	4.6	4	18.6	5	25.5			
Cullman	3	2.5	13	10.7	31	26.1			
Dale	4	5.4	10	13.6	15	20.7			
Dallas	4	5.5	6	8.3	25	41.1			
DeKalb	2	2.0	12	11.7	12	12.1			
Elmore	1	0.9	13	11.9	22	19.5			
Escambia	3	5.3	3	5.3	12	20.6			
Etowah	3	1.9	29	18.1	41	27.5			
Fayette	0	0.0	2	7.1	8	30.0			
Franklin	0	0.0	5	10.8	10	21.8			
Geneva	0	0.0	4	10.1	10	26.6			
Greene	0	0.0	5	32.4	4	29.6			
Hale	3	11.0	3	11.0	10	36.0			
Henry	1	3.9	4	15.5	7	29.1			
Houston	2	1.4	19	12.9	40	29.6			
Jackson	3	3.6	8	9.7	17	21.6			
Jefferson	32	3.1	114	11.0	276	29.5			
Lamar	1	4.3	3	13.0	3	13.7			
Lauderdale	6	4.4	10	7.3	31	24.6			
Lawrence	0	0.0	3	5.7	7	13.8			
Lee	1	0.5 2.9	16	8.5	40	22.0			
Limestone Lowndes	3 0	0.0	6 1	5.8 4.8	12 4	11.2 21.8			
Macon	2	5.4	3	8.2	14	44.2			
Madison	16	3.5	42	9.2	75	17.1			
Marengo	0	0.0	1	2.9	17	54.4			
Marion	3	6.6	7	15.4	13	28.9			
Marshall	6	4.6	18	13.7	20	15.9			
Mobile	21	3.3	65	10.4	138	23.9			
Monroe	1	2.7	6	16.3	4	11.7			
Montgomery	17	4.9	50	14.4	86	27.1			
Morgan	7	4.0	17	9.8	37	22.0			
Perry	0	0.0	4	21.8	9	57.2			
Pickens	3	9.4	4	12.5	13	45.6			
Pike	0	0.0	4	8.7	6	14.0			
Randolph	1	2.8	8	22.8	7	21.2			
Russell	6	7.7	9	11.6	27	38.3			
St. Clair	4	3.7	22	20.4	13	11.9			
Shelby	5	1.9	17	6.5	20	7.9			
Sumter	1	4.4	2	8.9	13	68.8			
Talladega	4	3.3	4	3.3	27	22.8			
Tallapoosa	3	4.7	11	17.3	17	29.0			
Tuscaloosa	6	2.3	22	8.4	46	18.7			
Walker	5	4.6	15	13.8	23	22.6			
Washington	4	14.7	2	7.4	9	34.4			
Wilcox	1	4.8	2	9.5	9	50.5			
Winston	0	0.0	8	21.5 6 events. Statistical stabi	4	11.0			

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

Data for Counties Meninges, Brain, Other Cen. Nervous Alzheimer's Disease Mortality Diabetes Mellitus Mortality								
		n, Other Cen. Nervous Mortality (2003-2005)		5 Disease Mortality 003-2005)		lellitus Mortality 03-2005)		
County	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹		
Alabama	611	4.5	4,145	30.6	4,273	31.5		
Autauga	8	5.6	46	32.4	51	35.9		
Baldwin	26	5.5	164	34.8	124	26.3		
Barbour	4	4.7	34	39.8	17	19.9		
Bibb	2	3.1	7	11.0	20	31.4		
Blount	6	3.6	53	32.2	29	17.6		
Bullock	0	0.0	27	80.6	13	38.8		
Butler	4	6.5	14	22.6	29	46.9		
Calhoun	24	7.2	78	23.2	64	19.1		
Chambers	6	5.6	58	54.5	44	41.3		
Cherokee	4	5.5	29	39.5	16	21.8		
Chilton	2 0	1.6	36 14	29.1	22 7	17.8		
Choctaw Clarke	1	0.0 1.2	40	30.9 48.9	29	15.5 35.5		
Clay	2	4.8	10	23.8	16	38.0		
Cleburne	4	9.2	17	39.1	12	27.6		
Coffee	8	5.9	49	36.3	33	24.5		
Colbert	12	7.3	50	30.5	60	36.6		
Conecuh	1	2.5	13	32.4	19	47.4		
Coosa	2	5.9	7	20.7	15	44.3		
Covington	3	2.7	54	49.0	30	27.2		
Crenshaw	1	2.4	15	36.7	17	41.6		
Cullman	12	5.1	107	45.1	75	31.6		
Dale	4	2.7	47	32.0	50	34.1		
Dallas	3	2.2	59	44.2	59	44.2		
DeKalb	15	7.5	53	26.4	41	20.4		
Elmore	9	4.2	48	22.3	71	33.0		
Escambia	9	7.9	71	62.0	68	59.4		
Etowah	19	6.2	152	49.2	99	32.1		
Fayette	1	1.8	22	40.4	16	29.4		
Franklin Geneva	5 4	5.4	18	19.6	65	70.7		
Greene	1	5.2 3.4	48 3	62.6 10.3	37 9	48.3 31.0		
Hale	2	3.7	12	22.1	23	42.3		
Henry	1	2.0	26	52.5	16	32.3		
Houston	13	4.7	121	43.5	51	18.3		
Jackson	7	4.3	37	23.0	62	38.5		
Jefferson	75	3.8	626	31.7	736	37.3		
Lamar	2	4.4	19	42.2	11	24.5		
Lauderdale	20	7.6	130	49.6	62	23.7		
Lawrence	5	4.8	30	29.1	42	40.7		
Lee	12	3.3	71	19.7	66	18.3		
Limestone	11	5.3	33	15.9	43	20.7		
Lowndes	1	2.5	6	15.3	20	51.0		
Macon	2	2.9	30	43.4	30	43.4		
Madison	35	4.0	197	22.4	286	32.5		
Marengo Marion	3 5	4.6 5.5	8 29	12.1 32.1	31 22	47.1 24.4		
Marshall	16	6.3	84	33.0	32	12.6		
Mobile	53	4.4	332	27.7	325	27.2		
Monroe	2	2.8	17	24.0	25	35.3		
Montgomery	20	3.0	188	28.4	344	51.9		
Morgan	16	4.7	74	21.9	126	37.2		
Perry	3	8.7	11	32.0	14	40.7		
Pickens	2	3.3	17	27.9	15	24.7		
Pike	4	4.5	16	18.2	44	50.0		
Randolph	5	7.4	24	35.5	24	35.5		
Russell	11	7.5	37	25.1	34	23.1		
St. Clair	8	3.8	60	28.5	43	20.4		
Shelby	18	3.6	93	18.7	99	20.0		
Sumter	1	2.4	10	23.8	13	30.9		
Talladega	14	5.8	90	37.5	57	23.8		
Tallapoosa	5	4.1	49	40.1	61	50.0		
Tuscaloosa	15	3.0	101	20.2	134	26.8		
Walker Washington	12 2	5.7 3.8	59 21	28.2	67 17	32.0 31.9		
Washington Wilcox	0	0.0	15	39.4 38.8	17	31.9 41.4		
Winston	8	10.9	29	38.8 39.5	25	34.0		
				events. Statistical stabi				

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties								
	Heart Diseases Mortality			t Diseases Mortality		ilure Mortality		
G. A	Number	03-2005)	Number (20)	03-2005) Rate per 100,000	Number	003-2005)		
County		Rate per 100,000		1 '		Rate per 100,000 ¹		
Alabama	38,683	285.4	18,789	138.6	6,606	48.7		
Autauga	348	244.8	122	85.8	120	84.4		
Baldwin	1,300	276.2	700	148.7	170	36.1		
Barbour	252	295.3	63	73.8	34	39.8		
Bibb	151	236.8	89	139.6	26	40.8		
Blount	468	284.0	210	127.4	96	58.2		
Bullock	108	322.5	58	173.2	12	35.8		
Butler	299	483.2	109	176.2	87	140.6		
Calhoun	1,294	385.5	714	212.7	176	52.4		
Chambers	420	394.6	161	151.3	62	58.3		
Cherokee	224	305.4	131	178.6	43	58.6		
Chilton	426	343.8	244	196.9	74	59.7		
Choctaw	150	331.6	75	165.8	27	59.7		
Clarke	220	269.0	142	173.6	34	41.6		
Clay	182	432.3	134	318.3	24	57.0		
Cleburne	128	294.5	57	131.2	30	69.0		
Coffee	435	322.4	217	160.8	133	98.6		
Colbert	606	369.7	378	230.6	45	27.5		
Conecuh	169	421.6	73 57	182.1	12	29.9		
Coosa	123	363.3	57	168.4	28	82.7		
Covington	462	418.8	209	189.5	85	77.1		
Crenshaw	161	394.0	70	171.3	33	80.8		
Cullman	803	338.5	425	179.2	154	64.9		
Dale	375	255.6	216	147.2	70 70	47.7		
Dallas	488	365.4	231	173.0	79	59.2		
DeKalb	769	383.1	311	154.9	243	121.1		
Elmore	544	252.8	239	111.1	77	35.8		
Escambia	344	300.4	208	181.6	29	25.3		
Etowah	1,202	389.3	718	232.5	234	75.8		
Fayette	184	337.8	67	123.0	34	62.4		
Franklin	343	373.0	172	187.0	28	30.4		
Geneva	292	380.8	154	200.9	67	87.4		
Greene	135	465.1	23	79.2	20	68.9		
Hale	189	347.9	90	165.7	28	51.5		
Henry	166	335.3	83	167.6	32	64.6		
Houston	671	241.3	321	115.4	124	44.6		
Jackson	588	365.2	285	177.0	115	71.4		
Jefferson	5,222	264.8	2,662	135.0	776	39.4		
Lamar	161	357.9	83	184.5	42	93.4		
Lauderdale	638	243.4	306	116.8	109	41.6		
Lawrence	289	280.1	162	157.0	46	44.6		
Lee	643	178.1	337	93.4	173	47.9		
Limestone	547	263.6	255	122.9	58	28.0		
Lowndes	157	400.0	44	112.1	84	214.0		
Macon	258	373.4	71	102.8	148	214.2		
Madison	1,779	202.1	586	66.6	307	34.9		
Marengo	260	394.9	170	258.2	38	57.7		
Marion Marshall	364	402.9	197	218.1	103	114.0		
Marshall Mobile	971	381.8 270.5	422 1,858	165.9 155.2	154 312	60.6		
	3,237		1,858			26.1 39.5		
Montoe	237	334.4		122.8	28			
Montgomery	1,507	227.3	795	119.9	211	31.8		
Morgan	945	279.1	298	88.0	144	42.5		
Perry	107	311.2	44	128.0	27 76	78.5		
Pickens	217	356.7 354.5	91 82	149.6	76 27	124.9		
Pike Pandalph	312	354.5 352.4	82	93.2	27	30.7		
Randolph	238	352.4	147	217.7	42 54	62.2		
Russell St. Clair	274 576	186.1 273.6	152 291	103.2 138.2	54 128	36.7 60.8		
	722	273.6 145.5	314	63.3	128	20.8		
Shelby Sumter	144		514 54		103	38.0		
		342.1		128.3				
Talladega	773	322.3	313	130.5	171	71.3		
Tallapoosa	442	362.1	258	211.4	87	71.3		
Tuscaloosa	1,119	223.6	506	101.1	190	38.0		
Walker	980	468.0	443	211.6	140	66.9		
Washington	146	273.9	61	114.4	26	48.8		
Wilcox	138	357.1 355.4	40	103.5	17	44.0		
Winston	261	355.4	104	141.6	84 lity may be missin	114.4		

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

			Data for Cou				
		ar Diseases (Stroke) y (2003-2005)		onia Mortality 03-2005)		Chronic Lower Respiratory Diseases Mortality (2003-2005)	
County	Number	Rate per 100,000	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	
Alabama	8,934	65.9	3,111	23.0	7,156	52.8	
Autauga	65	45.7	22	15.5	67	47.1	
Baldwin	278	59.1	69	14.7	195	41.4	
Barbour	64	75.0	21	24.6	57	66.8	
Bibb	89	139.6	15	23.5	35	54.9	
Blount	87	52.8	70	42.5	94	57.0	
Bullock	25	74.7	8	23.9	17	50.8	
Butler	61	98.6	30	48.5	49	79.2	
Calhoun	228	67.9	100	29.8	206	61.4	
Chambers	127	119.3	24	22.6	74	69.5	
Cherokee	51	69.5	25	34.1	56	76.3	
Chilton Choctaw	86 49	69.4 108.3	39 7	31.5 15.5	74 28	59.7 61.9	
Clarke	80	97.8	9	13.3	38	46.5	
Clay	31	73.6	12	28.5	26	61.8	
Cleburne	29	66.7	9	20.7	33	75.9	
Coffee	86	63.7	37	27.4	88	65.2	
Colbert	106	64.7	38	23.2	99	60.4	
Conecuh	40	99.8	18	44.9	22	54.9	
Coosa	30	88.6	8	23.6	17	50.2	
Covington	101	91.6	62	56.2	74	67.1	
Crenshaw	41	100.3	19	46.5	50	122.4	
Cullman	169	71.2	43	18.1	166	70.0	
Dale	65	44.3	18	12.3	108	73.6	
Dallas	111	83.1	31	23.2	55	41.2	
DeKalb	102	50.8	40	19.9	138	68.7	
Elmore	93	43.2	27	12.5	108	50.2	
Escambia	86	75.1	22	19.2	51	44.5	
Etowah	254 59	82.3 108.3	120 16	38.9 29.4	255 37	82.6 67.9	
Fayette Franklin	77	83.7	30	32.6	70	76.1	
Geneva	64	83.5	12	15.7	60	78.3	
Greene	22	75.8	12	41.3	8	27.6	
Hale	34	62.6	14	25.8	15	27.6	
Henry	68	137.3	7	14.1	31	62.6	
Houston	149	53.6	27	9.7	137	49.3	
Jackson	104	64.6	42	26.1	92	57.1	
Jefferson	1,566	79.4	504	25.6	972	49.3	
Lamar	39	86.7	14	31.1	32	71.1	
Lauderdale	193	73.6	71	27.1	139	53.0	
Lawrence	62	60.1	23	22.3	57	55.2	
Lee	161	44.6	39	10.8	129	35.7	
Limestone	135	65.1	46	22.2	81	39.0	
Lowndes	25	63.7	6	15.3	13	33.1	
Macon	44	63.7	14	20.3	23	33.3	
Madison Marengo	428 55	48.6 83.5	155 17	17.6 25.8	326 32	37.0 48.6	
Marion	59	65.3	27	29.9	65	72.0	
Marshall	186	73.1	85	33.4	210	82.6	
Mobile	734	61.3	221	18.5	535	44.7	
Monroe	52	73.4	25	35.3	40	56.4	
Montgomery	382	57.6	115	17.3	330	49.8	
Morgan	156	46.1	90	26.6	171	50.5	
Perry	33	96.0	5	14.5	9	26.2	
Pickens	49	80.5	24	39.5	30	49.3	
Pike	47	53.4	18	20.5	27	30.7	
Randolph	53	78.5	13	19.2	39	57.7	
Russell	80	54.3	34	23.1	70	47.5	
St. Clair	123	58.4	57	27.1	155	73.6	
Shelby	198	39.9	55	11.1	170	34.3	
Sumter	39	92.7	7	16.6	19	45.1	
Talladega	187	78.0	46	19.2	160	66.7	
Tallapoosa	80	65.5	40	32.8	97	79.5	
Tuscaloosa	317	63.4	148	29.6	247	49.4	
Walker	142 30	67.8 56.3	74 8	35.3 15.0	174 21	83.1 39.4	
Washington Wilcox	31	80.2	9	15.0 23.3	6	39.4 15.5	
Winston	37	50.4	18	23.3 24.5	47	64.0	
				6 events. Statistical stab			

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

	Chronic Liver Disease and Cirrhosis			nrotic Syndrome, and		l Types) Mortality
County	Number	ity (2003-2005) Rate per 100,000 ¹	Nephrosis Me Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	003-2005) Rate per 100,000 ¹
Alabama	1,378	10.2	3,132	23.1	6,931	51.1
Autauga	11	7.7	20	14.1	74	52.1
Baldwin	75	15.9	64	13.6	277	58.8
Barbour	6	7.0	17	19.9	43	50.4
Bibb	10	15.7	8	12.5	55	86.3
Blount	12	7.3	46	27.9	91	55.2
Bullock	6	17.9	16	47.8	16	47.8
Butler	6	9.7	24	38.8	47	76.0
Calhoun	32	9.5	90	26.8	199	59.3
Chambers	8	7.5	28	26.3	61	57.3
Cherokee	11	15.0	20	27.3	40	54.5
Chilton	10	8.1	26	21.0	97	78.3
Choctaw	5	11.1	11	24.3	36	79.6
Clarke	1	1.2	19	23.2	58	70.9
Clay	8	19.0	13	30.9	24	57.0
Cleburne	1	2.3	11	25.3	30	69.0
Coffee	13	9.6	31	23.0	50	37.1
Colbert	10	6.1	33	20.1	80	48.8
Conecuh	6	15.0	13	32.4	29 27	72.3
Coosa	3 13	8.9 11.8	8 44	23.6 39.9	70	79.7 63.5
Cronshow	3	7.3	16	39.9	29	71.0
Crenshaw	18	7.3 7.6	70	39.2 29.5	29 144	/1.0 60.7
Cullman Dale	18	7.6 12.3	23	29.5 15.7	144 66	45.0
Dallas	10	7.5	41	30.7	79	59.2
DeKalb	18	9.0	46	22.9	129	64.3
Elmore	18	8.4	28	13.0	105	48.8
Escambia	19	16.6	11	9.6	87	76.0
Etowah	51	16.5	94	30.4	169	54.7
Fayette	5	9.2	12	22.0	42	77.1
Franklin	8	8.7	23	25.0	67	72.9
Geneva	12	15.7	16	20.9	57	74.3
Greene	0	0.0	20	68.9	15	51.7
Hale	3	5.5	22	40.5	33	60.7
Henry	7	14.1	16	32.3	31	62.6
Houston	24	8.6	39	14.0	104	37.4
Jackson	28	17.4	27	16.8	104	64.6
Jefferson	210	10.7	562	28.5	952	48.3
Lamar	4	8.9	13	28.9	23	51.1
Lauderdale	27	10.3	68	25.9	128	48.8
Lawrence	10	9.7	33	32.0	78	75.6
Lee	21	5.8	44	12.2	112	31.0
Limestone	12	5.8	43	20.7	111	53.5
Lowndes	1	2.5	9	22.9	37	94.3
Macon	1	1.4	23	33.3	43	62.2
Madison Marengo	76 6	8.6 9.1	171 20	19.4 30.4	329 42	37.4 63.8
Marion Marion	11	9.1 12.2	35	30.4 38.7	42 70	63.8 77.5
Marshall	39	15.3	67	26.3	128	50.3
Mobile	143	11.9	211	17.6	592	49.5
Monroe	4	5.6	21	29.6	45	63.5
Montgomery	72	10.9	132	19.9	256	38.6
Morgan	28	8.3	79	23.3	165	48.7
Perry	2	5.8	16	46.5	33	96.0
Pickens	5	8.2	23	37.8	44	72.3
Pike	5	5.7	28	31.8	59	67.0
Randolph	4	5.9	26	38.5	51	75.5
Russell	18	12.2	34	23.1	64	43.5
St. Clair	26	12.4	50	23.8	91	43.2
Shelby	39	7.9	69	13.9	180	36.3
Sumter	4	9.5	14	33.3	22	52.3
Talladega	28	11.7	49	20.4	110	45.9
Tallapoosa	12	9.8	30	24.6	54	44.2
Tuscaloosa	44	8.8	110	22.0	199	39.8
Walker	31	14.8	67	32.0	149	71.2
Washington	3	5.6	11	20.6	25	46.9
Wilcox	2	5.2	10	25.9	27	69.9
Winston	1	1.4	18	24.5	47	64.0

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

Data for Counties								
	Motor Vehicle Accident Mortality (2003-2005)			vning and Submersion ty (2003-2005)		moke, Fire, Flames		
County	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	ty (2003-2005) Rate per 100,000 ¹		
Alabama	3,480	25.7	187	1.4	322	2.4		
Autauga	40	28.1	2	1.4	4	2.8		
Baldwin	111	23.6	9	1.4	9	2.8 1.9		
Barbour	28	32.8	0	0.0	2	2.3		
Bibb	31	48.6	0	0.0	3	4.7		
Blount	50	30.3	5	3.0	6	3.6		
Bullock	10	29.9	0	0.0	1	3.0		
Butler	27	43.6	2	3.2	2	3.2		
Calhoun	108	32.2	2	0.6	11	3.3		
Chambers	27	25.4	1	0.9	3	2.8		
Cherokee	24	32.7	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Chilton	51	41.2	4	3.2	4	3.2		
Choctaw	22	48.6	2	4.4	2	4.4		
Clarke	35	42.8	3	3.7	1	1.2		
Clay	7	16.6	1	2.4	1	2.4		
Cleburne	17	39.1	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Coffee Colbert	30 38	22.2 23.2	0 1	0.0 0.6	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.7 1.2		
Conecuh	18	44.9	1	2.5	0	0.0		
Coosa	16	47.3	2	5.9	3	8.9		
Covington	41	37.2	2	1.8	3	2.7		
Crenshaw	15	36.7	0	0.0	3	7.3		
Cullman	94	39.6	2	0.8	10	4.2		
Dale	34	23.2	1	0.7	2	1.4		
Dallas	41	30.7	1	0.7	10	7.5		
DeKalb	66	32.9	2	1.0	5	2.5		
Elmore	61	28.4	4	1.9	3	1.4		
Escambia	47	41.0	4	3.5	5	4.4		
Etowah	77	24.9	4	1.3	9	2.9		
Fayette	18	33.0	0	0.0	1	1.8		
Franklin	34	37.0	4	4.3	4	4.3		
Geneva	35	45.6	2	2.6	2	2.6		
Greene	11 23	37.9 42.3	2	6.9	0 3	0.0		
Hale Henry	23	42.3 42.4	1 1	1.8 2.0	0	5.5 0.0		
Houston	50	18.0	5	1.8	3	1.1		
Jackson	55	34.2	6	3.7	6	3.7		
Jefferson	382	19.4	25	1.3	55	2.8		
Lamar	13	28.9	0	0.0	1	2.2		
Lauderdale	68	25.9	5	1.9	3	1.1		
Lawrence	48	46.5	0	0.0	7	6.8		
Lee	60	16.6	2	0.6	5	1.4		
Limestone	71	34.2	2	1.0	2	1.0		
Lowndes	23	58.6	1	2.5	6	15.3		
Macon	24	34.7	3	4.3	1	1.4		
Madison	151	17.2	11	1.2	9	1.0		
Marengo	24	36.4	0	0.0	4	6.1		
Marion Marshall	33	36.5	2 4	2.2	1	1.1		
Marshall Mobile	66 283	26.0 23.6	22	1.6 1.8	4 19	1.6 1.6		
Monroe	30	42.3	1	1.6	19	1.6		
Montgomery	131	19.8	5	0.8	12	1.8		
Morgan	72	21.3	3	0.9	6	1.8		
Perry	21	61.1	0	0.0	7	20.4		
Pickens	24	39.5	0	0.0	3	4.9		
Pike	32	36.4	0	0.0	4	4.5		
Randolph	27	40.0	1	1.5	2	3.0		
Russell	32	21.7	3	2.0	3	2.0		
St. Clair	35	16.6	0	0.0	7	3.3		
Shelby	89	17.9	3	0.6	8	1.6		
Sumter	15	35.6	1	2.4	0	0.0		
Talladega	60	25.0	4	1.7	3	1.3		
Tallapoosa	29	23.8	3	2.5	1	0.8		
Tuscaloosa	100	20.0	4	0.8	8	1.6		
Walker	68	32.5	4	1.9	11	5.3		
Washington Wilcox	16 18	30.0 46.6	1 1	1.9 2.6	2 1	3.8 2.6		
Winston	22	30.0	0	0.0	2	2.6		
				6 events Statistical stabi				

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

Data for Counties								
	Accidental Poisoning and Exposure to Noxious Subst. Mortality (2003-2005)			cide Mortality 003-2005)		de Mortality 003-2005)		
County	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹	Number	Rate per 100,000 ¹		
Alabama	646	4.8	1,233	9.1	1,586	11.7		
Autauga	4	2.8	5	3.5	14	9.9		
Baldwin	40	8.5	18	3.8	54	11.5		
Barbour	4	4.7	10	11.7	5	5.9		
Bibb	1	1.6	3	4.7	12	18.8		
Blount	5	3.0	13	7.9	23	14.0		
Bullock	1	3.0	3	9.0	2	6.0		
Butler	1	1.6	13	21.0	8	12.9		
Calhoun	18	5.4	33	9.8	48	14.3		
Chambers	7	6.6	5	4.7	7	6.6		
Cherokee	4	5.5	4	5.5	15	20.4		
Chilton	13	10.5	3	2.4	21	16.9		
Choctaw	1	2.2	3	6.6	5	11.1		
Clarke	3	3.7	6	7.3	5	6.1		
Clay	0	0.0	1	2.4	5	11.9		
Cleburne	3 3	6.9	8 5	18.4	11 9	25.3		
Coffee Colbert	11	2.2 6.7		3.7 6.7	9 27	6.7 16.5		
Conecuh	5	12.5	11 8	20.0	5	16.5 12.5		
Coosa	1	3.0	3	20.0 8.9	5 6	12.5 17.7		
Covington	2	1.8	4	8.9 3.6	15	17.7		
Crenshaw	1	2.4	3	7.3	6	14.7		
Cullman	4	2.4 1.7	6	7.3 2.5	29	14.7 12.2		
Dale	10	6.8	5	3.4	29 17	11.6		
Dallas	6	4.5	31	23.2	17	12.7		
DeKalb	3	1.5	5	2.5	18	9.0		
Elmore	2	0.9	10	4.6	19	8.8		
Escambia	10	8.7	10	8.7	12	10.5		
Etowah	23	7.4	25	8.1	49	15.9		
Fayette	3	5.5	4	7.3	10	18.4		
Franklin	5	5.4	4	4.3	12	13.0		
Geneva	4	5.2	2	2.6	15	19.6		
Greene	0	0.0	6	20.7	3	10.3		
Hale	0	0.0	9	16.6	5	9.2		
Henry	2	4.0	6	12.1	4	8.1		
Houston	4	1.4	22	7.9	30	10.8		
Jackson	8	5.0	10	6.2	24	14.9		
Jefferson	126	6.4	343	17.4	228	11.6		
Lamar	1	2.2	0	0.0	8	17.8		
Lauderdale	7	2.7	12	4.6	38	14.5		
Lawrence	4	3.9	8	7.8	13	12.6		
Lee	6	1.7	30	8.3	35	9.7		
Limestone	6	2.9	12	5.8	28	13.5		
Lowndes	0	0.0	12	30.6	3	7.6		
Macon	2	2.9	16	23.2	6	8.7		
Madison	30	3.4	46	5.2	96	10.9		
Marengo	0	0.0	8	12.1	2	3.0		
Marion	3	3.3	9	10.0	10	11.1		
Marshall	11	4.3	5	2.0	28	11.0		
Mobile	78	6.5	136	11.4	116	9.7		
Monroe	0	0.0	5	7.1	7	9.9		
Montgomery	21	3.2	86	13.0	70	10.6		
Morgan	29	8.6	22	6.5	54	15.9		
Perry	0	0.0	4	11.6	3	8.7		
Pickens	2	3.3	3	4.9	7	11.5		
Pike	2	2.3	6	6.8	11	12.5		
Randolph	5	7.4	8	11.8	11	16.3		
Russell	5	3.4	22	14.9	22	14.9		
St. Clair	12	5.7	11	5.2	27	12.8		
Shelby	18	3.6	20	4.0	46	9.3		
Sumter	1	2.4	4	9.5	0	0.0		
Talladega	9	3.8	16	6.7	34	14.2		
Tallapoosa	5	4.1	9	7.4	18	14.7		
Tuscaloosa	24	4.8	36	7.2	57 20	11.4		
Walker	22	10.5	13	6.2	29	13.8		
Washington	0	0.0	2	3.8	4	7.5		
Wilcox	1 4	2.6 5.4	9	23.3 4.1	0 8	0.0 10.9		
Winston				events. Statistical stabilit				

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

County Number Rate Number Percent Number Percent Alabama 1,646 9.0 6,616 10.5 8,670 13.8 Alatanga 19 9.8 61 9.5 83 12.9 Baldwin 42 6.8 210 9.5 282 12.7 Barbour 12 10.6 44 10.6 80 10.3 Bibb 13 16.6 35 44 6.7 6.7 4.9 Bibb 13 16.6 35 4.4 6.7 6.7 4.9 Bibb 15 16.6 38 44 6.7 6.7 4.9 2.2 20.2 Bibb 15 16.6 38 44 6.7 6.7 4.9 2.1 Bibb 15 16.6 38 44 6.7 6.7 4.9 2.1 Bibb 15 16.6 38 4.5 4.9 6.7 4.9 2.1 Bibb 16 7.8 4.9 6.7 6.7 4.9 6.7 7.9 Bider 9 10.8 31 10.2 58 19.1 Calhoan 31 6.8 123 8.1 2.19 14.5 Chambers 7 5.7 42 10.7 60 15.2 Cherokee 6 7.6 20 7.8 35 13.7 Chilton 16 9.8 55 9.9 81 14.6 Choctaw 2 4.1 22 13.8 22 13.9 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clay 4 8.7 19 11.0 29 16.9 Clebume 1 2.1 14 8.8 18 11.3 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 88 13.1 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 88 13.1 Comecul 3 6.3 22 11.0 38 13.0 Convento 3 6.3 22 11.0 38 13.0 Convento 3 6.3 22 11.0 38 13.0 Convento 4 4 6.1 6.3 8.3 9 11.9 Dallas 13 6.5 79 11.9 144 21.7 Dallas 14 6.1 6.3 8.8 8.8 12.6 12.6 Ecambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 16.5 10.0 Ecambia 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Elmore 19 6.4 88		Infant Mantality	Data 2004 2006	Data for Coun		Dirthe to Toonager	ns (ngo 10 10) 2006
Number Number Percent Number Percent		Infant Mortality Rate – 2004-2006 (Per 1,000 births)		Low Weight	BITTIS - 2000	Births to Teenager	's (age 10-19) - 2006
Autangs 19 9.8 61 9.5 83 12.9 Barbour 12 10.6 44 10.6 80 19.3 Bibb 13 16.6 35 13.6 52 20.2 Blount 16 7.8 46 6.7 92 13.3 Bloulck 5 9.1 27 13.4 36 17.9 Buffer 9 10.8 31 10.2 58 19.1 Claribur 7 5.7 42 10.7 60 15.2 Claribur 7 5.7 42 10.7 60 15.2 Chilotox 7 5.7 42 10.7 60 15.2 Chilotox 16 48 52 29 29 29 20 Clark 13 22 23 28 29 29 20 Clark 13 22 24 20 29 20 20 Clark 13 22 24 20 29 39 12.0 Clow 4 8.7 19 11.0 29 16.9 Clothur 1 21 14 8.8 18 11.3 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Concenth 3 6.3 222 13.0 30 17.6 Concenth 3 6.3 222 13.0 30 17.6 Concenth 3 6.3 222 13.0 30 17.6 Concord 5 6.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 8.3 8.0 Collision 1 15 5.1 87 87 87 13 13.1 DeKalb 28 9.5 74 74 152 15.3 Elimone 19 6.4 8.8 8.8 16.5 Elimone 19 6.4 8.7 9.9 15.8 Elimone 19 6.4 8.8 8.8 16.5 Elimone 19 6.4 8.8 8.8 16.5 Elimone 19 6.4 8.7 9.9 16.9 Elimone 19 6.4 8.7 9.9 Elimone 19 6.4 8.7 9.9 Elimone 19 6.9 8.9	County			Number	Percent ¹	Number	Percent
Baldwin	Alabama	1,646	9.0	6,616	10.5	8,670	13.8
Barbour	Autauga						12.9
Bibb							
Blount							
Bullock 5 9,1 27 13.4 36 17.9 17.0 2.5 8 19.1 Calhoun 31 6.8 123 8.1 10.2 58 19.1 Calhoun 31 6.8 123 8.1 219 14.5 14.0 15.0 15.2 Cherokee 6 7.6 20 7.8 35 13.7 Cherokee 6 7.6 20 7.8 35 13.7 13.7 Cherokee 6 7.6 20 7.8 35 13.7 Cherokee 6 7.6 20 7.8 35 13.7 13.7 Cherokee 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Chay 4 8.7 19 11.0 29 16.9 Cherokee 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Chay 4 8.7 19 11.0 29 16.9 Chebure 1 2.1 14 8.8 18 18 11.3 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Coffee 16 9.0 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Corosa 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Corosa 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 38 82 28 13.0 Collina 15 5.1 87 8.7 132 13.1 Dulka 14 6.1 6.3 8.3 9.1 14 12.1 Dulka 13 6.5 7 7 1.0 2 28 16.9 Cullman 15 5.1 87 8.7 132 13.1 Dulka 13 6.5 7 7 1.0 2 28 16.9 Cullman 15 5.1 87 8.7 12.2 13.1 Collina 15 6.5 7 7 1.0 17 12.2 6.5 Covington 16 11.5 61 12.3 9.0 12.6 Covington 16 11.5 61 12.3 9.0 12.6 Covington 16 11.5 61 12.3 9.0 12.6 Covington 16 11.5 61 12.3 12.0 12.2 12.1 6.4 6.5 8.7 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12							
Butler 9 10.8 31 10.2 58 19.1 Clambers 7 5.7 42 10.7 60 15.2 Chambers 6 7.6 20 7.8 35 13.7 Chilton 16 9.8 555 9.9 81 14.6 Choctaw 12 41 122 13.8 22 13.9 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clebume 1 2.1 14 8.8 18 13. Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Coffee 20 10.8 78 12.7 80 13.0 Corecult 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Cosoa 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Creshaw 2 4.1 17 10.2 28 16.9 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Creshaw 2 4.1 17 10.2 28 16.9 Clulman 15 5.1 87 8.7 132 13.1 Dale 14 6.1 63 8.3 91 11.9 Dalka 13 6.5 79 11.9 144 21.7 DeKalb 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Elexambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Elexambia 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 10.1 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1							
Calhoun							
Chambers 7 5.7 42 10.7 60 15.2 Chilton 16 9.8 55 9.9 81 14.6 Cherokee 6 7.6 20 7.8 35 13.7 Chilton 16 9.8 55 9.9 81 14.6 Cherokee 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clarke 10 1 2.1 14 8.8 18 11.3 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Colbert 20 10.8 78 12.7 80 13.0 Conecuh 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Cosos 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Colbert 20 10.8 78 12.7 80 13.0 Cosos 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Crenshaw 2 4.1 17 10.2 28 16.0 Collman 15 5.1 87 8.7 8.7 13.2 13.1 Dalla 14 6.1 63 8.3 91 11.9 Dallas 13 6.5 79 11.9 14.4 21.7 Dallas 13 6.5 79 11.9 14.4 21.7 DeKalb 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Element 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Element 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Element 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Element 17 18.5 11.0 32 6.7 77 10.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 71 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 77 1.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Firmshin 15 10.0 Firmshi							
Cherokee 6 7.6 20 7.8 35 13.7 Chiloto 16 9.8 55 9.9 9. 81 14.6 Choctaw 2 4.1 22 13.8 22 13.9 Chay 4 8.7 19 11.0 29 16.9 Clay 4 8.7 19 11.0 29 16.9 Cleburne 1 2.1 14 8.8 18 11.3 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Coffee 16 9.0 18.7 82 12.7 80 13.0 Conecul 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Cosa 5 16.3 7 7.1.1 17 17.12 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Coresul 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Cullman 15 5.1 87 8.7 132 13.1 Dallas 13 6.5 79 11.9 144 21.7 Dekalb 28 9.5 74 74 74 152 15.3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.3 90 18.2 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.126 12.6 Elcowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Elcowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Elcowah 29 7.7 16.6 Geneva 6 6.6 25 76 6 36 11.5 23 16.5 Elmore 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Elmore 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Elmore 5 9.5 16 8.7 9.7 16.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 76 6 36 10.9 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 76 6 36 10.9 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 76 6 36 10.9 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 76 6 36 10.9 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 77 6 36 10.9 Geneva 7 6 8.7 49 8.0 89 145 Elmory 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Elmory 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Elmory 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Elmory 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 126 12.6 Elmory 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 126 12.3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 126							
Chilton 16 9.8 55 9.9 81 14.6 Chortaw 2 4.1 22 13.8 22 13.9 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clarke 13 13.2 42 12.9 39 12.0 Clarke 14 8.7 19 11.0 29 16.9 Clay 4 8.7 19 11.0 29 16.9 Clebume 1 2.1 14 8.8 18 13.3 Coffee 16 9.0 48 7.7 82 13.1 Coffee 20 10.8 78 12.7 80 13.0 30 17.6 Concurb 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Concurb 3 6.5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Cresshaw 2 4.1 17 10.2 28 13.1 Dale 14 6.1 63 8.3 91 11.9 Dale 14 6.1 61 62 8.8 8.8 18.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 15 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 90 12.5 Dale 14 6.1 12.3 9							
Choctaw 2							
Clarke							
Clebume	Clarke	13	13.2		12.9		12.0
Coffee 16 90 48 7.7 82 13.1 Colbert 20 10.8 78 12.7 80 13.0 30 17.6 Conceuh 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Conceuh 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Cosca 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Crescham 2 4.1 177 10.2 28 16.9 Cullman 15 5.1 87 8.7 13.2 13.1 Dule 14 6.1 63 8.3 3 19.1 19.1 Dule 14 6.1 63 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.9 8.9 19.1 19.1 Dule 14 19.1 64 88 8.8 8.8 8.1 12.6 12.5 3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 12.6 12.6 12.5 3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 12.6 12.6 12.5 3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 12.6 12.6 12.5 3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 12.6 12.6 12.5 3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.8 12.6 12.6 12.6 Escambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Elcowah 2.9 7.7 12.6 9.7 21.2 16.4 Figuret 5 9.5 16 8.7 29 15.8 Figuret 5 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 Geneva 6 6.6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 10.5 Hale 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Henry 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 10.5 7.6 187 13.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Jefferson 312 11.2 1.191 12.4 1.196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 12.4 1.196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 13.6 27 10.9 48 14.9 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 13.6 8.8 10.3 10.6 Limeston 15 5.6 67 7.1 12.4 17.9 12.4 13.1 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 13.6 8.8 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 18.8 10.3 19.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 16.8 Monitor 9.8 8.0 43.4 10.7 40.1 9.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 16.8 Monitor 9.8 8.0 43.4 10.7 40.1 9.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 16.8 Monitor 9.8 8.0 43.4 10.7 40.1 9.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 16.8 Monitor 9.8 8.0 43.4 10.7 40.1 9.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 16.8 Monitor 9.8 8.0 43.4 10.7 40.1 9.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 16.8 Monitor 9.8 8.0 43.4 10.7 40.1 9.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 16.8 Monitor 9.8 8.0 43.4 10.7 40.1 9.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 16.8 Monitor 9.8 8.0 43.4 10.7 40.1 9.9 Marshall 40 9.2 12.2 8.0 257 11.3 20 11.1 9.1 11.5 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1	Clay	4	8.7	19	11.0	29	16.9
Colbert 20 10.8 78 12.7 80 13.0 Conecut 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Cosca 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 28 16.9 Cosca 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 28 16.9 Cosca 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 28 16.9 Cosca 5 16.3 7 7.1 10.2 28 16.9 Cosca 6 16.9 Cosca 6 16.9 Cosca 6 16.9 Cosca 7 13.2 13.1 Cosca 7 13.2 13.3 Cosca 7 13.2 13.1 Cosca 7 13.2 13.3 Cosca 7 13.2 Cosca 7 13.3 Cosca	Cleburne	1			8.8	18	11.3
Conceul 3 6.3 22 13.0 30 17.6 Corosa 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 3.8 3.8 8.2 83 18.0 Crenshaw 2 4.1 17 10.2 28 16.9 Crenshaw 15 5.1 87 8.7 132 13.1 Dale 14 6.1 63 8.3 91 11.9 Dales 14 6.1 63 8.3 91 11.9 Dales 13 6.5 79 11.9 144 21.7 DeKaib 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Ellmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 Escambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Escambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Escambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Escambia 15 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Escambia 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Hale 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Hale 10 16.2 15 11.0 39 2.9 Houston 32 8.2 10.5 7.6 187 13.5 13.5 13.5 14.6 Hale 10 16.2 15 11.2 11.9 12.4 1.196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 12.4 11.96 12							
Coosa 5 16.3 7 7.1 17 17.2 Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Crenshaw 2 4.1 17 10.2 28 16.9 Cullman 15 5.1 87 8.7 132 13.1 Dallas 13 6.5 5.79 11.9 144 21.7 DeKalb 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 12.6 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 12.6 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 12.6 12.6 12.6 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 8.12 12.6 12.6 Elmore 19 7.7 12.6 9.7 212 16.4 Greene 4 10.5 16							
Covington 8 5.8 38 8.2 83 18.0 Cremshaw 2 4.1 17 10.2 2.8 16.9 Cullman 15 5.1 87 8.7 132 13.1 Dale 14 6.1 63 8.3 9.1 19.9 Dales 13 6.5 79 11.9 144 21.7 DeKalb 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 Escambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Etowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Fayete 5 9.5 16 8.7 29 15.8 Fanktin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 Geneva 6 6.6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9							
Crenshaw 2 4.1 17 10.2 28 16.9 Cullman 15 5.1 87 8.7 132 13.1 Dale 14 6.1 63 8.3 91 11.9 Dallas 13 6.5 79 11.9 144 21.7 DeKalb 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 Elmowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Elwah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Geneva 6 6.6 6.5 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>							
Cullman							
Dale 14 6.1 63 8.3 91 11.9 DeKalb 13 6.5 79 11.9 144 21.7 DeKalb 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 Ecowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Etowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Fayette 5 9.5 16 8.7 29 15.8 Franklin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Hale 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Henry 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 18.7 19.4 Jedres							
Dallas 13 6.5 79 11.9 144 21.7 DeKalb 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 Escambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Etowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Fayette 5 9.5 16 8.7 29 15.8 Franklin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 Geneva 6 6.6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Hale 10 16.2 2.5 13.5 30 16.2 Henry 2 3.5 2.2 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 187 13.5							
DeKalb 28 9.5 74 7.4 152 15.3 Elmore 19 6.4 88 8.8 126 12.6 Escambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Etowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Fayette 5 9.5 16 8.7 29 15.8 Franklin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 Geneva 6 6.6 6.5 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Henry 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 187 13.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Lemar 5 9.7 18 10.1 139 21.9 <							
Elmore 19							
Escambia 16 11.5 61 12.3 90 18.2 Etowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Fayette 5 9.5 16 8.7 29 15.8 Franklin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 Geneva 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Hale 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Henry 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 187 13.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Lamar 15 11.2 1.191 12.4 1.196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 L							
Elowah 29 7.7 126 9.7 212 16.4 Fayette 5 9.5 16 8.7 29 15.8 Franklin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 6.1 Geneva 6 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Hale 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Henry 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 187 13.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Lawrence 15 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 14.9 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macion 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 34 12.7 38 14.3 Montpower 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.5 Montpower 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Montpower 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Montpower 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Montpower 95 18.0 19.3 19.3 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Montpower 94 9.3 431 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Montpower 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Montpower 95 18.6 13.7 34 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Montpower 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Montpower 95 18.6 13.7 34 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Montpower 95 18.6 13.7 34 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Montpower 95 18.6 13.7 34 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickers 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.8 44 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.2 8.7 7 11.1 92 17.9 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 50.8 Montpower 95 12.8 8.2 25 9 17.3 20 11.9 17.1 Montpower 95 12.8 8.2 25 9 17.3 20 11.9 17.1 Montpow							
Franklin 15 11.0 32 6.7 77 16.1 Geneva 6 6.6 25 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Hale 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Henry 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 187 13.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Jefferson 312 11.2 1,191 12.4 1,196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6							
Geneva 6 6.6 2.5 7.6 36 10.9 Greene 4 10.5 16 11.5 23 16.5 Hale 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Henry 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 187 13.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Jefferson 312 11.2 1,191 12.4 1,196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1	Fayette	5	9.5	16	8.7	29	15.8
Greene 4	Franklin	15	11.0		6.7	77	16.1
Hale 10 16.2 25 13.5 30 16.2 Henry 2 3.5 22 11.6 30 15.9 Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 187 13.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Jefferson 312 11.2 1,191 12.4 1,196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4	Geneva				7.6		
Henry							
Houston 32 8.2 105 7.6 187 13.5 Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Jefferson 312 11.2 1,191 12.4 1,196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Macison 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 <							
Jackson 16 8.7 49 8.0 89 14.5 Jefferson 312 11.2 1,191 12.4 1,196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Macion 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marengo 7 8.5 34 10.7 401 9.9	•						
Jefferson 312 11.2 1,191 12.4 1,196 12.4 Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Macison 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 <							
Lamar 5 9.7 18 10.1 39 21.9 Lauderdale 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Macion 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mohile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3							
Lauderdale Lawrence 20 7.0 100 9.8 149 14.5 Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Madison 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3						· ·	
Lawrence 15 12.4 41 10.0 68 16.6 Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Madison 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Morroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2							
Lee 41 9.5 136 8.8 163 10.6 Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Macon 9 8.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Madison 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Montgomery 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6							
Limestone 15 5.6 67 7.1 124 13.1 Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Macon 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7							
Lowndes 7 12.9 29 14.4 47 23.4 Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Madison 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Mongan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>							
Macon 10 13.6 27 10.9 48 19.4 Madison 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Montgomery 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0	Lowndes						
Madison 93 8.0 434 10.7 401 9.9 Marengo 7 8.5 34 12.7 34 12.7 Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Mongan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0	Macon	10		27			
Marion 9 8.6 38 10.3 69 18.6 Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Montgomery 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1	Madison	93	8.0	434		401	9.9
Marshall 40 9.2 122 8.0 257 16.8 Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Montgomery 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8	Marengo						
Mobile 151 8.5 725 11.8 996 16.3 Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Montgomery 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9		-					
Monroe 7 8.2 31 11.7 38 14.3 Montgomery 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1							
Montgomery 94 9.3 431 12.4 507 14.6 Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1							
Morgan 38 8.4 150 10.3 193 13.2 Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>							
Perry 6 12.7 26 16.1 29 18.0 Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tuscaloosa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 <							
Pickens 10 14.0 27 11.3 28 11.7 Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tallapoosa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4							
Pike 16 13.7 34 8.1 63 15.0 Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tallapoosa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1							
Randolph 8 10.3 17 6.2 62 22.7 Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tallaposa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Russell 24 12.1 76 11.1 130 19.0 St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tallapoosa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7							
St. Clair 25 8.8 84 7.9 107 10.1 Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tallapoosa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7							
Shelby 53 6.8 247 9.5 151 5.8 Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tallapoosa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7	St. Clair						
Sumter 5 10.6 29 17.3 20 11.9 Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tallapoosa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7							
Talladega 33 11.1 134 13.3 173 17.1 Tallapoosa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7	•						
Tallaposa 12 8.2 57 11.1 92 17.9 Tuscaloosa 70 10.3 287 12.2 285 12.1 Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7	Talladega						
Walker 25 9.6 84 9.5 128 14.5 Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7	Tallapoosa						17.9
Washington 8 13.6 21 10.7 34 17.4 Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7	Tuscaloosa						
Wilcox 6 10.7 22 12.2 31 17.1 Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7							
Winston 7 8.2 28 9.7 54 18.7	_						
		·					18.7

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

Data for Counties								
	Births With Less Than Adequate Prenatal Care - 2006			pacco Usage During	Births Occurring	Outside Mother's		
G 1				ncy - 2006		esidence - 2006		
County	Number	Percent ¹	Number	Percent ¹	Number	Percent		
Alabama	14,390	23.1	7,394	11.8	20,002	31.8		
Autauga	122	19.1	84	13.1	638	99.2		
Baldwin	500	22.6	329	14.9	446	20.1		
Barbour	178	43.3	29	7.0	411	99.0		
Bibb	66	26.6	41	16.0	256	99.6		
Blount	90	13.4	87	12.6	691	99.7		
Bullock	77	38.7	6 37	3.0	199	99.0		
Butler Calhoun	70 379	23.2 25.2	204	12.2 13.5	303 143	100.0 9.5		
Chambers	92	23.5	55	14.0	168	9.5 42.6		
Cherokee	73	28.6	49	19.1	256	100.0		
Chilton	154	28.4	101	18.3	549	99.3		
Choctaw	40	25.3	8	5.0	159	100.0		
Clarke	92	28.2	27	8.3	134	41.1		
Clay	28	16.3	34	19.8	169	98.3		
Cleburne	44	28.4	39	24.5	158	99.4		
Coffee	183	29.5	89	14.3	200	32.0		
Colbert	86	14.0	121	19.7	217	35.3		
Conecuh	60	35.3	16	9.4	169	99.4		
Coosa	11	11.1	17	17.2	98	99.0		
Covington	87	19.0	90	19.5	75	16.3		
Crenshaw	49	29.5	29	17.5	129	77.7		
Cullman	112	11.2	174	17.3	285	28.4		
Dale	164	21.8	81	10.7	642	84.3		
Dallas	236	35.8	74	11.2	58	8.7		
DeKalb	435	44.1	137	13.8	257	25.8		
Elmore	221	22.2	141	14.1	1,000	99.7		
Escambia	169	34.3	74	15.0	317	64.2		
Etowah	261	20.2	239	18.5	378	29.2		
Fayette	25	13.7	39	21.3	183	100.0		
Franklin	160	33.4	84	17.5	226	47.2		
Geneva	79	24.0	51	15.4	331	100.0		
Greene	61	44.5	9	6.5	137	98.6		
Hale	59	33.0	7	3.8	185	100.0		
Henry	35	18.5	12	6.3	189	100.0		
Houston	352	25.6	82	5.9	44	3.2		
Jackson	130	21.4	131	21.4	278	45.4		
Jefferson	1,856	19.4	714	7.4	131	1.4		
Lamar	23	13.1	45	25.4	177	99.4		
Lauderdale	171	16.7	192	18.7	257	25.0		
Lawrence	106	25.9	75	18.3	410	100.0		
Lee	327	21.3	104	6.8	359	23.3		
Limestone	228	24.2	142	15.0	503	52.9		
Lowndes	53	26.4	13	6.5	199	99.0		
Macon Madison	88 795	35.5	15 374	6.0	244	98.0		
Marengo	785 101	19.4 38.4	374 15	9.2 5.6	118 86	2.9 32.1		
Marion	62	38.4 16.8	97	5.6 26.2	86 134	32.1 36.2		
Marshall	539	35.5	252	16.5	486	31.8		
Mobile	1,236	20.3	716	11.7	85	1.4		
Monroe	52	19.8	18	6.8	76	28.7		
Montgomery	861	24.8	219	6.3	47	1.4		
Morgan	470	32.4	225	15.4	232	15.9		
Perry	49	31.0	11	6.9	161	100.0		
Pickens	60	25.2	34	14.3	234	97.9		
Pike	117	28.3	38	9.1	132	31.5		
Randolph	70	26.0	43	15.8	269	98.5		
Russell	329	49.3	27	3.9	630	92.1		
St. Clair	169	16.2	160	15.1	1,059	99.8		
Shelby	453	17.6	169	6.5	2,057	78.9		
Sumter	45	27.4	7	4.2	167	99.4		
Talladega	160	16.0	175	17.3	346	34.2		
Tallapoosa	75	14.7	79	15.5	176	34.3		
Tuscaloosa	632	27.4	254	10.8	164	7.0		
Walker	114	13.0	226	25.6	323	36.5		
Washington	73	37.4	40	20.5	195	99.5		
Wilcox	65	36.1	7	3.9	178	98.3		
Winston	41	14.2	81	28.1	289	100.0		
oution should be	usad in usina metas.	annontones ata basad	uman farrian than 16	events Statistical stabi	11:4			

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

	Births to Undereducated Women -		Age 65+ With "Home Bound"		Age 25+ With Less Than High School	
Carrates	Number	Percent ¹		ty - 2000	1	on - 2000
County Alabama	11,648	18.6	Number 139,401	Percent 24.0	Number 714,081	Percent 24.7
Autauga	79	12.3	1,115	25.1	5,872	21.3
Baldwin	370	16.7	3,913	18.0	17,258	18.0
Barbour	144	34.9	1,106	28.6	6,679	35.3
Bibb	57	22.2	680	28.2	4,984	36.8
Blount	183	26.4	1,616	24.6	9,960	29.6
Bullock	60	30.3	450	29.2	2,992	39.5
Butler	37	12.2	1,029	29.3	4,439	32.2
Calhoun	315	20.8	3,758	23.7	19,318	26.1
Chambers	67	17.0	1,348	22.7	8,778	35.8
Cherokee	58	22.7	843	22.1	6,138	36.5
Chilton	115	20.8	1,455	28.5	8,757	33.8
Choctaw	31	19.7	661	28.3	3,704	35.0
Clarke	42	12.9	1,005	26.7	5,165	29.2
Clay	46	26.7	556	23.6	3,322	34.0
Cleburne	50	31.4	445	23.0	3,536	37.1
Coffee	122	20.0	1,556	25.2	7,755	26.8
Colbert	119	19.4	1,894	22.3	9,972	26.7
Conecuh	23	13.6	610	26.2	2,984	32.3
Coosa	17 80	17.2 17.5	518	29.4	2,831	34.3
Covington	30	17.5 18.1	1,793 741	26.6 31.7	8,115 3,700	31.6 39.9
Crenshaw						
Cullman Dale	222 107	22.1 14.1	2,996 1,263	26.4 21.7	15,322 6,976	29.6 22.2
Dallas	130	14.1 19.6	1,843	28.7	8,524	29.7
DeKalb	374	37.6	2,273	25.6	15,469	36.2
Elmore	154	15.4	1,550	21.9	9,679	22.4
Escambia	97	19.6	1,328	25.4	8,030	31.5
Etowah	281	21.7	4,078	24.6	18,115	25.9
Fayette	37	20.2	904	30.4	4,265	33.9
Franklin	186	39.0	1,190	25.7	7,904	37.9
Geneva	64	19.4	1,025	24.4	6,046	34.4
Greene	14	10.2	454	30.9	2,182	35.2
Hale	19	10.4	672	29.0	3,683	34.8
Henry	34	18.0	714	26.8	3,654	33.3
Houston	236	17.1	2,787	22.9	13,771	23.5
Jackson	118	19.3	2,025	28.1	12,006	33.0
Jefferson	1,429	14.8	21,079	23.3	82,950	19.1
Lamar	41	23.0	591	23.4	3,759	34.9
Lauderdale	164	16.0	2,953	22.3	13,915	23.6
Lawrence	80	19.5	1,099	26.2	7,872	34.4
Lee	185	12.0	1,967	21.1	11,557	18.6
Limestone	227	23.9	1,889	26.0	11,081	25.5
Lowndes	25	12.4	463	28.1	2,925	35.7
Macon	43	17.4	925	27.5	4,188	30.0
Madison	527	13.0	6,141	20.5	26,308	14.6
Marengo	31	11.7	766 1.320	23.3	4,020	28.1
Marion Marshall	95 663	25.7 43.9	1,329 2,572	26.9 22.0	7,962 16,845	36.8 30.6
Marshall Mobile	1,122	43.9 18.3	2,572 11,669	22.0 24.4	58,223	23.3
Monroe	42	15.8	877	24.4 26.1	4,939	32.1
Montgomery	651	18.8	6,156	23.4	27,905	19.7
Morgan	405	27.8	3,434	25.4 25.1	17,347	23.7
Perry	36	22.4	469	26.6	2,625	37.6
Pickens	33	13.9	782	23.7	4,108	30.3
Pike	88	21.0	955	25.6	5,472	30.9
Randolph	63	23.4	739	20.7	5,618	38.1
Russell	117	17.2	1,844	28.2	10,749	33.5
St. Clair	181	17.1	1,677	22.1	12,353	28.7
Shelby	277	10.6	2,398	19.7	12,386	13.2
Sumter	21	12.7	621	30.2	3,077	35.2
Talladega	205	20.4	2,891	27.1	16,102	30.3
Tallapoosa	127	24.8	1,702	24.8	8,489	29.9
Tuscaloosa	346	14.9	4,452	24.0	20,981	21.2
Walker	179	20.2	2,913	27.9	15,713	32.8
Washington	23	11.8	508	22.6	3,112	27.7
Wilcox	32	17.7	405	22.4	3,228	40.5
Winston	72	24.9	941	26.6	6,387	37.4
oution should be	used in using rates n	ercentages etc based	upon fawar than 16 a	events. Statistical stab	ility may be missing	•

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

			Data for Coun			
		iving Medicare ity - 2003		t of Population in 003		Occurring Outside Facility (2003-2005)
County	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent ¹
Alabama	150,573	3.3	1,073,329	23.9	3,621	52.2
Autauga	1,209	2.6	10,776	23.3	39	52.7
Baldwin	3,839	2.5	34,287	22.6	133	48.0
Barbour	1,092	3.8	7,296	25.4	20	46.5
Bibb	974	4.6	4,971	23.5	32	58.2
Blount	1,300	2.4	12,178	22.5	57	62.6
Bullock	504	4.5	3,092	27.4	8	50.0
Butler	952	4.6	5,155	24.9	26	55.3
Calhoun	5,301	4.7	26,055	23.3	115	57.8
Chambers Cherokee	1,497 854	4.2 3.5	8,823 5,437	24.7 22.3	28 23	45.9 57.5
Chilton	1,307	3.2	9,291	22.7	65	67.0
Choctaw	800	5.2	3,870	25.3	28	77.8
Clarke	1,150	4.2	6,926	25.3	38	65.5
Clay	610	4.3	3,245	22.9	9	37.5
Cleburne	646	4.4	3,181	21.8	18	60.0
Coffee	1,366	3.1	10,440	23.5	25	50.0
Colbert Conecuh	2,302 725	4.2 5.4	12,595 3,422	23.1 25.3	35 18	43.8 62.1
Coosa	621	5.4 5.4	2,824	25.5 24.5	22	81.5
Covington	1,583	4.3	8,334	22.6	41	57.7
Crenshaw	568	4.2	3,228	23.7	11	37.9
Cullman	2,400	3.1	17,169	21.9	97	67.4
Dale	2,314	4.7	11,616	23.6	35	53.0
Dallas	2,302	5.1	12,079	26.9	35	44.3
DeKalb	2,351	3.5	14,725	22.2	64	49.6
Elmore	2,167	3.1	16,481	23.4	54	51.4
Escambia	1,476	3.9	9,321	24.4	55	63.2
Etowah	4,508	4.4	23,559	22.9	66	39.1
Fayette	731	4.0	4,145	22.7	26	61.9
Franklin	1,320	4.3	6,867	22.3	33	49.3
Geneva	1,118	4.4	5,763	22.6	31	54.4
Greene Hale	461 825	4.7 4.5	2,782 4,810	28.3 26.4	14 23	93.3 69.7
Henry	631	3.8	4,003	24.4	19	61.3
Houston	2,416	2.6	21,658	23.7	37	35.6
Jackson	1,978	3.7	12,060	22.4	53	51.0
Jefferson	21,625	3.3	163,895	24.9	460	48.3
Lamar	702	4.6	3,425	22.6	15	65.2
Lauderdale	3,134	3.6	19,574	22.5	51	39.8
Lawrence	1,074	3.1	8,071	23.4	44	56.4
Lee	2,465	2.1	27,816	23.4	64	57.1
Limestone	1,778	2.6	15,661	23.0	58	52.3
Lowndes	507	3.8	3,679	27.6	21	56.8
Macon	781 5.421	3.3	6,716	28.7	24	55.8
Madison Marengo	5,431 862	1.9 3.9	69,239 5,731	23.9 25.9	150 20	45.6 47.6
Marion Marion	1,284	3.9 4.3	5,731 6,671	25.9 22.1	34	47.6 48.6
Marshall	3,083	3.7	18,492	22.0	57	44.5
Mobile	12,197	3.1	97,728	24.5	310	52.4
Monroe	889	3.7	5,671	23.9	28	62.2
Montgomery	6,378	2.9	56,530	25.5	126	49.2
Morgan	3,367	3.0	25,811	22.9	66	40.0
Perry	487	4.2	3,140	27.0	28	84.8
Pickens	929	4.5	5,137	25.1	25	56.8
Pike	1,180	4.1	7,151	24.6	33	55.9
Randolph	869	3.9	5,226	23.4	25	49.0
Russell	1,851	3.8	12,260	25.1	46	71.9
St. Clair	2,029	3.0	15,469	22.6	49	53.8
Shelby Sumter	2,661 595	1.7 4.2	36,182 3,890	22.7 27.5	101	56.1 72.7
				27.5	16	72.7
Talladega Tallapoosa	4,300 1,749	5.4 4.3	19,326 9,656	24.2 23.7	65 23	59.1 42.6
Tuscaloosa	5,539	3.3	39,788	24.0	101	50.8
Walker	3,880	5.5 5.5	15,614	22.3	82	55.0
Washington	764	4.3	4,362	24.4	18	72.0
Wilcox	781	6.0	3,550	27.4	18	66.7
Winston	1,152	4.7	5,404	22.0	30	63.8
		ercentages, etc. based			rility, may be missing	

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

Data for Counties									
	Life Expectancy at Birth- 2005	Sexually Transn	nitted Diseases Cases -		HIV Cases – as of				
	(years)		2006		/31/2006				
County		Cases	Rate per 10,000	Cases	Rate per 10,000 ¹				
Alabama	74.8	33,463	73.6	14,737	32.4				
Autauga	75.0	248	51.2	102	21.1				
Baldwin	77.1	560	34.4	356	21.9				
Barbour	72.9	273	96.5	119	42.1				
Bibb	71.7	106	90.3 49.4	20	9.3				
Blount	75.7	75	13.5	40	7.2				
Bullock	71.4	123	111.7	51	46.3				
Butler	71.5	240	116.3	46	22.3				
Calhoun	72.5	821	73.1	270	24.1				
Chambers	74.0	302	85.4	121	34.2				
Cherokee	74.2	63	25.6	15	6.1				
Chilton	73.9	152	36.5	41	9.8				
Choctaw	75.0	72	48.9	29	19.7				
Clarke	75.3	299	110.4	41	15.1				
Clay	75.7	90	64.7	17	12.2				
		37		19					
Cleburne	74.6		25.5		13.1				
Coffee	75.6	321	70.6	78	17.2				
Colbert	75.1	430	78.8	63	11.5				
Conecuh	71.7	78	59.0	47	35.5				
Coosa	72.3	60	53.9	16	14.4				
Covington	73.1	138	37.3	58	15.7				
Crenshaw	75.9	59	43.4	35	25.7				
Cullman	74.6	109	13.7	63	7.9				
Dale	75.8	353	72.8	121	25.0				
Dallas	71.6	652	147.6	177	40.1				
DeKalb	74.5	171	25.4	55	8.2				
Elmore	76.0	395	53.6	167	22.6				
Escambia	72.8	238	62.8	92	24.3				
Etowah	73.3	700	68.0	182	17.7				
Fayette	76.3	52	28.6	13	7.1				
Franklin	74.0	102	33.2	18	5.9				
Geneva	73.9	85	33.2	42	16.4				
Greene	73.7	121	125.2	35	36.2				
Hale	73.2	316	173.6	28	15.4				
Henry	73.6	105	63.4	38	22.9				
Houston	76.4	742	79.0	364	38.7				
Jackson	74.1	103	19.3	33	6.2				
Jefferson	74.3	6,851	104.4	4,263	65.0				
Lamar	77.2	36	24.2	11	7.4				
Lauderdale	76.1	520	59.5	86	9.8				
Lawrence	72.8	96	27.8	19	5.5				
Lee	76.4	676	54.9	228	18.5				
Limestone	75.1	252	35.8	167	23.7				
Lowndes	71.5	163	125.8	60	46.3				
Macon	70.7	251	110.7	127	56.0				
Madison	77.1	1,730	58.0	688	23.1				
Marengo	73.1	217	99.4	31	14.2				
Marion	74.9	70	23.3	30	10.0				
Marshall	74.1	164	19.1	99	11.5				
Mobile	74.1	4,629	115.8	2,381	59.5				
		161	68.4						
Monroe	73.6			48	20.4				
Montgomery	75.3	3,599	163.0	1,746	79.1				
Morgan	75.1	477	41.9	175	15.4				
Perry	71.8	115	101.7	31	27.4				
Pickens	74.3	155	77.0	38	18.9				
Pike	71.4	367	124.4	125	42.4				
Randolph	75.9	92	40.8	35	15.5				
Russell	74.6	314	63.6	185	37.5				
St. Clair	74.8	236	32.7	75	10.4				
Shelby	77.6	314	18.3	155	9.0				
Sumter	77.0	213	154.8	40	29.1				
Talladega	74.4	665	83.0	187	23.3				
Tallapoosa	74.0	264	64.8	78	19.2				
Tuscaloosa	75.3	1,446	85.9	411	24.4				
Walker	71.6	297	42.4	104	14.9				
Washington	76.5	93	52.5	28	15.8				
Wilcox	74.7	170	131.7	25	19.4				
Winston	74.1	39	15.9	16	6.5				
	used in using rates percentages etc. based								

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Data for Counties

		for Counties
		y the Division of Substance Abuse Services
G. A		epartment of Mental Health - FY 2004
County	Number	Percent ¹
Alabama	20,881	1.7
Autauga	207	1.7
Baldwin Barbour	625 98	1.5 1.3
Bibb	102	1.5
Blount	120	0.8
Bullock	19	0.8
Butler	59	1.0
Calhoun	476	1.5
Chambers	75	0.7
Cherokee	152	2.1
Chilton	111	1.0
Choctaw	18	0.4
Clarke	32	0.4
Clay	29	0.7
Cleburne	30	0.7
Coffee	191	1.5
Colbert	230	1.4
Conecuh	21	0.5
Coosa	243	7.0
Covington	175	1.6
Crenshaw	41	1.0
Cullman	404	1.8
Dale	123	0.9
Dallas	231	1.8
DeKalb	343	1.8
Elmore	327	1.9
Escambia	167	1.7
Etowah	293	1.0
Fayette	144	2.7
Franklin	209	2.3
Geneva	80	1.1
Greene	41	1.5
Hale	50	1.1
Henry	77	1.6
Houston	403	1.6
Jackson	295	1.9
Jefferson	5,887	3.3
Lamar	108	2.3
Lauderdale	359	1.4
Lawrence	85	0.8
Lee	456	1.7
Limestone	98	0.5
Lowndes	35	1.0
Macon	22	0.4
Madison	719	0.9
Marengo	65	1.0
Marion	176	1.9
Marshall	442	1.8
Mobile	1,401	1.3 0.9
Monroe	61	
Montgomery	1,063	1.9
Morgan	643	2.0
Perry	30	1.0
Pickens	116	2.0
Pike Randolph	135 63	1.8 1.0
Russell	179	1.0
St. Clair	198	1.3
Shelby	505	1.1
Sumter	29	0.8
Talladega	282	1.3
Tallapoosa	282 87	1.3 0.7
Tuscaloosa	926	2.2
Walker	306	1.5
Washington	14	0.3
Wilcox	46	1.4
Winston	104	1.4
		on fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability ma

Caution should be used in using rates, percentages, etc. based upon fewer than 16 events. Statistical stability may be missing.

Sources of Information and Special Notes

2006 Population (pages 1-3): U.S. Census Bureau, County Population Estimates – characteristics; County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2006. http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/CC-EST2006-alldata.html

Population Change 1910-2000 (page 3): U.S. Census Bureau, County Population Census Counts 1900-90, http://www.census.gov/population/cencounts/al190090.txt for 1910 data; U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent Data for 2000 data.

Population Change 2000-2025 (page 3): U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent Data for 2000 data. Alabama State Data Center, Alabama County Population 2000 and Projections 2005-2025 for 2025. http://cber.cba.ua.edu/edata/est_prj.html

Age 65+ Population Change 2000-2025 (page 4): Alabama State Data Center, Alabama County Population 65 and Over 2000 and Projections 2005-2025 for 2025. http://cber.cba.ua.edu/edata/est_prj.html

Hispanic Population Change 1990-2006 (page 4): U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 1990 Summary File 1 (STF 1) 100-Percent Data for 1990 data. Alabama State Data Center, Estimates of the Hispanic Population by County, 2006. http://cber.cba.ua.edu/edata/est_prj.html

Population Below Poverty - 2004 (page 5): U.S. Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/saipe.html

Children (<18) **Below Poverty - 2004 (page 5):** U.S. Census Bureau, Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/saipe.html

2005 Per Capita Personal Income (page 5): U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, Interactive Tables: Local Area Personal Income, Table CA1-3. http://www.bea.gov/regional/reis/default.cfm?catable=CA1-3§ion=2

Medicaid Eligible Population - 2006 (page 6): Alabama Medicaid Agency, Alabama Medicaid Statistics by County – 2006. http://www.medicaid.alabama.gov/resources/stats_reports.aspx?tab=5

Medicaid Eligible Children (<21) - 2006 (page 6): Alabama Medicaid Agency, Alabama Medicaid Statistics by County – 2006. http://www.medicaid.alabama.gov/resources/stats reports.aspx?tab=5

Medicaid Births - 2006 (page 6): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

Primary Care Physicians in 2006 (page 7): Medical Licensure Commission, Licensed Physician Data Base – 2006. (In this publication, primary care physicians include family practitioners, internal medicine specialists, pediatricians, and obstetricians and gynecologists.)

Dentists in 2003 (page 7): Board of Dental Examiners of Alabama, Licensed dentists data base - 2003.

Psychiatrists in 2006 (page 7): Medical Licensure Commission, Licensed Physician Data Base – 2006.

Hospital Beds in 2007 (page 8): Alabama Department of Public Health, Division of Provider Services, Healthcare Facilities Directory – Hospital Section. October 4, 2007. http://ph.state.al.us/facilitiesdirectory/(S(ikg10gmphl4ih5550hmu4t45))/Default.aspx

Households With No Vehicle in 2000 (page 8): U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) Sample Data, Table H44 - Tenure by Vehicles Available.

Uninsured Persons - 2003 (page 8): State Health Access Data Assistance Center, Alabama County Chartbook: County-Level Estimates of Uninsured July 2005. (Prepared for the Alabama Department of Public Healthy, Children's Health Insurance Program)

Cause of Death Indicators (pages 9-17): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special queries of the 2003, 2004, and 2005 Mortality Statistics Files for Alabama data. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, CDC Wonder Interactive Program, Mortality – Underlying Cause of Death 2004 file. http://wonder.cdc.gov/ (Cause of death data included in this publication is not age-adjusted)

Infant Mortality Rate - 2004-2006 (page 18); Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special queries of the 2004, 2005, and 2006 Birth Statistics Files for birth data. Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Total Resident Infant Deaths and Infant Mortality Rates by County, Alabama, 2006, 2005, 2004, and Combined 2006-2004. http://adph.org/healthstats/assets/06TotInfantDeaths.pdf

Low Weight Births - 2006 (page 18): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

(Births weighing less than 2,500 grams or 5 pounds and 8 ounces are defined as being of low weight.)

Births to Teenagers (Age 10-19) - 2006 (page 18): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

Births With Less Than Adequate Prenatal Care - 2006 (page 19): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

(The Kotelchuck Index is used in determining adequacy of prenatal care. This index primarily considers the date when prenatal care was begun and the number of visits in determining adequacy.)

Births With Tobacco Use During Pregnancy - 2006 (page 19): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

Births Occurring Outside Mother's County of Residence - 2006 (page 19): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

(This indicator was included because of the serious decline in the number of rural hospitals where obstetrics are performed and the natural relationship between women receiving adequate prenatal care and the presence of obstetrical care in the county.)

Births to Undereducated Women - 2006 (page 20): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2006 Birth Statistics File.

(Women are considered to be "undereducated" when their years of education is at least two years less than what would be expected for someone of their age.)

Age 65+ With "Home Bound" Disability - 2000 (page 20): U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) Sample Data, Table P41 – Age by Types of Disability for the Civilian Non-institutionalized Population 5 Years and Over With Disabilities.

Age 25+ With Less Than High School Education - 2000 (page 20): U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder, Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) Sample Data, Table P37 – Sex by Educational Attainment for the Population 25 Years and Over.

Persons Receiving Medicare Disability - 2003 (page 21): Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Medicare County Enrollment, As of July 1, 2003. http://www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicareEnrpts/

Obesity - Percent of Population in 2003 (page 21): Chronic Disease in Alabama: Past, Present, and Future Trends. Pp. 16-17. http://adph.org/ADMINISTRATION/chronicdisease.pdf

Accidental Deaths Occurring Outside of a Health Care Facility - (2003-2005) (page 21): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, Special query of the 2003, 2004, and 2005 Mortality Statistics File.

(This indicator was used in the place of an "emergency medical services emergency ambulance runs" data base. While there is such a data base maintained within the Alabama Department of Public Health, reporting to this data base is not complete and could produce confusing findings. The provision of adequate emergency medical service continues to be a serious issue in most rural Alabama counties.)

Life Expectancy at Birth - 2005 (page 22): Alabama Department of Public Health, Center for Health Statistics, County Health Profiles – 2005. http://www.adph.org/healthstats/Default.asp?id=1521

Sexually Transmitted Disease Cases - 2006 (page 22): Alabama Department of Public Health, Division of STD Prevention and Control, Statistics, County Totals – 2006. http://www.adph.org/STD/Default.asp?id=1080

Cumulative HIV Cases as of 12/31/2006 (page 22): Alabama Department of Public Health, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention and Control, Statistics, Public Health Area (January – December 2006). http://www.adph.org/aids/Default.asp?id=984 (National data for the cumulative number of HIV cases as of December 31, 2006 is not comparable due to the fact that not all states report this information to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and those that are reporting initiated this reporting at varying times.)

Families Served by the Division of Substance Abuse Services in the Alabama Department of Mental Health - FY 2004 (page 23): Alabama Department of Mental Health, Department's Annual Report, '03-'04. p35. http://www.mh.alabama.gov/downloads/AnnualReports/ADMH_AnnualReport_03_04Part3.pdf

PERMISSION IS GRANTED TO DUPLICATE OR OTHERWISE USE ALL OR ANY PORTION OF THIS REPORT

For additional information please contact the Office of Primary Care and Rural Health Development at (334) 206-5396 or the Alabama Rural Health Association at (334) 281-3866.

APPENDIX C:

Selected Indicators of Health Status in Alabama

Detailed information of five of Alabama's leading causes of death:

Heart Diseases
Cancer
Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke)
Accidents
Diabetes

October 2007

What Is Considered to be Rural In This Publication?

There are several differing definitions for "rural" with most definitions being specific to programs or initiatives. "Rural" is not a concrete term. Opinions on what is considered as rural tend to change between geographical areas and over time. For additional information on what areas are considered as being "rural" for the various federal programs, visit the Rural Assistance Center at http://maps.rupri.org/circ/racrural/amirural.asp where an address can be entered to determine rural status for each program.

This publication considers entire counties as being "rural" or "urban" since most data of interest for studies is available at the county level, but not at sub-county levels. Counties are assigned a score using four major indicators of rurality in this definition. These are population per square mile, the size and number of cities in a county, percentage of total employment comprised by employment in public education, and per capita agricultural sales. For additional information on the determination of which counties are considered "rural," please visit the "What Is Rural?" section at the Alabama Rural Health Association's Web site, www.arhaonline.org.

This publication also presents information on the eight regions established through the Alabama Rural Action Commission, the Black Belt Action Commission, Alabama's Appalachian Region counties, Alabama's Delta Region counties, Alabama's "rural" counties, and Alabama's "urban" counties. In addition, "rural" counties are further classified and presented as being "highly" or "moderately" rural according to their score. "Rural" counties are also classified and presented as being in "rural south" or "rural north" Alabama because of great demographic and health status variation in these portions of the state.

PERMISSION IS GRANTED TO DUPLICATE OR OTHERWISE USE ALL OR ANY PORTION OF THIS REPORT

For additional information or assistance contact:

Alabama Department of Public Health Office of Primary Care and Rural Health The RSA Tower/Suite 1040 201 Monroe Street P.O. Box 303017 Montgomery, AL 36130 Telephone: (334) 206-5396

dquinney@adph.state.al.us

Alabama Rural Health Association P. O. Box 340608 Pike Road, AL 36064 Telephone: (334) 281-3866

Email: arhaquinney@mindspring.com

Table of Contents

Indicators of Health Status in Alabama: HEART DISEASES MORTALITY	Page 1
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates for 2003-2005, U.S., Alabama, Alabama Rural Action Commission Districts, and Special Regions in Alabama	2
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003-2005	3
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	4
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	5
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	6
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	7
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	8
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	9
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	10
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	11
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	12
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003-2005	13
Heart Diseases Mortality Rates, Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003-2005	14
Indicators of Health Status in Alabama: CANCER MORTALITY	15
Cancer Mortality Rates for 2003-2005, U.S., Alabama, Alabama Rural Action Commission Districts, and Special Regions in Alabama	16
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003-2005	17
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	18
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	19
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	20

2003-2005	21
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	22
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	23
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	2.4
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	24
Cancer Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	25
Cancer Mortality Rates, Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003-2005	26
Cancer Mortality Rates, Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003-2005	27
T I' A CHE MI CA A ' ALL CEDEDDOVACCIU AD DICEACEC	28
Indicators of Health Status in Alabama: CEREBROVASCULAR DISEASES (STROKE) MORTALITY	29
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates for 2003-2005, U.S., Alabama, Alabama Rural Action Commission Districts, and Special Regions in Alabama	30
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003-2005	31
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	32
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	33
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	34
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	35
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	36
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	37
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	38
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	39
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	40
Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003-2005	41

Cerebrovascular Diseases Mortality Rates, Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003-2005	42
Indicators of Health Status in Alabama: ACCIDENT MORTALITY	43
Accident Mortality Rates for 2003-2005, U.S., Alabama, Alabama Rural Action Commission Districts, and Special Regions in Alabama	44
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003-2005	45
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	46
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	47
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	48
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	49
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	50
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	51
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	52
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	53
Accident Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	54
Accident Mortality Rates, Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003-2005	55
Accident Mortality Rates, Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003-2005	56
Indicators of Health Status in Alabama: DIABETES MORTALITY	57
Diabetes Mortality Rates for 2003-2005, U.S., Alabama, Alabama Rural Action Commission Districts, and Special Regions in Alabama	58
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003-2005	59
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	60
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	61
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	62

Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	63
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	64
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	65
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	66
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	67
Diabetes Mortality Rates, U.S., Alabama, and the Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003-2005	68
Diabetes Mortality Rates, Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003-2005	69
Diabetes Mortality Rates, Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003-2005	70


Indicators of Health Status in Alabama: HEART DISEASES MORTALITY


Heart disease usually occurs in individuals who:

- have high blood pressure.
- have high blood cholesterol.
- are physically inactive.
- smoke or are exposed to environmental tobacco smoke.
- are obese.
- have high low density (LDL) cholesterol.
- have low high density (HDL) cholesterol.
- have a family history of early heart disease (before age 55).

To prevent or delay the complications of heart disease, persons with heart disease should:

- control high blood pressure.
- reduce dietary fat, saturated fat, trans fat, and cholesterol to lower blood levels of cholesterol.
- participate in moderate physical activity four to five times a week.
- avoid or eliminate cigarette smoking, including environmental tobacco smoke.
- maintain blood sugar levels within normal ranges.
- eat five or more servings of fruits and vegetables daily.
- eat 6 to 11 servings daily of breads, cereals, rice, pastas, and other grain products.
- manage diet and physical activity to maintain a healthy weight.
- lose excess weight, be physically active, and avoid smoking to increase blood HDL levels.
- lose excess weight and reduce dietary fat to reduce blood LDL levels.
- visit physician regularly and take medication as directed.






Alabama currently has the 5th highest heart disease mortality rate among all 50 states. Alabamians aged 35-54 years have the 2nd highest heart disease mortality rate among all 50 states.


Alabama lost 38,683 residents to heart diseases during 2003-2005.

47 of the 50 Alabama counties with the highest heart disease mortality rates are rural counties.




Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
United States	Not Applicable	675,562	230.1
Alabama	Not Applicable	38,683	285.4
Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	19,704	330.6
Highly Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	12,093	350.1
Moderately Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	7,611	303.8
Rural North Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	12,225	341.2
Rural South Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	7,479	314.7
Urban Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	18,979	250.0




Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
North Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	8,903	290.5
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	5,541	349.9
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	3,362	227.0
Colbert County	Yes	606	369.7
Cullman County	Yes	803	338.5
DeKalb County	Yes	769	383.1
Franklin County	Yes	343	373.0
Jackson County	Yes	588	365.2
Lauderdale County	No	638	243.4
Lawrence County	Yes	289	280.1
Limestone County	Yes	547	263.6
Madison County	No	1,779	202.1
Marion County	Yes	364	402.9
Marshall County	Yes	971	381.8
Morgan County	No	945	279.1
Winston County	Yes	261	355.4




Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
West Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	2,156	266.9
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,037	337.3
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,119	223.6
Bibb County	Yes	151	236.8
Fayette County	Yes	184	337.8
Greene County	Yes	135	465.1
Hale County	Yes	189	347.9
Lamar County	Yes	161	357.9
Pickens County	Yes	217	356.7
Tuscaloosa County	No	1,119	223.6




Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	8,394	264.2
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,450	345.7
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	5,944	240.8
			•
Blount County	Yes	468	284.0
Chilton County	Yes	426	343.8
Jefferson County	No	5,222	264.8
St. Clair County	Yes	576	273.6
Shelby County	No	722	145.5
Walker County	Yes	980	468.0




Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
East Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	5,026	366.0
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,530	347.2
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,496	387.3
Calhoun County	No	1,294	385.5
Chambers County	Yes	420	394.6
Cherokee County	Yes	224	305.4
Clay County	Yes	182	432.3
Cleburne County	Yes	128	294.5
Coosa County	Yes	123	363.3
Etowah County	No	1,202	389.3
Randolph County	Yes	238	352.4
Talladega County	Yes	773	322.3
Tallapoosa County	Yes	442	362.1




Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
South Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	4,611	247.8
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,461	294.0
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,150	210.0
Autauga County	Yes	348	244.8
Bullock County	Yes	108	322.5
Butler County	Yes	299	483.2
Crenshaw County	Yes	161	394.0
Elmore County	Yes	544	252.8
Lee County	No	643	178.1
Lowndes County	Yes	157	400.0
Macon County	Yes	258	373.4
Montgomery County	No	1,507	227.3
Pike County	Yes	312	354.5
Russell County	Yes	274	186.1




Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Tombigbee Action Commission	Not Applicable	2,059	339.9
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,059	339.9
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban (Counties in District
Choctaw County	Yes	150	331.6
Clarke County	Yes	220	269.0
Conecuh County	Yes	169	421.6
Dallas County	Yes	488	365.4
Marengo County	Yes	260	394.9
Monroe County	Yes	237	334.4
Perry County	Yes	107	311.2
Sumter County	Yes	144	342.1
Washington County	Yes	146	273.9
Wilcox County	Yes	138	357.1




Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Wiregrass Action Commission	Not Applicable	2,653	300.9
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,982	328.4
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	671	241.3
Barbour County	Yes	252	295.3
Coffee County	Yes	435	322.4
Covington County	Yes	462	418.8
Dale County	Yes	375	255.6
Geneva County	Yes	292	380.8
Henry County	Yes	166	335.3
Houston County	No	671	241.3



Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Southwest Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	4,881	273.9
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,644	280.9
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	3,237	270.5
Baldwin County	Yes	1,300	276.2
Escambia County	Yes	344	300.4
Mobile County	No	3,237	270.5



Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Black Belt Action Commission	Not Applicable	2.351	364.1
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,351	364.1
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban C	Counties in District
Bullock County	Yes	108	322.5
Choctaw County	Yes	150	331.6
Dallas County	Yes	488	365.4
Greene County	Yes	135	465.1
Hale County	Yes	189	347.9
Lowndes County	Yes	157	400.0
Macon County	Yes	258	373.4
Marengo County	Yes	260	394.9
Perry County	Yes	107	311.2
Pickens County	Yes	217	356.7
Sumter County	Yes	144	342.1
Wilcox County	Yes	138	357.1

Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Appalachian Region	Not Applicable	25,146	289.8
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	12,225	341.2
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	12,921	353.7
Bibb County	Yes	151	236.8
Blount County	Yes	468	284.0
Calhoun County	No	1,294	385.5
Chambers County	Yes	420	394.6
Chambers County Cherokee County	Yes	224	305.4
Chilton County	Yes	426	343.8
Clay County	Yes	182	432.3
Cleburne County	Yes	128	294.5
Colbert County	Yes	606	369.7
Coosa County	Yes	123	363.3
Cullman County	Yes	803	338.5
DeKalb County	Yes	769	383.1
Elmore County	Yes	544	252.8
Etowah County	No	1,202	389.3
Fayette County	Yes	184	337.8
Franklin County	Yes	343	373.0
Hale County	Yes	189	347.9
Jackson County	Yes	588	365.2
Jefferson County	No	5,222	264.8
Lamar County	Yes	161	357.9
Lauderdale County	No	638	243.4
Lawrence County	Yes	289	280.1
Limestone County	Yes	547	263.6
Macon County	Yes	258	373.4
Madison County	No	1,779	202.1
Marion County	Yes	364	402.9
Marshall County	Yes	971	381.8
Morgan County	No	945	279.1
Pickens County	Yes	217	356.7
Randolph County	Yes	238	352.4
St. Clair County	Yes	576	273.6
Shelby County	No	722	145.5
Talladega County	Yes	773	322.3
Tallapoosa County	Yes	442	362.1
Tuscaloosa County Tuscaloosa County	No	1,119	223.6
Walker County	Yes	980	468.0
Winston County	Yes	261	355.4

For additional information on the Appalachian Region, visit the Appalachian Regional Commission's Web site at http://www.arc.gov/index.jsp; the Appalachian Regional Commission – Alabama Programs Office Web site at http://www.adeca.alabama.gov/default.aspx; or contact Bonnie Durham, Alabama Program Manager at (256) 845-3472.

Heart Disease Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Delta Region	Not Applicable	4,292	330.3
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	4,292	330.3
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban (Counties in Region
Barbour County	Yes	252	295.3
Bullock County	Yes	108	322.5
Butler County	Yes	299	483.2
Choctaw County	Yes	150	331.6
Clarke County	Yes	220	269.0
Conecuh County	Yes	169	421.6
Dallas County	Yes	488	365.4
Escambia County	Yes	344	300.4
Greene County	Yes	135	465.1
Hale County	Yes	189	347.9
Lowndes County	Yes	157	400.0
Macon County	Yes	258	373.4
Marengo County	Yes	260	394.9
Monroe County	Yes	237	334.4
Perry County	Yes	107	311.2
Pickens County	Yes	217	356.7
Russell County	Yes	274	186.1
Sumter County	Yes	144	342.1
Washington County	Yes	146	273.9
Wilcox County	Yes	138	357.1

For additional information on the Delta Region, visit the Delta Regional Authority's Web site at http://www.dra.gov/ or contact one of the Delta Regional Authority – Local Development District Offices as follows:

Alabama – Tombigbee Regional Commission, (334) 682-4234 (Choctaw, Clarke, Conecuh, Dallas, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Sumter, Washington, and Wilcox counties)

Lee – Russell Council of Governments, (334) 749-5264 (Russell County)

South Alabama Regional Planning Commission, (251) 433-6541 (Escambia County)

South Central Alabama Development Commission (334) 244-6903 (Bullock, Butler, Lowndes, and Macon counties)

Southeast Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission, (334) 794-4093 (Barbour County)

West Alabama Planning and Development Council, (205) 333-2990 (Greene, Hale, and Pickens counties)

Indicators of Health Status in Alabama:


CANCER MORTALITY


Cancer occurs in individuals who usually:

- smoke, use tobacco products, or are exposed to environmental smoke.
- have low consumption of fruits, vegetables, and whole grain foods.
- have excessive consumption of high fat foods, particularly from animal sources.
- are physically inactive.
- are obese.
- have excessive alcohol consumption.
- have excessive sun exposure.

To prevent or detect cancer early, individuals at risk should:

- avoid or eliminate exposure to cigarette smoke, tobacco products, or environmental tobacco smoke.
- eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables daily and 6 to 11 servings daily of breads, cereals, rice, pastas, and other grain products.
- use more non-meat main dishes such as dried peas and beans, and tofu.
- balance food and physical activity to maintain a healthy weight.
- if alcohol is consumed, for men drink no more than two drinks a day, and for women, drink no more than one drink a day.
- protect against excessive sun exposure with protective clothing and sun-screens with at least a sun protective factor (SPF) of 15 or higher.
- have recommended screening tests as appropriate for women, screening mammograms, Pap smears, tests for colorectal cancer, skin examinations; for men, testicular examinations, tests for colorectal cancer, and skin examinations.






Alabama currently has the 11th highest cancer mortality rate among all 50 states. Alabamians aged 35-64 years have the 5th highest cancer mortality rate among all 50 states.


Alabama lost 29,389 residents to cancer during 2003-2005.

37 of the 40 Alabama counties with the highest cancer mortality rates are rural counties.




Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
United States	Not Applicable	553,888	188.6
Alabama	Not Applicable	29,389	216.9
Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	13,759	230.9
Highly Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	8,079	233.9
Moderately Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	5,680	226.7
Rural North Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	8,328	232.4
Rural South Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	5,431	228.5
Urban Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	15,630	205.9




Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
North Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	6,476	211.3
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	3,594	227.0
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,882	194.6
Colbert County	Yes	402	245.3
Cullman County	Yes	549	231.4
DeKalb County	Yes	404	201.3
Franklin County	Yes	223	242.5
Jackson County	Yes	382	237.2
Lauderdale County	No	591	225.5
Lawrence County	Yes	219	212.3
Limestone County	Yes	406	195.7
Madison County	No	1,604	182.2
Marion County	Yes	254	281.2
Marshall County	Yes	583	229.3
Morgan County	No	687	202.9
Winston County	Yes	172	234.2




Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
West Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,702	210.7
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	765	248.9
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	937	187.3
Bibb County	Yes	143	224.3
Fayette County	Yes	127	233.2
Greene County	Yes	54	186
Hale County	Yes	138	254.0
Lamar County	Yes	119	264.5
Pickens County	Yes	184	302.5
Tuscaloosa County	No	937	187.3




Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	6,728	211.8
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,643	231.8
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	5,085	206.0
			•
Blount County	Yes	319	193.5
Chilton County	Yes	276	222.8
Jefferson County	No	4,365	221.4
St. Clair County	Yes	496	235.6
Shelby County	No	720	145.1
Walker County	Yes	552	263.6




Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
East Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	3,442	250.7
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,826	250.6
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,616	250.8
			•
Calhoun County	No	815	242.8
Chambers County	Yes	279	262.1
Cherokee County	Yes	196	267.2
Clay County	Yes	113	268.4
Cleburne County	Yes	110	253.1
Coosa County	Yes	83	245.1
Etowah County	No	801	259.4
Randolph County	Yes	156	231.0
Talladega County	Yes	550	229.3
Tallapoosa County	Yes	339	277.7




Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
South Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	3,582	192.5
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,726	206.2
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,856	181.2
Autauga County	Yes	245	172.4
Bullock County	Yes	77	229.9
Butler County	Yes	167	269.9
Crenshaw County	Yes	81	198.2
Elmore County	Yes	391	181.7
Lee County	No	534	147.9
Lowndes County	Yes	95	242.1
Macon County	Yes	163	235.9
Montgomery County	No	1,322	199.4
Pike County	Yes	178	202.2
Russell County	Yes	329	223.5




Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Tombigbee Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,362	224.8
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,362	224.8
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban C	Counties in District
Choctaw County	Yes	100	221.0
Clarke County	Yes	173	211.5
Conecuh County	Yes	116	289.4
Dallas County	Yes	301	225.4
Marengo County	Yes	156	236.9
Monroe County	Yes	147	207.4
Perry County	Yes	91	264.6
Sumter County	Yes	75	178.2
Washington County	Yes	114	213.9
Wilcox County	Yes	89	230.3




Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Wiregrass Action Commission	Not Applicable	2,104	238.7
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,484	245.9
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	620	223.0
Barbour County	Yes	180	210.9
Coffee County	Yes	323	239.4
Covington County	Yes	324	293.7
Dale County	Yes	321	218.8
Geneva County	Yes	207	270.0
Henry County	Yes	129	260.5
Houston County	No	620	223.0



Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Southwest Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	3.993	224.1
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,359	232.2
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,634	220.1
	·		
Baldwin County	Yes	1,094	232.4
Escambia County	Yes	265	231.4
Mobile County	No	2,634	220.1



Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Black Belt Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,523	235.8
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,523	235.8
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban C	ounties in District
Bullock County	Yes	77	229.9
Choctaw County	Yes	100	221.0
Dallas County	Yes	301	225.4
Greene County	Yes	54	186.0
Hale County	Yes	138	254.0
Lowndes County	Yes	95	242.1
Macon County	Yes	163	235.9
Marengo County	Yes	156	236.9
Perry County	Yes	91	264.6
Pickens County	Yes	184	302.5
Sumter County	Yes	75	178.2
Wilcox County	Yes	89	230.3

Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Appalachian Region	Not Applicable	18,848	217.2
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	8,328	232.4
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	10,520	206.5
Bibb County	Yes	143	224.3
Blount County	Yes	319	193.5
Calhoun County	No	815	242.8
Chambers County	Yes	279	262.1
Cherokee County	Yes	196	267.2
Chilton County	Yes	276	222.8
Clay County	Yes	113	268.4
Cleburne County	Yes	110	253.1
Colbert County	Yes	402	245.3
Coosa County	Yes	83	245.1
Cullman County	Yes	549	231.4
DeKalb County	Yes	404	201.3
Elmore County	Yes	391	181.7
Etowah County	No	801	259.4
Fayette County	Yes	127	233.2
Franklin County	Yes	223	242.5
Hale County	Yes	138	254.0
Jackson County	Yes	382	237.2
Jefferson County	No	4,365	221.4
Lamar County	Yes	119	264.5
Lauderdale County	No	591	225.5
Lawrence County	Yes	219	212.3
Limestone County	Yes	406	195.7
Macon County	Yes	163	235.9
Madison County	No	1,604	182.2
Marion County	Yes	254	281.2
Marshall County	Yes	583	229.3
Morgan County	No	687	202.9
Pickens County	Yes	184	302.5
Randolph County	Yes	156	231.0
St. Clair County	Yes	496	235.6
Shelby County	No	720	145.1
Talladega County	Yes	550	229.3
Tallapoosa County	Yes	339	277.7
Tuscaloosa County	No	937	187.3
Walker County	Yes	552	263.6
Winston County	Yes	172	234.2

For additional information on the Appalachian Region, visit the Appalachian Regional Commission's Web site at http://www.arc.gov/index.jsp; the Appalachian Regional Commission — Alabama Programs Office Web site at http://www.adeca.alabama.gov/default.aspx; or contact Bonnie Durham, Alabama Program Manager at (256) 845-3472.

Cancer Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Delta Region	Not Applicable	3,014	231.7
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	3,014	231.7
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban Counties in Region	
Barbour County	Yes	180	210.9
Bullock County	Yes	77	229.9
Butler County	Yes	167	269.9
Choctaw County	Yes	100	221.0
Clarke County	Yes	173	211.5
Conecuh County	Yes	116	289.4
Dallas County	Yes	301	225.4
Escambia County	Yes	265	231.4
Greene County	Yes	54	186.0
Hale County	Yes	138	254.0
Lowndes County	Yes	95	242.1
Macon County	Yes	163	235.9
Marengo County	Yes	156	236.9
Monroe County	Yes	147	207.4
Perry County	Yes	91	264.6
Pickens County	Yes	184	302.5
Russell County	Yes	329	223.5
Sumter County	Yes	75	178.2
Washington County	Yes	114	213.9
Wilcox County	Yes	89	230.3

For additional information on the Delta Region, visit the Delta Regional Authority's Web site at http://www.dra.gov/ or contact one of the Delta Regional Authority – Local Development District Offices as follows:

Alabama – Tombigbee Regional Commission, (334) 682-4234 (Choctaw, Clarke, Conecuh, Dallas, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Sumter, Washington, and Wilcox counties)

Lee – Russell Council of Governments, (334) 749-5264 (Russell County)

South Alabama Regional Planning Commission, (251) 433-6541 (Escambia County)

South Central Alabama Development Commission (334) 244-6903 (Bullock, Butler, Lowndes, and Macon counties)

Southeast Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission, (334) 794-4093 (Barbour County)

West Alabama Planning and Development Council, (205) 333-2990 (Greene, Hale, and Pickens counties)


Indicators of Health Status in Alabama: CEREBROVASCULAR DISEASES MORTALITY

Stroke usually occurs in individuals who:


- have high blood pressure (hypertension).
- smoke.
- have elevated cholesterol.

To prevent stroke, individuals should:

- balance food and physical activity to maintain a healthy weight.
- eat 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables daily.
- be physically active four to five times a week.
- use more non-meat main dishes such as dried peas and beans, and tofu.
- aviod or eliminate use of cigarettes, including exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS).
- visit physician regularly to check blood pressure.
- take blood pressure medication as directed.
- take cholesterol lowering medications as directed.




- Alabama is a member of the "Stroke Belt," an area consisting of the southeastern U.S. and the Mississippi Valley with high stroke mortality. Cerebrovascular diseases have been the third leading cause of death in Alabama since 1966.
- Alabama currently has the 4th highest cerebrovascular disease mortality rate among all 50 states. Alabamians aged 25-64 years have the 3rd highest cerebrovascular disease mortality rate among all 50 states.
- Alabama lost 8,934 residents to cerebrovascular diseases during 2003-2005.
- 41 of the 45 Alabama counties with the highest cerebrovascular disease mortality rates are rural counties.




Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
United States	Not Applicable	150,074	51.1
Alabama	Not Applicable	8,934	65.9
Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	4,168	69.9
Highly Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	2,601	75.3
Moderately Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	1,567	62.5
Rural North Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	2,470	68.9
Rural South Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	1,698	71.5
Urban Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	4,766	62.8




Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
North Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,814	59.2
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,037	65.5
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	777	52.5
Colbert County	Yes	106	64.7
Cullman County	Yes	169	71.2
DeKalb County	Yes	102	50.8
Franklin County	Yes	77	83.7
Jackson County	Yes	104	64.6
Lauderdale County	No	193	73.6
Lawrence County	Yes	62	60.1
Limestone County	Yes	135	65.1
Madison County	No	428	48.6
Marion County	Yes	59	65.3
Marshall County	Yes	186	73. 1
Morgan County	No	156	46.1
Winston County	Yes	37	50.4




Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
West Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	609	75.4
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	292	95.0
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	317	63.4
Bibb County	Yes	89	139.6
Fayette County	Yes	59	108.3
Greene County	Yes	22	75.8
Hale County	Yes	34	62.6
Lamar County	Yes	39	86.7
Pickens County	Yes	49	80.5
Tuscaloosa County	No	317	63.4




Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	2,202	69.3
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	438	61.8
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,764	71.5
Blount County	Yes	87	52.8
Chilton County	Yes	86	69.4
Jefferson County	No	1,566	79.4
St. Clair County	Yes	123	58.4
Shelby County	No	198	39.9
Walker County	Yes	142	67.8




Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
East Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,070	77.9
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	588	80.7
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	482	74.8
			•
Calhoun County	No	228	67.9
Chambers County	Yes	127	119.3
Cherokee County	Yes	51	69.5
Clay County	Yes	31	73.6
Cleburne County	Yes	29	66.7
Coosa County	Yes	30	88.6
Etowah County	No	254	82.3
Randolph County	Yes	53	78.5
Talladega County	Yes	187	78.0
Tallapoosa County	Yes	80	65.5




Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
South Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,024	55.0
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	481	57.5
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	543	53.0
Autauga County	Yes	65	45.7
Bullock County	Yes	25	74.7
Butler County	Yes	61	98.6
Crenshaw County	Yes	41	100.3
Elmore County	Yes	93	43.2
Lee County	No	161	44.6
Lowndes County	Yes	25	63.7
Macon County	Yes	44	63.7
Montgomery County	No	382	57.6
Pike County	Yes	47	53.4
Russell County	Yes	80	54.3




Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Tombigbee Action Commission	Not Applicable	520	85.8
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	520	85.8
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban Counties in District	
Choctaw County	Yes	49	108.3
Clarke County	Yes	80	97.8
Conecuh County	Yes	40	99.8
Dallas County	Yes	111	83.1
Marengo County	Yes	55	83.5
Monroe County	Yes	52	73.4
Perry County	Yes	33	96.0
Sumter County	Yes	39	92.7
Washington County	Yes	30	56.3
Wilcox County	Yes	31	80.2




Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Wiregrass Action Commission	Not Applicable	597	67.7
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	448	74.2
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	149	53.6
Barbour County	Yes	64	75.0
Coffee County	Yes	86	63.7
Covington County	Yes	101	91.6
Dale County	Yes	65	44.3
Geneva County	Yes	64	83.5
Henry County	Yes	68	137.3
Houston County	No	149	53.6



Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Southwest Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,098	61.6
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	364	62.2
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	734	61.3
Baldwin County	Yes	278	59.1
Escambia County	Yes	86	75.1
Mobile County	No	734	61.3



Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Black Belt Action Commission	Not Applicable	517	80.1
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	517	80.1
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban Co	ounties in District
Bullock County	Yes	25	74.7
Choctaw County	Yes	49	108.3
Dallas County	Yes	111	83.1
Greene County	Yes	22	75.8
Hale County	Yes	34	62.6
Lowndes County	Yes	25	63.7
Macon County	Yes	44	63.7
Marengo County	Yes	55	83.5
Perry County	Yes	33	96.0
Pickens County	Yes	49	80.5
Sumter County	Yes	39	92.7
Wilcox County	Yes	31	80.2

Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Appalachian Region	Not Applicable	5,810	67.0
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,470	68.9
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	3,340	65.6
Bibb County	Yes	89	139.6
Blount County	Yes	87	52.8
Calhoun County	No	228	67.9
Chambers County	Yes	127	119.3
Cherokee County	Yes	51	69.5
Chilton County	Yes	86	69.4
Clay County	Yes	31	73.6
Cleburne County	Yes	29	66.7
Colbert County	Yes	106	64.7
Coosa County	Yes	30	88.6
Cullman County	Yes	169	71.2
DeKalb County	Yes	102	50.8
Elmore County	Yes	93	43.2
Etowah County	No	254	82.3
Fayette County	Yes	59	108.3
Franklin County	Yes	77	83.7
Hale County	Yes	34	62.6
Jackson County	Yes	104	64.6
Jefferson County	No	1,566	79.4
Lamar County	Yes	39	86.7
Lauderdale County	No	193	73.6
Lawrence County	Yes	62	60.1
Limestone County	Yes	135	65.1
Macon County	Yes	44	63.7
Madison County	No	428	48.6
Marion County	Yes	59	65.3
Marshall County	Yes	186	73.1
Morgan County	No	156	46.1
Pickens County	Yes	49	80.5
Randolph County	Yes	53	78.5
St. Clair County	Yes	123	58.4
Shelby County	No	198	39.9
Talladega County	Yes	187	78.0
Tallapoosa County	Yes	80	65.5
Tuscaloosa County	No	317	63.4
Walker County	Yes	142	67.8
Winston County	Yes	37	50.4

For additional information on the Appalachian Region, visit the Appalachian Regional Commission's Web site at http://www.arc.gov/index.jsp; the Appalachian Regional Commission – Alabama Programs Office Web site at http://www.adeca.alabama.gov/default.aspx; or contact Bonnie Durham, Alabama Program Manager at (256) 845-3472.

Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke) Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Delta Region	Not Applicable	1,010	77.6
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,010	77.6
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban Co	ounties in Region
Barbour County	Yes	64	75.0
Bullock County	Yes	25	74.7
Butler County	Yes	61	98.6
Choctaw County	Yes	49	108.3
Clarke County	Yes	80	97.8
Conecuh County	Yes	40	99.8
Dallas County	Yes	111	83.1
Escambia County	Yes	86	75.1
Greene County	Yes	22	75.8
Hale County	Yes	34	62.6
Lowndes County	Yes	25	63.7
Macon County	Yes	44	63.7
Marengo County	Yes	55	83.5
Monroe County	Yes	52	73.4
Perry County	Yes	33	96.0
Pickens County	Yes	49	80.5
Russell County	Yes	80	54.3
Sumter County	Yes	39	92.7
Washington County	Yes	30	56.3
Wilcox County	Yes	31	80.2

For additional information on the Delta Region, visit the Delta Regional Authority's Web site at http://www.dra.gov/ or contact one of the Delta Regional Authority – Local Development District Offices as follows:

Alabama – Tombigbee Regional Commission, (334) 682-4234 (Choctaw, Clarke, Conecuh, Dallas, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Sumter, Washington, and Wilcox counties)

Lee – Russell Council of Governments, (334) 749-5264 (Russell County)

South Alabama Regional Planning Commission, (251) 433-6541 (Escambia County)

South Central Alabama Development Commission (334) 244-6903 (Bullock, Butler, Lowndes, and Macon counties)

Southeast Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission, (334) 794-4093 (Barbour County)

West Alabama Planning and Development Council, (205) 333-2990 (Greene, Hale, and Pickens counties)

Indicators of Health Status in Alabama:


ACCIDENT MORTALITY


Individuals at risk of death from accidents usually:

- are over age 65 or are children.
- have underlying medical conditions which make accidents more hazardous.
- may be on sedative prescription medications which increase the chance of accidents.
- have medical conditions which predispose to an accident.
- have dwellings with poor lighting or objects such as throw rugs present which make accidents more likely to happen.
- operate vehicles, machinery, or equipment that they are not adequately trained to operate.
- ride with or work around those who operate vehicles, machinery, or equipment that they are not adequately trained to operate.


Individuals at risk for accidents should:

- use available safety devices such as seat belts, harnesses, and child restraints.
- operate vehicles that have safety devices installed and operational.
- have operating smoke detectors, safe playground equipment, and other safety promoting devices where possible.
- improve lighting in residences and remove throw rugs and loose wiring across floors which could cause an accident.
- review medications with physician to reduce or eliminate those which are sedating and substitute non-sedating medications when possible.
- ask a physician to determine if a condition is present which could contribute to the occurrence of accidents and take appropriate corrective measures.
- have persons present who are trained in responding to accidents, such as the Heimlich maneuver.






- Alabama currently has the 6th highest accident mortality rate among all 50 states, the 5th highest motor vehicle accident mortality rate among all states, and the 3rd highest fire-related accident mortality rate among all states.
- Alabama lost 6,931 residents to accidents during 2003-2005.
- 47 of the 50 Alabama counties with the highest accident mortality rates, including the 32 highest rates, are rural counties.




Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
United States	Not Applicable	112,012	38.1
Alabama	Not Applicable	6,931	51.1
Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	3,546	59.5
Highly Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	2,207	63.9
Moderately Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	1,339	53.4
Rural North Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	2,128	59.4
Rural South Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	1,418	59.7
Urban Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	3,385	44.6



Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005


Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
North Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,580	51.6
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	958	60.5
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	622	42.0
Colbert County	Yes	80	48.8
Cullman County	Yes	144	60.7
DeKalb County	Yes	129	64.3
Franklin County	Yes	67	72.9
Jackson County	Yes	104	64.6
Lauderdale County	No	128	48.8
Lawrence County	Yes	78	75.6
Limestone County	Yes	111	53.5
Madison County	No	329	37.4
Marion County	Yes	70	77.5
Marshall County	Yes	128	50.3
Morgan County	No	165	48.7
Winston County	Yes	47	64.0



Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005


Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
West Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	411	50.9
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	212	69
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	199	39.8
Bibb County	Yes	55	86.3
Fayette County	Yes	42	77.1
Greene County	Yes	15	51.7 ¹
Hale County	Yes	33	60.7
Lamar County	Yes	23	51.1
Pickens County	Yes	44	72.3
Tuscaloosa County	No	199	39.8

Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.




Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	1,560	49.1
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	428	60.4
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,132	45.9
			•
Blount County	Yes	91	55.2
Chilton County	Yes	97	78.3
Jefferson County	No	952	48.3
St. Clair County	Yes	91	43.2
Shelby County	No	180	36.3
Walker County	Yes	149	71.2




Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
East Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	765	55.7
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	397	54.5
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	368	57.1
			•
Calhoun County	No	199	59.3
Chambers County	Yes	61	57.3
Cherokee County	Yes	40	54.5
Clay County	Yes	24	57.0
Cleburne County	Yes	30	69.0
Coosa County	Yes	27	79.7
Etowah County	No	169	54.7
Randolph County	Yes	51	75.5
Talladega County	Yes	110	45.9
Tallapoosa County	Yes	54	44.2




Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
South Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	842	45.2
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	474	56.6
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	368	35.9
Autauga County	Yes	74	52.1
Bullock County	Yes	16	47.8
Butler County	Yes	47	76.0
Crenshaw County	Yes	29	71.0
Elmore County	Yes	105	48.8
Lee County	No	112	31.0
Lowndes County	Yes	37	94.3
Macon County	Yes	43	62.2
Montgomery County	No	256	38.6
Pike County	Yes	59	67.0
Russell County	Yes	64	43.5




Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Tombigbee Action Commission	Not Applicable	396	65.4
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	396	65.4
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban (Counties in District
	<u> </u>		
Choctaw County	Yes	36	79.6
Clarke County	Yes	58	70.9
Conecuh County	Yes	29	72.3
Dallas County	Yes	79	59.2
Marengo County	Yes	42	63.8
Monroe County	Yes	45	63.5
Perry County	Yes	33	96.0
Sumter County	Yes	22	52.3
Washington County	Yes	25	46.9
Wilcox County	Yes	27	69.9




Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Wiregrass Action Commission	Not Applicable	421	47.8
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	317	52.5
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	104	37.4
	<u> </u>		
Barbour County	Yes	43	50.4
Coffee County	Yes	50	37.1
Covington County	Yes	70	63.5
Dale County	Yes	66	45.0
Geneva County	Yes	57	74.3
Henry County	Yes	31	62.6
Houston County	No	104	37.4



Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Southwest Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	956	53.6
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	364	62.2
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	592	49.5
Baldwin County	Yes	277	58.8
Escambia County	Yes	87	76.0
Mobile County	No	592	49.5



Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Black Belt Action Commission	Not Applicable	427	66.1
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	427	66.1
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban C	Counties in District
Bullock County	Yes	16	47.8
Choctaw County	Yes	36	79.6
Dallas County	Yes	79	59.2
Greene County	Yes	15	51.7 ¹
Hale County	Yes	33	60.7
Lowndes County	Yes	37	94.3
Macon County	Yes	43	62.2
Marengo County	Yes	42	63.8
Perry County	Yes	33	96.0
Pickens County	Yes	44	72.3
Sumter County	Yes	22	52.3
Wilcox County	Yes	27	69.9

¹ Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.

Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Appalachian Region	Not Applicable	4,449	51.3
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,128	59.4
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	2,321	45.6
Bibb County	Yes	55	86.3
Blount County	Yes	91	55.2
Calhoun County	No	199	59.3
Chambers County	Yes	61	57.3
Cherokee County	Yes	40	54.5
Chilton County	Yes	97	78.3
Clay County	Yes	24	57.0
Cleburne County	Yes	30	69.0
Colbert County	Yes	80	48.8
Coosa County	Yes	27	79.7
Cullman County	Yes	144	60.7
DeKalb County	Yes	129	64.3
Elmore County	Yes	105	48.8
Etowah County	No	169	54.7
Fayette County	Yes	42	77.1
Franklin County	Yes	67	72.9
Hale County	Yes	33	60.7
Jackson County	Yes	104	64.6
Jefferson County	No	952	48.3
Lamar County	Yes	23	51.1
Lauderdale County	No	128	48.8
Lawrence County	Yes	78	75.6
Limestone County	Yes	111	53.5
Macon County	Yes	43	62.2
Madison County	No	329	37.4
Marion County	Yes	70	77.5
Marshall County	Yes	128	50.3
Morgan County	No	165	48.7
Pickens County	Yes	44	72.3
Randolph County	Yes	51	75.5
St. Clair County	Yes	91	43.2
Shelby County	No	180	36.3
Talladega County	Yes	110	45.9
Tallapoosa County Tallapoosa County	Yes	54	44.2
Tuscaloosa County Tuscaloosa County	No	199	39.8
Walker County	Yes	149	71.2
Winston County	Yes	47	64.0

For additional information on the Appalachian Region, visit the Appalachian Regional Commission's Web site at http://www.arc.gov/index.jsp; the Appalachian Regional Commission – Alabama Programs Office Web site at http://www.adeca.alabama.gov/default.aspx; or contact Bonnie Durham, Alabama Program Manager at (256) 845-3472.

Accidents Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Delta Region	Not Applicable	825	63.4
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	825	63.4
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban	Counties in Region
	·		-
Barbour County	Yes	43	50.4
Bullock County	Yes	16	47.8
Butler County	Yes	47	76.0
Choctaw County	Yes	36	79.6
Clarke County	Yes	58	70.9
Conecuh County	Yes	29	72.3
Dallas County	Yes	79	59.2
Escambia County	Yes	87	76.0
Greene County	Yes	15	51.7 ¹
Hale County	Yes	33	60.7
Lowndes County	Yes	37	94.3
Macon County	Yes	43	62.2
Marengo County	Yes	42	63.8
Monroe County	Yes	45	63.5
Perry County	Yes	33	96.0
Pickens County	Yes	44	72.3
Russell County	Yes	64	43.5
Sumter County	Yes	22	52.3
Washington County	Yes	25	46.9
Wilcox County	Yes	27	69.9

Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.

For additional information on the Delta Region, visit the Delta Regional Authority's Web site at http://www.dra.gov/ or contact one of the Delta Regional Authority – Local Development District Offices as follows:

Alabama – Tombigbee Regional Commission, (334) 682-4234 (Choctaw, Clarke, Conecuh, Dallas, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Sumter, Washington, and Wilcox counties)

Lee – Russell Council of Governments, (334) 749-5264 (Russell County)

South Alabama Regional Planning Commission, (251) 433-6541 (Escambia County)

South Central Alabama Development Commission (334) 244-6903 (Bullock, Butler, Lowndes, and Macon counties)

Southeast Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission, (334) 794-4093 (Barbour County)

West Alabama Planning and Development Council, (205) 333-2990 (Greene, Hale, and Pickens counties)


Indicators of Health Status in Alabama: DIABETES MORTALITY

People who are most likely to get diabetes:

- are overweight.
- are 40 years of age or older.
- have a family history of diabetes.
- do not perform physical activity regularly.
- have had a baby weighing more than 9 pounds at birth.
- have had diabetes during pregnancy.
- have high blood pressure.
- are African American, Hispanic American, or Native American.

To prevent or delay the complications of diabetes, persons with diabetes should:

- maintain optimal blood sugar control through diet, physical activity, and medication.
- test blood sugar daily and have dilated eye exams.
- visit a physician frequently and have foot exam on each visit.
- check their own feet frequently, preferably daily.
- maintain ideal body weight, normal blood pressure, blood sugar, and cholesterol.
- avoid tobacco and alcohol.
- have an annual microalbumin and assessment of chronic kidney disease with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR).
- have a Hemoglobin A1c test performed at home or in a physician's office at least every 6 months.
- check blood cholesterol and other blood lipids at least once a year.




Diabetes mortality in Alabama is consistently over 50 percent higher among Alabama's African American population than among its White population.

Alabama currently has the 5th highest diabetes mortality rate among all 50 states. Alabamians aged 25-64 years have the 2nd highest diabetes mortality rate among all 50 states.


Alabama lost 4,273 residents to diabetes during 2003-2005.

29 of the 32 Alabama counties with the highest diabetes mortality rates are rural counties.




Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates U.S. and Selected Alabama Areas, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
United States	Not Applicable	73,138	24.9
Alabama	Not Applicable	4,273	31.5
Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	1,881	31.6
Highly Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	1,154	33.4
Moderately Rural Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	727	29.0
Rural North Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	1,059	29.6
Rural South Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	822	34.6
Urban Alabama Counties	Not Applicable	2,392	31.5



Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates North Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005


Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
North Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	941	30.7
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	467	29.5
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	474	32.0
Colbert County	Yes	60	36.6
Cullman County	Yes	75	31.6
DeKalb County	Yes	41	20.4
Franklin County	Yes	65	70.7
Jackson County	Yes	62	38.5
Lauderdale County	No	62	23.7
Lawrence County	Yes	42	40.7
Limestone County	Yes	43	20.7
Madison County	No	286	32.5
Marion County	Yes	22	24.4
Marshall County	Yes	32	12.6
Morgan County	No	126	37.2
Winston County	Yes	25	34.0



Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates West Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005


Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
West Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	228	28.2
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	94	30.6
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	134	26.8
	·		•
Bibb County	Yes	20	31.4
Fayette County	Yes	16	29.4
Greene County	Yes	9	31.0 ¹
Hale County	Yes	23	42.3
Lamar County	Yes	11	24.5 ¹
Pickens County	Yes	15	24.7 ¹
Tuscaloosa County	No	134	26.8

Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.



Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005


Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	996	31.4
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	161	22.7
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	835	33.8
Blount County	Yes	29	17.6
Chilton County	Yes	22	17.8
Jefferson County	No	736	37.3
St. Clair County	Yes	43	20.4
Shelby County	No	99	20.0
Walker County	Yes	67	32.0



Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates East Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
East Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	408	29.7
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	245	33.6
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	163	25.3
Calhoun County	No	64	19.1
Chambers County	Yes	44	41.3
Cherokee County	Yes	16	21.8
Clay County	Yes	16	38.0
Cleburne County	Yes	12	27.6 ¹
Coosa County	Yes	15	44.31
Etowah County	No	99	32.1
Randolph County	Yes	24	35.5
Talladega County	Yes	57	23.8
Tallapoosa County	Yes	61	50.0


Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.



Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates South Central Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
South Central Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	719	38.6
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	309	36.9
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	410	40.0
Autauga County	Yes	51	35.9
Bullock County	Yes	13	38.81
Butler County	Yes	29	46.9
Crenshaw County	Yes	17	41.6
Elmore County	Yes	71	33.0
Lee County	No	66	18.3
Lowndes County	Yes	20	51.0
Macon County	Yes	30	43.4
Montgomery County	No	344	51.9
Pike County	Yes	44	50.0
Russell County	Yes	34	23.1


Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.



Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates Tombigbee Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005


Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Tombigbee Action Commission	Not Applicable	230	38.0
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	230	38.0
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban Co	ounties in District
Choctaw County	Yes	7	15.5 ¹
Clarke County	Yes	29	35.5
Conecuh County	Yes	19	47.4
Dallas County	Yes	59	44.2
Marengo County	Yes	31	47.1
Monroe County	Yes	25	35.3
Perry County	Yes	14	40.7^{1}
Sumter County	Yes	13	30.9^{1}
Washington County	Yes	17	31.9
Wilcox County	Yes	16	41.4

Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.




Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates Wiregrass Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Wiregrass Action Commission	Not Applicable	234	26.5
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	183	30.3
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	51	18.3
Barbour County	Yes	17	19.9
Coffee County	Yes	33	24.5
Covington County	Yes	30	27.2
Dale County	Yes	50	34.1
Geneva County	Yes	37	48.3
Henry County	Yes	16	32.3
Houston County	No	51	18.3



Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates Southwest Alabama Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Southwest Alabama Action Commission	Not Applicable	517	29.0
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	192	32.8
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	325	27.2
	·		
Baldwin County	Yes	124	26.3
Escambia County	Yes	68	59.4
Mobile County	No	325	27.2



Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates Black Belt Action Commission Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Black Belt Action Commission	Not Applicable	250	38.7
District's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	250	38.7
District's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban Counties in District	
Bullock County	Yes	13	38.81
Choctaw County	Yes	7	15.5 ¹
Dallas County	Yes	59	44.2
Greene County	Yes	9	31.0 ¹
Hale County	Yes	23	42.3
Lowndes County	Yes	20	51.0
Macon County	Yes	30	43.4
Marengo County	Yes	31	47.1
Perry County	Yes	14	40.71
Pickens County	Yes	15	24.71
Sumter County	Yes	13	30.9 ¹
Wilcox County	Yes	16	41.4

¹ Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.

Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Appalachian Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Appalachian Region	Not Applicable	2,665	30.7
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,059	29.6
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	1,606	31.5
Bibb County	Yes	20	31.4
Blount County	Yes	29	17.6
Calhoun County	No	64	19.1
Chambers County	Yes	44	41.3
Cherokee County	Yes	16	21.8
Chilton County	Yes	22	17.8
Clay County	Yes	16	38.0
Cleburne County	Yes	12	27.6 ¹
Colbert County	Yes	60	36.6
Coosa County	Yes	15	44.31
Cullman County	Yes	75	31.6
DeKalb County	Yes	41	20.4
Elmore County	Yes	71	33.0
Etowah County	No	99	32.1
Fayette County	Yes	16	29.4
Franklin County	Yes	65	70.7
Hale County	Yes	23	42.3
Jackson County	Yes	62	38.5
Jefferson County	No	736	37.3
Lamar County	Yes	11	24.5 ¹
Lauderdale County	No	62	23.7
Lawrence County	Yes	42	40.7
Limestone County	Yes	43	20.7
Macon County	Yes	30	43.4
Madison County	No	286	32.5
Marion County	Yes	22	24.4
Marshall County	Yes	32	12.6
Morgan County	No	126	37.2
Pickens County	Yes	15	24.71
Randolph County	Yes	24	35.5
St. Clair County	Yes	43	20.4
Shelby County	No	99	20.0
Talladega County	Yes	57	23.8
Tallapoosa County	Yes	61	50.0
Tuscaloosa County	No	134	26.8
Walker County	Yes	67	32.0
Winston County	Yes	25	34.0

Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.

For additional information on the Appalachian Region, visit the Appalachian Regional Commission's Web site at http://www.arc.gov/index.jsp; the Appalachian Regional Commission — Alabama Programs Office Web site at http://www.adeca.alabama.gov/default.aspx; or contact Bonnie Durham, Alabama Program Manager at (256) 845-3472.

Diabetes Mellitus Mortality and Mortality Rates Alabama's Delta Region Counties, 2003 – 2005

Area	Is County Rural?	Deaths	Rate Per 100,000
Alabama's Delta Region	Not Applicable	488	37.5
Region's Rural Counties Combined	Not Applicable	488	37.5
Region's Urban Counties Combined	Not Applicable	No Urban Counties in Region	
7.1			10.0
Barbour County	Yes	17	19.9
Bullock County	Yes	13	38.81
Butler County	Yes	29	46.9
Choctaw County	Yes	7	15.5 ¹
Clarke County	Yes	29	35.5
Conecuh County	Yes	19	47.4
Dallas County	Yes	59	44.2
Escambia County	Yes	68	59.4
Greene County	Yes	9	31.0 ¹
Hale County	Yes	23	42.3
Lowndes County	Yes	20	51.0
Macon County	Yes	30	43.4
Marengo County	Yes	31	47.1
Monroe County	Yes	25	35.3
Perry County	Yes	14	40.71
Pickens County	Yes	15	24.7 ¹
Russell County	Yes	34	23.1
Sumter County	Yes	13	30.9 ¹
Washington County	Yes	17	31.9
Wilcox County	Yes	16	41.4

Caution should be used in interpreting this rate due to the small number of deaths from this cause.

For additional information on the Delta Region, visit the Delta Regional Authority's Web site at http://www.dra.gov/ or contact one of the Delta Regional Authority – Local Development District Offices as follows:

Alabama – Tombigbee Regional Commission, (334) 682-4234 (Choctaw, Clarke, Conecuh, Dallas, Marengo, Monroe, Perry, Sumter, Washington, and Wilcox counties)

Lee – Russell Council of Governments, (334) 749-5264 (Russell County)

South Alabama Regional Planning Commission, (251) 433-6541 (Escambia County)

South Central Alabama Development Commission (334) 244-6903 (Bullock, Butler, Lowndes, and Macon counties)

Southeast Alabama Regional Planning and Development Commission, (334) 794-4093 (Barbour County)

West Alabama Planning and Development Council, (205) 333-2990 (Greene, Hale, and Pickens counties)

APPENDIX D:

CAH Conversion Application Instructions

Change in License Application

Change in License Supplement A Application